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JOE SPRAGGINS: We are good. We’ve got everybody online, except Commissioner Gollott is here with us.

So I think we are ready to go, sir, if you would like to go ahead and call the meeting to order.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All right. Sounds good, Mr. Joe. Glad everybody could make it.

Richard, glad you were close enough you could just drop in. That’s good.

All right. We will call the meeting to order.

First up on the agenda would be the approval of the agenda.

Has everybody looked at the agenda, and do we have a motion for approval?

COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Mr. Chairman, I make a motion that we approve the agenda.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All right. We have a motion by Richard.

Do we have a second?

COMMISSIONER HAVARD: I’ll second the motion.

JOE SPRAGGINS: Is that mark?

COMMISSIONER HAVARD: Yes, sir.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: We’ve got a motion by Richard and a second by Mark.

All those in favor aye.
(All in favor.)

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Opposed like sign.

( None opposed. )

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Motion carries.

All right. Next up is the Gear Task Force recommendations, Mr. Rick Burris.

RICK BURRIS: Thank you, Commissioner Bosarge.

First, I want to say good morning to all the Commissioners, Director Spraggins and Ms. Chesnut.

Today I’m going to be giving you an update on the Gear Task Force and the results, or recommendations, that came out of our meeting.

Just a brief overview.

The Task Force met on January 22nd, with the following goals:

To define current gears and to develop definitions that will provide clarity for stakeholders, fisheries managers and enforcement, regarding current regulations.

We discussed a few administrative procedures, before we moved through.

I was elected Chairman which is why I am presenting this to you today, Commissioner Guess was elected Vice Chairman, and all voting and non-voting members were present.
The following items were discussed by the task force:

The definition of entanglement.
The definition of entrapment.
The definition of a haul seine.

This presentation will outline the actions and the recommendations of the Gear Task Force regarding these items.

The task force developed definitions, developed the following definitions through much discussion and input from all of the members that were present, and the following motions were made and adopted unanimously by the task force:

Number one, define entanglement as entanglement. A method in which fish are primarily ensnared, or entangled, by the gills, or other boney projections, through interaction with gear.

Number two, define entrapment as entrapment. A method in which fish are primarily surrounded and confined, not entangled by the gills, or other boney projections, within a space through interaction with gear.

Number three, define haul seine as haul seine. A net set vertically in the water column and pulled by hand, or by power, to capture fish by entrapment through encircling and confining fish within itself, the shore, or...
bank, as a result of mesh size and construction. Haul seines shall be constructed of a mesh size not to exceed fifteen-sixteenths inches bar and one and seven-eighths inch stretch, and shall not be constructed of monofilament.

As I mentioned, these were discussed at length by the task force and adopted unanimously.

The task force, then, made a motion that we present these definitions to the Commission to be added in the regulation, and that would need to be added into Title 22, Part 8, Chapter 4, which lists definitions for terms found throughout all of our other titles and parts.

The next two slides, I am going to read those into the record where those additions of the definitions need to be made.

The first one will be in Title 22, Part 8, Chapter 4. It would be the definition of entanglement, and it would read:

“Number 116, Entanglement.

“A method in which fish are primarily ensnared, or entangled, by the gills, or other boney projections, through interaction with gear.”

The next one that would be added would be entrapment:
“Number 117, Entrapment.
“A method in which fish are primarily surrounded and confined, not entangled by the gills, or other boney projections, within a space through interaction with gear.”

Now, adding those two into the list of definitions is going to renumber the whole chapter from that point forward, so I’m not going to read those renumbering changes into the record, but I will read the next definition that we added which is haul seine.

Haul seine will be added to Section 124, and it would read:

“Haul seine.
“A net set vertically in the water column and pulled by hand, or by power, to capture fish by entrapment through encircling and confining fish within itself, the shore, or bank, as a result of mesh size and construction.

“Haul seines shall be constructed of a mesh size not to exceed fifteen-sixteenths inch bar and one inch and seven-eights stretch, and shall not be constructed of monofilament.”

As I said, the rest of the changes to Title 22,
Part 8, Chapter 4, will just be a renumbering of the definitions that are already in there, so I will go through that pretty quickly.

We addressed those definitions, and, then, the Gear Task Force also provided recommendations to modify Title 22, Part 5, Chapter 4, to include the words “haul seine”, since we defined it before that, and, then, made a motion I present these changes to the Commission.

The additions would be to Title 22, Part 5, Chapter 4, Commercial Net Closure Areas and Gear Size Restrictions, and it would start at Section 100, and it would read:

“It shall be unlawful for any person, firm, or corporation, to catch, take, or carry away, any saltwater fish by, or with any gill nets, trammel nets, purse seines, seines” -- we will then add the words “haul seines” -- it will continue reading -- “fish traps, except permitted eel traps, or any other like contrivances, in the territorial waters of the State of Mississippi within twelve hundred feet of any public pier, or any pier owned and operated by hotels, or motels, for the use of their patrons and guests for
swimming and fishing, or any harbor, or within twelve hundred feet of shoreline of Deer Island."

The next addition will be Section 101, and it reads:

“It shall be unlawful for any person, firm, or corporation, to catch, take, or carry away, any saltwater fish by, or with, any gill nets, trammel nets, purse seines, seines” -- we will then add the words “haul seines” -- it will continue reading -- “fish traps and other like contrivances, except permitted eel traps in the following areas of the State of Mississippi.”

Chapter 4, then, goes on to define the closure areas.

The next change that we made was to Section 100.10 where it will read:

“It shall be unlawful for any person, firm, or corporation, to leave a gill net, trammel net, purse seine, seine -- we added the words “haul seine” -- “or any other net, like contrivance, governed by the restrictions set forth in this part, unattended in the waters of this state.”
The task force, then, agreed that we strike through the following language, so this will be struck through:

“And at least one person shall be required to stand by within one boat length of the boat using said net at all times the net is in the water.”

So that is struck through, and it will continue to read:

“It shall further be unlawful for any person, firm, or corporation, to use, or have in their possession, a gill net, trammel net, seine -- we added the words “haul seine” -- “or like contrivance, on the waters of this state without a visible buoy attached every one hundred feet clearly marked with the license number, or owner’s full name, thereon.”

Those were the recommendations of the task force, and they motioned for me to bring these recommendations to the Commission for your approval, if you choose to accept them.

Before we move any further, are there any questions?

COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: I have one, Rick.
RICK BURRIS: Yes, sir.

COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: What is the difference?

I can read this, but what is the law now and what are we actually changing and what is it going to affect?

RICK BURRIS: The task force defined the gear type, haul seine, and added it into the closure areas that were previously used by gill and trammel nets, seines, and purse seines.

The gear type has been defined and it has been added to be included with those other nets, net types.

COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Is Cat Island in the original area defined?

In other words, is it illegal to use a gill net and all that stuff around Cat Island now, without this change?

JOE JEWELL: Well, one mile within Cat Island, gill nets were. The haul seines were not.

JOE SPRAGGINS: But, now, are they by this, I guess, is what he is asking.

It didn't change that, did it?

RICK BURRIS: No, sir. It didn't change any. It defined the gear type and put it in the regulations.

JOE JEWELL: So the issue that sort of led up to all of this was -- seine is included in here. There are
multiple types of seines. There are all kinds of
different seines, including haul seines, but what was
happening was they were modifying the actual equipment so
they no longer met what most people generally understood
as a haul seine.

So the task force recommended a very specific
definition of haul seine to be included in all these
sections.

SANDY CHESNUT: The current restrictions are
currently in 101.04, and those restrictions and areas are
not changed by this proposal.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: So, basically, Richard, I
guess to answer your question, what it did was it defined
what a haul seine is and no longer can something that
mimics a haul seine possibly be used at Cat Island, or
anywhere else, and it basically -- in other words, it
ensures that during that seven-month closure, of course,
there won't be anything allowed, but, when it is open, the
only gear that will be allowed will be -- in other words,
no gill nets, but, now, the haul seine has been defined
and it will be an allowable gear type.

Do you see what I'm saying?

In other words, we got rid of everything else,
except for -- and I think there are only two haul seines
in the State of Mississippi right now. Anyhow, that is
basically what it did.

COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Steve, I can read it, and I understand what you are saying, but I just want to ask this question just to get it off my chest. Will this change any pogey fishing, shrimp fishing, or any other commercial fishing, except for finfish?

JOE SPRAGGINS: No, sir.

RICK BURRIS: No, sir.

COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Okay.

JOE SPRAGGINS: And, once again, I want everybody to understand. The mission here was to define gear, and that was the only thing was to define gear, and that is what they did with these first three phases of it. I just want to clarify that.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Yes, sir, that's correct. Do we have any more questions for Rick?

JOE SPRAGGINS: We do have people, a couple of them from the public here. Anybody for public comment?

FROM THE FLOOR: I commented at the task force meeting.

JOE SPRAGGINS: Okay. That's all right. I just wanted to let you know, sir, we did have a couple.
COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All right.
Do we have any public comment from them, Mr. Joe?

JOE SPRAGGINS: No, sir, no public comment.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All right. Good deal.
Do we have any more questions for Rick?
(No response.)

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: These were the recommendations from the task force, and I was privileged enough to be able to get on it through a conference call and I must say I was really pleased with the way it was conducted and the results that came out of it. It was a good discussion, and I think everybody came to pretty much the same conclusion and the most of the votes were unanimous.

I guess my question would be to Joe. These recommendations were brought forward. Now, what would be the motion we need to accept these recommendations?

JOE JEWELL: Well, because these are regulatory, these will require regulatory changes to parts five and eight.

The motion would have to be to proceed with a Notice of Intent.

What Rick is going to do for your convenience,
now, is to read the motions that would be required for us
to take action. He will read it into the record, so all
of y'all can hear it.

    JOE SPRAGGINS:  Read them one at a time, so they
can vote on them each.

    RICK BURRIS:  Yes, sir.

    We will have two separate motions. Like we
said, if the CMR chooses to accept the task force
recommendations, then, you can make these motions.

    The first motion would be a motion to proceed
with Notice of Intent for regulatory changes to Title 22,
Part 8, Chapter 4, regarding the addition of new
definitions developed by the Gear Task Force.

    COMMISSIONER BOSARGE:  All right, Rick. Let's
do -- first, I think we need a motion -- I think. Maybe
I'm wrong -- before we do the motion for actually Notice
of Intent, a motion to accept the recommendations from the
Gear Task Force.

    Do you think?

    JOE JEWELL:  Yes.

    RICK BURRIS:  Yes, sir.

    COMMISSIONER BOSARGE:  So do I have a motion to
accept the recommendations from the Gear Task Force?

    COMMISSIONER HAVARD:  Yes, Mr. Chairman, I would
like to --
COMMISSIONER GUESS: (Interposing) I'll make the motion.

JOE SPRAGGINS: Okay. One of you.

JOE JEWELL: I think I heard Mark first.

COMMISSIONER HAVARD: Yes, Mr. Chairman. I would like to make a motion that we approve the recommendations of the Gear Task Force, at this time.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All right. We have a motion by Mark.

Do we have a second for Mark's motion?

COMMISSIONER DANIELS: I'll second that.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All right. Seconded by Commissioner Daniels.

Any further discussion?

(No response.)

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All those in favor aye.

(All in favor.)

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Opposed like sign.

(No opposition.)

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Motion carries.

All right. If you would, Rick, read the first motion.

RICK BURRIS: Okay. So, then, you would need a motion to proceed with a Notice of Intent for regulatory changes to Title 22, Part 8, Chapter 4, regarding the
addition of new definitions developed by the Gear Task
Force.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All right.
Do we have somebody that wants to make that
motion?

COMMISSIONER DANIELS: Go ahead, Natalie.
COMMISSIONER GUESS: I'll make the motion.
COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: We have a motion by
Natalie.
Do we have a second for Natalie's motion?
COMMISSIONER HAVARD: I'll second Natalie's
motion.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All right. Mark seconded
Natalie's motion.
Do we have any further discussion?
(No response.)
COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All those in favor aye.
(All in favor.)
COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Opposed like sign.
(None opposed.)
COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Motion carries.
All right. Continue on, Rick.

RICK BURRIS: The next motion would be a motion
to proceed with a Notice of Intent for regulatory changes
to Title 22, Part 5, Chapter 4, regarding the inclusion of
haul seine in regulation and modification to language in Subsection 101.10.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All right. Anybody want to make that motion?

COMMISSIONER DANIELS: I'll make that motion.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All right. I think that was Mark.

JOE SPRAGGINS: It was Ronnie.

COMMISSIONER DANIELS: It was Ronnie.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Okay. Ronnie made the motion.

Do we have a second for Ronnie's motion?

COMMISSIONER HAVARD: I'll second the motion.

This is Mark.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All right. Mark seconded.

Any further discussion?

(No response.)

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All those in favor aye.

(All in favor.)

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Opposed like sign.

(None opposed.)

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Motion carries.

All right.

JOE SPRAGGINS: Sir, if I might, what this will
mean, we will now go out. Joe and them will put together a Notice of Intent which will hit the street today, and we will go forward with that, but this will take a minimum of twenty-five days.

What I would like to do is go ahead, if y'all would, if you didn't have an objection to it, with setting a public hearing for the 13th day of February so, if anybody wanted to come to a public hearing and talk about it, that we would have that and it would not delay the process.

I just ask if y'all would entertain that?

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Yes, sir. I don't see a problem. I think it's probably wise to go ahead and put it in place. We probably won't have that many people attend, but, yes, sir.

JOE SPRAGGINS: Well, sir, we would need a motion on that, if you could, stating that we would go ahead and have a public hearing on the 13th, if that is all right with you, sir, if someone would make that.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All right.

COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Mr. Chairman, I'll make that motion.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All right. Commissioner Gollott makes a motion for the public hearing.

I think you said on February the 13th?
JOE SPRAGGINS: Right.

We will set the time, I guess, if we can, at a later date.

MATT HILL: Commissioner Bosarge, this is Matt. I hate to interject, but we have two other meetings on the 13th that are already scheduled for the Charter Task Force and for a NOAA reporting meeting.

JOE SPRAGGINS: We can do it on the 14th, if y'all want to change it to the 14th. We could do it on the 14th, if that's okay. We just have to give twenty days, and that is the only thing. We have to give twenty days.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Okay. Well --

COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: (Interposing) Is it possible we could have it on the same day, I mean, just give it a different time and they would be there anyhow?

MATT HILL: Absolutely. I have no problem with that. I believe these meetings start around 6:00 o'clock on the 13th, the other two that we have scheduled, so any other time would work for us.

JOE SPRAGGINS: To be able to allow, sir, for anybody from outside, we try to do it after 5:00 o'clock, if that is possible. It may interfere with that.

Could we do it on the 14th?

COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Sure.

JOE SPRAGGINS: Would you mind modifying your
motion to the 14\textsuperscript{th}, sir?

COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: No.

I will modify my motion to the 14\textsuperscript{th}.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All right. We have a modified motion.

Do we still have a second for that motion?

COMMISSIONER HAVARD: Yes.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: We have a motion and a second.

Any further discussion?

JOE SPRAGGINS: Who was the second, please?

COMMISSIONER HAVARD: Mark.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All those in favor signify by aye.

(All in favor.)

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Opposed like sign.

(None opposed.)

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Motion carries.

Is there anything else on that, Rick?

RICK BURRIS: No, sir. That's it. Thank you very much.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All right. Good deal. That was simple enough.

Moving on to D on the agenda, I wanted to go ahead and try to get this down while we were doing this
meeting, and I don't have much information in front of me, but we can try to get this done, hopefully, easy enough.

It was to establish the three oversight committees, and I think we talked about this briefly.

It was a Financial Oversight Committee, a Contract Review Committee and a Program Review Committee.

We actually named some members. I don’t know that we have to stick with those names.

I would just like to go ahead and try to establish these committees, and, then, if we could, before it was myself and Mark Havard on the Financial Oversight Committee, on Contract Review, it was Natalie and Mark, and, then, Program Review was going to be Richard and Ronnie.

I think Richard had some reservations with that. Richard, what are your feelings, now?

COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: I think I'm all right, now. Maybe we can hang in there.

When will the meetings be, or do they have to be called?

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Yes. We will have to set them up, and I would like to see the meetings -- try to meet two times in a year, if possible, and, then, also have the option, if something comes up, that we could still meet as needed.
COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Okay.

JOE SPRAGGINS: Would those meetings be called by me, sir?

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Yes, sir.

COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Wouldn’t the chairman be the proper person to call the meeting?

JOE SPRAGGINS: It’s really not a scheduled -- it’s only a committee meeting and because of that, only two can be in it.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Correct.

What I would see is that, Joe, you are the one going through all the contract reviews and programs and the same with financial, so at some point -- in other words, let’s have it to where we don’t have nine months of stuff stacked up that we need to review. If we could, at least, get it twice a year where we can look at what happened maybe in the first six months and what happens in the last six months, or five months and four months.

You see what I’m saying?

And we are going to have to kind of somewhat leave that up to you, as to the amount. You know what times of the year things get busy, like, when the Tidelands, in other words, all that stuff comes. There are a lot of contracts there, a lot of stuff going on.

So I’m going to leave it up to your discretion
as to when these meetings may need to take place, but, as long as we have two of them within the calendar year.

JOE SPRAGGINS: That’s fine with me, sir, and my recommendation, if you would entertain it, would be that I would get with the committee members of the Commission and set up a time to have these meetings twice a year at a minimum, or any other time that we deem necessary by the Commission, or myself.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All right. Yes, sir. That sounds good.

Does anybody have any questions?

In other words, I think is kind of -- should be standard operating procedure within reason. I think that these committees are already in place in most of the state government entities.

Anyhow, are there any questions, or do you want me to go ahead and make a motion?

COMMISSIONER HAVARD: Steve, this is Mark.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Okay.

COMMISSIONER HAVARD: I don’t have any questions necessarily. I just am very familiar with the government and the state’s stringent rules about getting RP’s and procuring different things, I guess, different items that the department might need and the financials. I know that the State auditors are going over that very thoroughly.
I think it is a good idea that we stay in the loop, but, from an auditing standpoint, there is no way that either, or all, of us could go in there and perform a good audit where we could actually rely on the results and feel that we have done a thorough job.

I think the overview part of it is fine, but actually digging into the auditing process that goes on, I don’t know that we have time in a day to fully get a clear grasp of what is going on during these auditing procedures, but I do think it is a good option for us to have to be briefed on, and I think that it is just as well as having ones that are involved briefed on it, as well as having the whole Commission briefed on what is going on.

That way, we feel that we have comfort that the audits are going as they should be and we are familiar so we can talk to it if need be, but actually as far as doing an audit, I don’t think there is any possible way that we have time to get through that.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: I agree with you a hundred percent, Mark. Neither am I qualified, nor do I want to get into auditing the DMR, no, by no means.

I think that if there is an issue that is brought to one of us's attention, then, maybe we could go and ask questions about that particular issue, but, as far as going in and trying to audit the DMR, no, I don’t have
any intentions of that.

    COMMISSIONER HAVARD: Okay.

    COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: That is way above my pay level.

    COMMISSIONER HAVARD: And we all have -- you know, we all have good interest in, I guess, our -- let me see what I'm trying to say. I guess our expertise is all in kind of different areas, so I think we all feel that if the department, or anybody, needs our expertise, we have no problem with providing them that service, or trying to help out in any way. I'm not trying to say that we won't help out. I'm just saying I don't know that we need to get too deep into the procedures that are going on.

    COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: No. That's correct. That's correct and, like I say, it's just oversight.

    COMMISSIONER HAVARD: That's right.

    COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: What I see as oversight, oversight is basically if somebody identifies a problem, then, you have the capability of investigating that problem and coming up with a conclusion, or an answer. That is more like what I see as oversight.

    I don't really see us getting into the nuts and bolts of the everyday operations of the DMR; neither do I have the desire, or the time, for that.

    COMMISSIONER HAVARD: We are all on the same...
page. I just wanted to get clarity there and make sure that we are all on the same page.

JOE SPRAGGINS: Sandy has a question, I think.

SANDY CHESNUT: Commissioners, I can put together an order establishing these committees, but, in looking at the description of the committees, what I would suggest is instead of saying the purpose of this committee is to closely monitor the financial status, I would change “closely monitored”, to “review”. That’s for the Financial Oversight Committee.

The Contract Review, I would take out “monitor and”, and just leave it with “review”.

Also, in the Contract Review Committee, the committee will primarily review contracts with a value in excess of ten thousand dollars. Where it says “to determine the necessity”, I would say also there “and review the necessity of the contract”.

That would take the responsibility of the Commission out of it, as for trying to approve those contracts.

I would just suggest those changes, before I prepare an order.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Sandy, since I don’t have any of that in front of me and I don’t really have a means of -- in other words, I was going to do just a brief
motion, but, let’s see. I'm trying to think if it would - - how can we accomplish this today, Sandy, and it come out as you want it?

JOE SPRAGGINS: Sir, if I could, unless it is something that you want done immediately right now, we could possibly, if you would, just make a motion that the staff, that we bring you at the February meeting the information of each of the committees and what it is and the people in the committees, all the information about when they will meet, just kind of an overall order, and let y'all approve it in the February meeting which is only a few weeks away, if that would be okay.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Yes, sir, that's fine. All right. Well, then, I will make the motion that staff come back to us in the February meeting with a plan to set up these three committees and, I guess, populate them.

JOE SPRAGGINS: Right, and I guess an order of how it would operate, and we can put that all in there.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Correct.

All right. I'll make that motion. Do we have a second for that motion?

COMMISSIONER GUESS: I'll second it.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: I think I heard Natalie. All right. Natalie seconds.
Any further discussion?
(No response.)
COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All those in favor aye.
(All in favor.)
COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Opposed like sign.
(None opposed.)
COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Motion carries.
JOE SPRAGGINS: Sir, I will continue, if it is all right, giving an update of the contracts and everything monthly, if that is okay with y’all.
COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Yes, sir, Mr. Joe. That is mighty fine with me.
JOE SPRAGGINS: Okay.
COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: We are on other business. Does anybody have any other business they would like to discuss?
COMMISSIONER HAVARD: No, but I would just like to say this Gear Task Force, putting it together with the right folks and everybody sitting around making good sound decisions, just goes to show what can happen if we put the right folks around the table talking about really what is going on. I commend the Gear Task Force for the outcome that they were able to achieve in their first meeting.
COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Yes, I agree.
COMMISSIONER DANIELS: I would have to say you
beat me to it. I was going to commend them as well. I was there for that meeting, and that was run very well and everyone participated very respectfully.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Yes, I agree. I thought it went very well. Most of it was common sense decisions, at least, to me, and everybody had an input and we came out with a consensus, but yes, it was very good. I hope that we have the same results from all the task forces.

All right. Any other comments?

JOE SPRAGGINS: Please tell Ms. Sandra we’ve got her in our prayers.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All right, Mr. Joe. Thank you, sir. I think we are doing good right now. They have got her going in the right direction, so it is all good.

Any public comment?

(No response.)

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Joe, anybody in the room there?

JOE SPRAGGINS: No one raised their hand.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All right. Good deal. That does it.

Do I have a motion to adjourn?

COMMISSIONER DANIELS: I’ll make that motion.

COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: I’ll second it.
COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: We have a motion and a second.

All those in favor aye.

(All in favor.)

JOE SPRAGGINS: Thank y’all.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Adjourned.
CERTIFICATE

I, Lucille Morgan, Certified Shorthand Reporter, do hereby certify that the above and foregoing is a true and correct transcription of the audio recording of the January 24, 2019, special session of the Commission on Marine Resources, to the best of my skill and ability; and, further, that I am not a relative, employee, or agent, of any of the parties thereto, nor financially interested in the cause.

____________________________
COURT REPORTER

Lucille Morgan, CSR 1251
COURT REPORTER
(228) 396-8788