COMMISSION ON MARINE RESOURCES

COMMISSION MEETING

Tuesday, August 21, 2018 9:00 a.m. Bolton Building Auditorium 1141 Bayview Avenue Biloxi, Mississippi 39530

Commission Members:

Steve Bosarge, Chairman
Ronald Daniels
Richard Gollott
Mark Havard

Also Present:

Joe Spraggins, Executive Director DMR Sandy Chestnut, Esq., Assistant Attorney General

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: I would like to call this 1 2 meeting to order. I would like to welcome everybody to our regular August meeting of the Commission on Marine 3 Resources. 4 5 To start it all off, I would like to ask Commissioner Gollott to lead us in the Pledge of 6 7 Allegiance. (Pledge of Allegiance recited.) 8 9 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Next we would just like 10 to take a moment of silence to think about the folks that have been through a difficult time in life. We've got one 11 of them up here with us, and we are proud to see him back. 12 13 We will take a moment of silence. (Moment of silence observed.) 14 15 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Thank you. Next on the agenda is election of officers. 16 Actually, it was on our last month's agenda. 17 18 There were only three of us here. We had talked about there wasn't a whole of representation, so it would be 19 better to try to get a little more of the Commission 20 21 before we did that. We are going to go ahead and proceed with it. 22 We will open the floor for nominations for 23 chairman. 24

COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Mr. Chairman, I would

1	like to make a motion for Richard Gollott as chairman.
2	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Do we have a second for
3	that motion?
4	I'll second the motion.
5	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Are there any other
6	nominations for Chairman?
7	(No response.)
8	COMMISSIONER DANIELS: I would like to make a
9	nomination for Mark Havard as chairman.
10	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: We have a nomination for
11	Mark Havard as chairman.
12	Do we have a second for that motion?
13	COMMISSIONER HAVARD: I'll second it.
14	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Are there any other
15	nominations?
16	Hearing none, do we have a motion to close the
17	nominations?
18	COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: I'll make a motion that
19	we close it, Mr. Chairman.
20	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Do we have a second for
21	that motion?
22	I'll second the motion.
23	Now, we need to vote.
24	All those in favor for Richard Gollott as
25	chairman raise your hand and signify by saying aye.

1	(Commissioner Bosarge, Commissioner Gollott in
2	favor.)
3	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All those in favor of
4	Commissioner Havard for chairman, raise your hand and
5	signify by saying aye.
6	(Commissioner Daniels, Commissioner Havard in
7	favor.)
8	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: I will have to go to Ms.
9	Chestnut for some guidance here.
10	What do we do, now?
11	SANDY CHESTNUT: Without a majority, it is going
12	to have to stay as it is.
13	Mr. Gollott just pointed out that we don't have
14	a Vice Chairman because Ron Harmon was the Vice Chairman.
15	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: How do we go about that?
16	SANDY CHESTNUT: The chairman will remain as it
17	is and we can move forward with voting on a vice chairman.
18	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: We will open the floor
19	for nominations for vice chairman.
20	I'll nominate Mark Havard for chairman.
21	Do we have a second?
22	COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: I'll second that motion.
23	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Are there any other
24	nominations for vice chairman?
25	(No response.)

1	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Hearing none, do we have
2	a motion to close the nominations?
3	COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: I'll make that motion,
4	Mr. Chairman.
5	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Do we have a second for
6	that motion?
7	COMMISSIONER DANIELS: I'll second it.
8	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All those in favor of
9	Mark Havard for vice chairman, raise your hand and signify
10	by saying aye.
11	(All in favor.)
12	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Opposed, like sign.
13	(None opposed.)
14	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Are we okay?
15	SANDY CHESTNUT: We're good, yes.
16	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Next on the agenda is
17	approval of minutes.
18	Do we have any changes to the minutes?
19	COMMISSIONER HAVARD: Mr. Chairman, I would like
20	to make a motion that we approve the minutes.
21	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: We have a motion for
22	approval of the minutes.
23	Do we have a second for that motion?
24	COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: So moved, Mr. Chairman.
25	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: We have a motion and a

1	second.
2	All those in favor aye.
3	(All in favor.)
4	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Opposed like sign.
5	(None opposed.)
6	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Motion carries.
7	Next up is approval of the agenda.
8	Do we have any modifications, or changes, to the
9	agenda?
10	JOE SPRAGGINS: Mr. Chairman, if we could, I
11	would like to make a motion that we remove G1(d) which is
12	Title 22 Part 23, Rules and Regulations for Coastal
13	Wetlands Protection Law and the Mississippi Coastal
14	Program Final Adoption, if we could remove that.
15	We have had a letter from the Secretary of State
16	and we would like to do some more research before we adopt
17	this, and I ask that we remove that.
18	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Any other changes?
19	COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Mr. Chairman, I had
20	requested that we put Tails n' Scales on speckled trout.
21	JOE SPRAGGINS: That's coming, sir.
22	I would also like to make a motion that we add
23	Tails n' Scales as K9 to talk about speckled trout.
24	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Removing G1(d) and adding
25	к9.

1	COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Mr. Director, you can't
2	make a motion.
3	We need a motion to be able to do that.
4	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Do we have a motion to
5	change the agenda?
6	COMMISSIONER HAVARD: I would like to make a
7	motion we change the agenda as stated.
8	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Do we have a second to
9	that motion?
10	COMMISSIONER DANIELS: I'll second that.
11	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: We have a motion and a
12	second.
13	All those in favor aye.
14	(All in favor.)
15	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Opposed like sign.
16	(None opposed.)
17	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Motion carries.
18	Next up is the Executive Director's report.
19	JOE SPRAGGINS: Just a couple of things.
20	The Commission had given us a task to look at
21	the Oyster Task Force. We have looked at that. We have
22	put together some stuff, basically some guidelines. We
23	are working on trying to finalize that.
24	I apologize for not having it for you today, but
25	we are looking at some personnel to be able to put on

1 that, and we would recommend and welcome, if y'all have 2 someone that you would like see on that, please let me know and we would like to look at that. 3 We want to make this an Oyster Task Force that 4 5 looks at everything that we are doing about oysters and, hopefully, we can help bring these back. 6 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: I think there are some 7 good folks that could be on it. Big John Livings, he 8 seems to be a pretty levelheaded. Maybe ask him. 9 10 I'm glad to hear that we are working towards that. 11 JOE SPRAGGINS: We are and we are trying to get 12 I'm sorry we don't have it, now. 13 it done. 14 The other thing is we are trying to go paperless. I know this world changes every day, but what 15 we would like to do -- we change things every month. We 16 get right down to the wire trying to change things and how 17 18 things are done. We are buying some tablets that we will have for 19 each one of the Commissioners to be able to have at their 20 21 desk here. They will be able to be active where it is actually what is going on, on the screen, at the same 22 time. 23

electronically. We would like to test this next month and

We would be able to send it to you

24

1 look at it. 2 We will still send you the paper, but, then, we will do the test and see how it goes. If it works, it 3 would save us a lot of money. 4 5 The amount of money it costs to run those slides is tremendous, just on the paper itself and color slides. 6 If y'all would bear with me on that, I would 7 appreciate it. If you have a problem, please tell me 8 9 about it. 10 If you don't have a problem with that, we will look at it next month. 11 We will still send you the actual paper version 12 13 next month, but we will have the tablets here to look at, too, and we will show you what we are talking about, if 14 15 that's okay with everybody. COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Sounds good. Just know 16 that some of us folks might be a little slow on the draw, 17 but we will make it. 18 JOE SPRAGGINS: I'll tell you what, it's hard to 19 teach us old dogs new tricks sometimes, but we learn every 20 21 now and then. Two other things. 22 Is Kimberly Papania here? 23 24 KIMBERLY PAPANIA: Yes, sir.

JOE SPRAGGINS: Kimberly is our new lawyer that

1 is going to be with us. I would just like to introduce 2 She is working for the Attorney General's office and will be here. She is a local young lady, born and raised 3 in Gulfport. 4 5 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Good to have you onboard. KIMBERLY PAPANIA: Thank you. 6 JOE SPRAGGINS: One last piece of bad news, the 7 end of this month is Kacey Williams last day with us, our 8 CFO. She is going to be leaving us to go to another 9 10 position. 11 We are going to miss her very much, and it is going to be hard to fill her shoes. She has done a great 12 13 job, and I just want to tell her thank you. 14 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: I thought you were going 15 to say she was going to motherhood one-on-one for good. I had a question. I have had several 16 environmental members approach me and they keep asking me 17 18 what is the update with Commissioner Trapani. 19 Just for transparency sake, I figured I would ask you here and you can let us know where we are and what 20 21 is going on. JOE SPRAGGINS: If I knew the hundred percent 22 answer, they would already have it. 23 I talked to the governor's office. Last week I 24 was meeting with the governor, and he still has not made 25

his decision, what he wants to do. He is still looking at 1 2 Jolynne, and he is looking at other people. I don't know what his information is, where he is getting it. 3 As a matter of fact, I sent a text to his office 4 5 a few minutes ago and got an answer back that he still has not made a decision. 6 I explained to them that we would love to have 7 the five votes and we would love to have the five people 8 9 on the Commission to do what needs to be done, and they 10 understand. He has been out of town a lot. I give him 11 credit for that. He hasn't had a lot of time to make 12 13 decisions on things like that. Because it can't be appointed, as far as 14 15 confirmed, until next year, I think he is kind of making sure he makes the right decision. 16 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Well, that's good. 17 18 JOE SPRAGGINS: Bare with me. Hopefully, by the end of this month. 19 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Good because that 20 21 environmental seat, that's a viewpoint we need. We need to hear that side of the story. We are all 22 environmentalists, but we need to hear that voice. 23 24 JOE SPRAGGINS: Yes, sir.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Next up on the agenda is

1 Commissioners report. I think Commissioner Gollott had something he 2 3 wanted to say. COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 4 5 Let me say this, a lot of you probably didn't know I had had cancer and it was really bad, but, at this 6 time, I would like to thank Jesus Christ and the people 7 who prayed for me through this horrible disease called 8 9 cancer. 10 If you know anybody with this disease, please 11 reach out and try to do everything you can for them. People just don't realize how bad that disease really is. 12 13 Thank God. The surgeons at M.D. Anderson tell 14 me I'm cancer free and good to go, now. 15 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: While you were gone, you might not have known it, but there were a bunch of us 16 pulling for you because we missed you. 17 18 I'm glad to hear that you are cancer free and back. 19 Does anybody else have anything they want to 20 21 say? (No response.) 22 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Next up is Office of 23 Marine Patrol, Chief Davis. 24 KEITH DAVIS: Good morning Commissioners. 25

1 Director Spraggins, Ms. Chestnut. 2 Commissioner Gollott, it's good to see you back. I take the moment of silence to say a prayer for you every 3 month, so I hope others join me in that. 4 5 An update on Red Snapper. We asked Fisheries to break this down to us both ways. We did a total number of 6 trips registered, and that is four thousand eight hundred 7 and eighty-three trips, five hundred and fifty-seven 8 stops, which puts us at about eleven percent. 9 10 The total number of completed trips is thirtynine seventy-nine, five hundred and fifty-seven stops, 11 which is about fourteen percent, thirteen point nine 12 13 percent. We counted over twenty-two hundred Red Snapper, 14 seized a hundred and ten and issued thirty-six citations 15 for failure to register. 16 Y'all probably have questions on Red Snapper 17 18 because it's been a hot topic. 19 COMMISSIONER HAVARD: I appreciate all of you guy's work trying to get all of our data in. 20 21 KEITH DAVIS: We certainly feel confident in

22

23

24

25

A couple of months ago, I told you how we were tracking it, and I think we have a good handle on how we are tracking the enforcement of Red Snapper.

1 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: During the two-week 2 closure, was there any activity? KEITH DAVIS: Yes, sir. We did encounter a 3 couple of people trying to sneak out there and fish for 4 5 Red Snapper, and they received citations. COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: I noticed in our 6 reporting that, during closed season, we actually had ten 7 trips made where folks actually signed up, got their trip 8 9 number, went fishing and completed the trip. 10 Am I right, Matt? It was ten, I'm pretty sure. Five one week and 11 five the other week. 12 13 MATT HILL: It was a total of ten. However, two of them did come back and abandon their trips. 14 still discussing it with them. Apparently, it stands at 15 eight, but there were some trips made during that two-week 16 closure. 17 18 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Chief, do you have any intentions of -- I mean, even though these people were 19 mistaken about what they did, they did break the law. 20 21 KEITH DAVIS: Mr. Chairman, I'm a little embarrassed that I was not aware of that information, but 22 I can assure you that I will sit and talk with our 23 Fisheries Department and we will devise a plan to do that. 24

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Maybe just contact them

and warn them, or something. In other words, we have got 1 2 to get their attention somehow because, like I say, we have that issue and we need to address it. 3 KEITH DAVIS: The biggest question is why Marine 4 5 Patrol is unaware of that. COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Those are the only 6 7 questions I had. KEITH DAVIS: As far as the rest of the report, 8 9 it is pretty straight forward. 10 The only thing that I thought maybe would stick out to you is the report of two children missing. 11 the child was located pretty quickly by the Biloxi Police 12 13 Department, prior to us arriving on scene. The alligator sightings that you see on the 14 15 report were all handled by the department of Wildlife, Fisheries and Parks. 16 17 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: I see on the agenda where 18 we are going to recognize an officer. 19 KEITH DAVIS: Yes, sir. My pastor always tells me that there are certain 20 21 things that you should just do. I'm going to ask Captain Levine to come up and present to one of our reserve 22 officers who is retiring, but, during his career, he spent 23 twenty-one years of service volunteering to the Department 24

of Marine Resources and citizens of the State of

Mississippi.

I would like to, at least, recognize him in his retirement and what he did for the State of Mississippi.

I want to report to the Commission that one of our officers finished top of the class in the Harrison County Training Academy.

Now, you don't know what that means, but it is broken down into several categories; academics, training, PT, as well as firearms and driving.

We are recruiting the best and graduating the best, as far as training goes, in Marine Patrol.

Captain Levine.

CAPTAIN LEVINE: Commission, as Chief Davis has said, we have an individual who has been volunteering with us for twenty-one years, averaging over one hundred and forty-four hours per year.

Often this volunteer is called up the last minute with no notice late in the evening saying, we need somebody to fill in on night shift. Can you come out?

Often he would volunteer and come out at the last minute. He would fill in for opening of shrimp season, Blessing of the Fleet, the air shows, the many other events that Marine Patrol works, and we want to express our appreciation from the Department of Marine Resources and the Office of Marine Patrol for Tom Traynor.

1	Tom, come up, please.
2	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Would you say a few
3	words?
4	TOM TRAYNOR: Yes, sir. I have been doing this
5	twenty-one years because I have always been told you give
6	something back.
7	Throughout the years, I have stayed as long as I
8	have because of the leadership and the people I work with
9	in this department.
10	Chief Davis has been one of the finest leaders
11	we have had, and I hope he continues to stay after I'm
12	gone.
13	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: We all do.
14	We want to thank you for your service.
15	TOM TRAYNOR: Thank you, sir.
16	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Thank you.
17	CAPTAIN LEVINE: That concludes the Office of
18	Marine Patrol.
19	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Thank you.
20	Up next is Office of Coastal Resources
21	Management, Mr. Jan Boyd.
22	JAN BOYD: Good morning Mr. Chairman,
23	Commissioners, Director Spraggins, Ms. Chestnut.
24	We have three action items for your
25	consideration this morning, and Greg Christodoulou will be

1 presenting the first two.

GREG CHRISTODOULOU: Good morning everyone. I will be presenting the first two agenda items for Coastal today.

The first item is a request by the agency, the Beneficial Use group for a Beneficial Use site of dredged material located on the north side of Deer Island in the Preservation Use District.

The applicant is proposing to create a seventy-acre Beneficial Use site for dredged material placement, in accordance with Mississippi Code 49-27-61.

The project will consist of filling approximately seventy acres of Coastal Wetlands -- this is all unvegetated waterbottoms -- with suitable dredged material and, also, if needed, two access channels totaling about eighteen thousand cubic yards of material.

Here's kind of a broad diagram showing the entire island and the area in blue outlined is where the site would be, and I'll jump in a little closer there.

The site extends from the existing shoreline on the north side of the island out to the minus four contour. The area in blue will be a sand berm containment for the material so the site can be accessed, if needed, by anyone disposing, or placing mechanically, with the access channel there to the east, but ideally contractors will be wanting to pump the material in.

This is just a picture of the shoreline the way it is, right now. It does undergo quite a bit of erosion over time, as you can see.

The project site will provide additional location for the placement of dredged material. The material will eventually provide additional habitat and keep sediments from being disposed of in upland locations.

Currently, a lot of these sediments that aren't used beneficially just go to an upland site and are lost in the system, so this is a chance for them to create some habitat.

It also saves the counties and municipalities a lot of money in the disposal of it, having to truck that material up to a landfill.

The project will not affect the Preservation designation, as the area will still be part of the Deer Island Coastal Preserve.

The applicant has requested a variance to Chapter 8, Section 2, Part III.O.1, stating the permanent filling of coastal wetlands because of potential adverse and cumulative environmental impacts is discouraged.

They justified the variance under Chapter 8, Section 2, Part I.E.2.b.i, the impacts to coastal wetlands would be no worse than if the guidelines were followed. Basically, you are transforming seventy acres of unvegetated waterbottoms to marsh and beach/dune habitats.

There were two previous Beneficial Use sites that were permitted adjacent to Deer Island, so this shouldn't set a negative precedent.

The purpose of the project is to transform seventy acres of unvegetated waterbottoms and eroding shoreline to productive marsh and beach/dune habitats. This will provide additional habitat for marine organisms of recreational and commercial value, as well as additional habitat for shorebirds.

Prior to the application being submitted, there was testing for SAV presence and shellfish, and there was none in the project area.

The main purpose of the project is to provide a another location for beneficial use of dredged material. However, it also compliments a lot of the restoration activities that are already and have been going on at Deer Island for many years.

Best management practices will be utilized throughout the project. All the dredged material that will be placed at this site has to be tested and approved by DEQ, before it can be placed at the site.

Again, as I mentioned earlier, the preferential way to get this material in is to pipe it in, rather than

1 actually place it in. It may be necessary to dredge 2 access channels for contractors who can only place material by mechanical methods. 3 There were alternative sites that were looked at 4 5 and they were rejected, basically due to three reasons; the presence of submerged aquatic vegetation, private 6 ownership issues and also the need for hardened 7 containment, using things like riprap, or sheet piles, or 8 9 something like that to contain the material. 10 The creation of marsh and beach/dune habitats does require a waterfront location. 11 The project abuts the northern shore of Deer 12 13 Island which is undergoing extensive erosion, and these are creation of habitats that are very suitable to the 14 15 area and should not pose any impact on scenic qualities. The project was placed on public notice in The 16 Sun Herald as required and, also, on the DMR website. 17 18 DEQ is reviewing the project. Archives and History has no objections, as long 19 as the work is entirely below the mean high tide line. 20 21 Secretary of State had no comments, no objections to the project. 22 Wildlife, Fisheries and Parks made no comments. 23 Based on the staff's review and evaluation, we 24

recommend that the Commission approve the variance request

1 and the permit, contingent on water quality certification 2 from DEO. Jarred Harris is the Beneficial Use coordinator 3 and he is here, if y'all have any specific questions about 4 the project. 5 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Do any of the 6 Commissioners have any questions? 7 COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Yes, Mr. Chairman. 8 9 Greg, I've got a question for you. I have been 10 talking to a lot of people lately and they were telling me there is a lot of silt mud where it used to be sand 11 beaches. 12 13 I'm wondering, have y'all looked at maybe these 14 areas that are covering habitat, oyster reefs and such as 15 that? I know that they go out and 16 GREG CHRISTODOULOU: they do a lot of poling and testing the bottom, before 17 18 they place this, the material. I would have to defer to him on that. I don't 19 know of any studies. 20 21 COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Is there anybody looking at that? 22 If it's a mulk silt, maybe you wouldn't feel it 23 with a pole, or anything, and it would be smothering the 24 25 oysters.

1 JOE JEWELL: I can address some of that, 2 Commissioner Gollott, because my office commented on the proposal before the comment period, and, then, I weighed 3 in after the comment period. 4 5 I have met with the Coastal Director, Jan Boyd, and I have met with Mr. Christodoulou because we do have 6 an artificial reef that is nearby, and I expressed some 7 concerns about that artificial reef. 8 9 I read the application and, in the application, 10 they note that they are going to use silt nets around the 11 project area to prevent that. I'm pretty reassured that they are going to take 12 13 all reasonable measures and abide by best management practice to ensure the minimum of impacts to the natural 14 15 resources. 16 COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Thank you. COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: I have one quick 17 18 question. 19 Seventy acres, that's a pretty good site. How long should that last, just under normal 20 21 conditions? GREG CHRISTODOULOU: The last one actually just 22 reached capacity earlier this year, the most recent Deer 23 Island Beneficial Use site. 24

The expectation is that it will last ten years,

but, again, that all depends on what kind of requirements are going to need to be coming down the pipe with, especially, Jackson County. Jackson County does a lot of dredging projects, and, then, more recently this past year, Biloxi has done several in some of their neighborhoods, as well. It just kind of all depends.

The capacity, right now, is definitely needed because we are full up. All our Beneficial Use sites are filled up.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: That's good.

Mr. Joe.

JOE SPRAGGINS: One thing, too. I know it has been brought up several times by the Commission, Deer Island. If you go to the east side of it, the point of the east side of Deer Island, we talked about rebuilding that and trying to add more to it, and, then, turn around, and, then, add more to Katrina Key, back that way because of the depth of the water and all.

That is a work in progress and it is working, but we want to use sand is my understanding, mainly ninety percent sand in that so that we don't use the other type of beneficial use.

I just wanted the Commission to know that we are working that. It has been brought up more than one time about what that would help, and we are working on it.

1	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Any further questions?
2	(No response.)
3	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Do we have a motion?
4	COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Mr. Chairman, I make a
5	motion that we approve this request.
6	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Do we have a second for
7	that motion?
8	COMMISSIONER HAVARD: I'll second the motion.
9	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: We have a motion and a
10	second.
11	Any further discussion?
12	(No response.)
13	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All those in favor aye.
14	(All in favor.)
15	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Opposed like sign.
16	(None opposed.)
17	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Motion carries.
18	Thank you, Greg.
19	GREG CHRISTODOULOU: The next project is a
20	permit extension for Diamondhead Corporation. It's a one-
21	year extension of an existing maintenance dredging permit,
22	and the location is Paradise Bayou which is the main canal
23	that runs through Diamondhead on the south side, the
24	marina basin and a lot of the accessory canals throughout
25	the south side of Diamondhead.

1 The use district is General Use and Commercial 2 Fishing and Recreational Marinas District. The applicant is requesting just a one-year 3 extension to a previously-issued maintenance dredging 4 5 project. In 2013, the Commission approved approximately a 6 hundred and fifty thousand cubic yards of material to be 7 dredged from the waterways on the south side of 8 9 Diamondhead. 10 There is just an aerial showing Diamondhead itself. It would be the main canal here known as Paradise 11 Bayou, the marina basin here, and, then, the side canals 12 13 going through there. The Commission, in 2013, approved the 14 15 applicant's request to dredge approximately a hundred and fifty-two thousand cubic yards of material, and we just 16 received a request for an extension of that permit for one 17 18 more additional year, until 2019. 19 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Excuse me Greq. 20 Isn't that the permit where we were going to try 21 spreading the...? GREG CHRISTODOULOU: They kind of backed off of 22 I think they were getting a lot of resistance from 23 water quality, DEQ and the EPA and the Corps, so they kind 24

of backed off on that.

1	Their permit is close to expiring, so they have
2	the fallback of going upland with it.
3	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Spreading it out over the
4	marsh?
5	GREG CHRISTODOULOU: Yes. It was one of the
6	projects that, since the waterbottoms were private, the
7	Beneficial Use statute didn't really apply to it, so they
8	weren't made to go BU for that.
9	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All right.
10	GREG CHRISTODOULOU: Basically, we are just
11	recommending that the Commission approve the applicant's
12	request to extend the maintenance dredging permit for just
13	one additional year.
14	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Any questions?
15	(No response.)
16	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Do we have a motion?
17	COMMISSIONER DANIELS: I'll make a motion to
18	approve.
19	COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: I'll second it, Mr.
20	Chairman.
21	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: We have a motion and a
22	second.
23	Any further discussion?
24	(No response.)
25	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All those in favor aye.

1	(All in favor.)
2	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Opposed like sign.
3	(None opposed.)
4	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Motion carries.
5	Thank you, Greg.
6	GREG CHRISTODOULOU: Thank you.
7	CHRIS PICKERING: Good morning. My name is
8	Chris Pickering. I will be presenting the next action
9	item for Coastal.
10	This is a request for modification by the
11	Department of Marine Resources. It's restoration area 72
12	on Back Bay of Biloxi, in Jackson County, Mississippi.
13	This is in the S-1 District, Natural and
14	Artificial Reefs.
15	The purpose of the modification is to experiment
16	with the use of different cultch material and relief
17	densities to help MDMR achieve the overall oyster reef
18	restoration project.
19	Approximately twenty-three thousand nine hundred
20	and thirty acres of existing and historical reefs were
21	authorized in June 2016, by the Commission, to be restored
22	with cultch material.
23	Material was authorized to be placed at a
24	density of one hundred cubic yards per acre, totaling no
25	more than three hundred and fifty thousand cubic yards of

material within Phase I.

The density ensured less than six inches of relief of cultch materials across the bottom of each restoration site.

The Applicant was granted a variance to Chapter 8, Section 2, Part III.O.1 of the Coastal Program for filling of Coastal Wetlands. The DMR also adjusted the Coast Wetlands Use Plan, by changing all the areas identified by the MDMR as public oyster reefs open to seafood harvest and suitable for restoration to a Special Use District S-1.

The applicant is now requesting to modify just restoration area 72 to allow a maximum of eighteen inches of relief. Average relief would be eight inches. This will result in the cultch density increasing from one hundred cubic yards per acre to one thousand and eighty cubic yards per acre within the three experimental plots which covers thirty acres total.

As you can see, this is Ocean Springs Harbor, here is Highway 90 going across Back Bay, and this would be Plots 1, 2 and 3. Each one of these plots is approximately ten acres for a total of thirty acres. Again, here is the permitted area here (indicating aerials).

Notification of the project appeared in The Sun

Herald on July 15th, July 22nd and July 29th of 2018. 1 One comment was received in favor of the 2 project. 3 DEQ is currently reviewing the project. 4 5 Archives and History has no objections. Secretary of State had no comment. 6 Wildlife, Fisheries and Parks recommends that if 7 best management practices are implemented and no submerged 8 aquatic vegetation habitat is impacted, the proposed 9 10 project likely poses no threat to the listed species of concern known to occur within two miles of the proposed 11 project. 12 13 Basically, they provide a list of species that may occur within that area, but, as long as the DMR, or 14 15 whoever they hire to do the project, follows best management practices, they don't have a problem with it. 16 The modification to increase the relief and 17 18 density in area 72 has not changed the findings made on the previous decision factors presented to the Commission 19 on June 21^{st} of 2016. 20 21 Based upon departmental review and evaluation, it has been determined that the project is consistent with 22 the Mississippi Coastal Program because it will have a 23 significant public benefit. 24

25

Therefore, staff recommends approval of this

1 modification request, contingent on water quality 2 certification from DEO. 3 Any questions? COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Do the Commissioners have 4 5 any questions? COMMISSIONER DANIELS: I was just curious. 6 Why are we going to higher densities? 7 Is this stuff sinking down over there? 8 9 CHRIS PICKERING: Part of the research project 10 is for Fisheries to determine what material needs to be used, the density it needs to be used at and that sort of 11 thing, so they are going to try different things in each 12 13 one of these plots. 14 COMMISSIONER DANIELS: Okav. 15 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: I'm glad to see it. I think Joe and I have had several discussions on different 16 methods. He laughs at me, when I bring up some of the 17 18 things. I think it's a worthwhile project and we can 19 learn something. 20 21 JOE JEWELL: We worked with Covington, DEQ and NFWF to develop this program. 22 Originally, it was designed to test three cultch 23 materials, and, then, we eliminated one because of the 24 utilitarian use. We knew oyster shells would do well and 25

1	do very well.
2	We modified the research portion of it to try
3	and look at densities of cultch material, while we are
4	looking at oyster spat concentration on those two types of
5	cultch materials, to try and get a better understanding.
6	There is some philosophy that the denser the
7	cultch is laid the more attractive it is to spat setting
8	and it lasts longer, so we are going to test some of those
9	ideas with the cultch plants.
10	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: I tend to agree. The
11	more vertical height you get, the better off you are. We
12	have had that discussion.
13	JOE JEWELL: Yes.
14	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Any more questions?
15	(No response.)
16	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Do we have a motion?
17	I'll make the motion that we accept the staff's
18	recommendations.
19	COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: I'll second that motion,
20	Mr. Chairman.
21	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: I have a motion and a
22	second.
23	Any further discussion?
24	(No response.)
25	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All those in favor aye.

1	(All in favor.)
2	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Opposed like sign.
3	(None opposed.)
4	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Motion carries.
5	CHRIS PICKERING: Thank you.
6	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Thank you, Chris.
7	For her last time, Ms. Kacey Williams, Office of
8	Finance and Administration.
9	KACEY WILLIAMS: Good morning Commissioners,
10	Director Spraggins, Ms. Chestnut.
11	These are the financial results as of July 31st,
12	and this is the first month of the new fiscal year, 2019.
13	At the end of July, our State Revenue was three
14	million dollars. Our Total Agency Revenue was also three
15	million dollars.
16	Our State Net Income was two point seven
17	million. Our Total Agency Net Income was two point five
18	million.
19	After one month, we still have quite a bit of
20	budget remaining. Ninety-eight point six percent of
21	Operating Funds and Ninety-nine point two percent of
22	Tidelands.
23	We are stacking up to be in great shape.
24	Any questions?
25	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: It looks like you are

1	leaving us in good shape.
2	KACEY WILLIAMS: Yes, sir.
3	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: We appreciate it, we are
4	going to miss you, and good luck on the baby.
5	KACEY WILLIAMS: Thank you. I am definitely
6	going to miss all my family and colleagues here at the
7	DMR.
8	I know General Spraggins will have a really good
9	plan to carry forward without me.
10	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: We are going to miss you.
11	KACEY WILLIAMS: Thank you.
12	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Thank you.
13	Up next is Public Affairs, Ms. Charmaine.
14	CHARMAINE SCHMERMUND: Good morning
15	Commissioners, Director Spraggins, Ms. Chestnut.
16	The Mississippi Department of Marine Resources
17	was mentioned twenty-five times in local, state and
18	national media since the July CMR meeting.
19	Popular news items included the Blues over
20	Biloxi Air Show, shrimp season and Red Snapper season.
21	Marine Patrol taught five boat-and-water safety
22	classes and certified one hundred and one students.
23	So far in fiscal year 2019, officers have taught
24	five classes and certified one hundred and one students.
25	Marine Patrol also participated in the community

1 outreach event, Summer Jamboree through the City of Gulfport. The Marine Patrol officer met with hundreds of 2 children from throughout the community. 3 There were also two fishing rodeos and 4 5 tournaments that Marine Patrol, along with the Office of Marine Fisheries, attended. These were the Carl Leggett 6 Fishing Tournament in Biloxi and the Horn Island CCA kids 7 fishing rodeo in Pascagoula. 8 9 Our staff also participated in various events in 10 the community. Public Affairs took part in the City of 11 Gulfport's Cinema by the Shore event. 12 13 Mike Pursley in the Office of Coastal Resources Management gave a presentation on invasive species, at the 14 Mississippi Pollinator Training workshop. 15 The annual Mississippi Wildlife Extravaganza was 16 held August 3rd through the 5th in Jackson. Our agency was 17 18 on hand each day at the extravaganza, meeting with attendees and distributing our rules and regulations 19 publication, fish ruler stickers, fish posters and other 20 informational pieces to the public. 21 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: I noticed how many times 22 you said "Marine Patrol" in there. Y'all stay busy. 23

You've got just a little bit to do.

Thank you, Charmaine.

24

I think Mr. Joe wants to say something.
JOE SPRAGGINS: Charmaine, you go ahead.
CHARMAINE SHUMERMUND: Thank you.
JOE SPRAGGINS: Just one thing. I forgot to say
it and I should have. The air show that was here in July,
we owe a lot to Marine Patrol. They were out there for
four days, countless hours, people working hard, working
extra and working in not exactly warm weather.
I wanted to take my hat off to them and tell
them thank you.
We have one thing that is going to happen, too.
The governor is working a special presentation for one of
the Marine Patrol officers. I will bring that up at a
later date, what happened during that.
In fact, let's give them a round of applause.
COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: I agree. It's a lot to
do.
Up next is Mr. Joe Jewell, Office of Marine
Fisheries.
JOE JEWELL: Good morning Commissioners.
There are a couple of things I want to go over,
before we move into the agenda.
First, I would like to acknowledge Mr. Richard
Gollott and welcome you back. Glad to have you here and
your sage advice. We appreciate that.

COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Thank you, sir.

JOE JEWELL: I would also like to welcome aboard our new attorney, Ms. Kimberly Papania. I look forward to challenging her through her career here.

I would also like to acknowledge Ms. Kacey Williams for her dedicated years of service here at the DMR. Kacey has been a great CFO who has led us through some tough audits, and all of those have come out really well for the DMR. We appreciate her dedicated work and wish her well, in moving forward in her new career.

Also, finally, I would like to acknowledge
Officer Tom Traynor for all of his dedicated work and
service to the DMR, the Marine Resources and the citizens
of Mississippi. We appreciate all that he has done for
us.

Next, I wanted to update the Commission on where we stand with the shrimp season.

If we look at just July of 2018, we have about eight hundred and fifty thousand pounds that are in the Trip Ticket system. We do have some more Trip Tickets that need to be processed for the month of July.

On an average, we are at about two point four million pounds. That's just in July.

We haven't processed all of them. So it's not all bad news. We are a little behind.

1 If we look at the shrimp season from January 2 through July, which is the way we typically look at it, at this point, we have landed about three point five million 3 pounds and on average, over the last six years, we are at 4 5 about three point four million pounds. So we are just a little bit ahead and probably a 6 little bit more, once we process the Trip Tickets. This 7 year is looking to be about an average year like we 8 normally have. 9 10 With that being said, we are going to move right 11 on into the agenda. Joe, real quick, could you give 12 JOE SPRAGGINS: them an update, too, on the Tails n' Scales, or is he 13

JOE JEWELL: I can do that. Hold on.

going to do that later?

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

JOE SPRAGGINS: Where we did close the season last Friday, if y'all could, just give an update on that.

JOE JEWELL: If you look at just the reported pounds that were landed, before it goes through the estimator, we are about a hundred and ten thousand pounds.

If you look at the recreational harvest, the ACL is about a hundred and twenty-one thousand pounds. We are getting pretty close to that.

We ran that through the correction formula, and we have a little over three thousand, around four thousand

pounds to open a short season. We are planning to do that on Labor Day.

The ACL is a hundred and twenty-one thousand.

We are approaching the ACT which is ten percent lower than
the overall one thirty-four thousand pounds that was
allotted for the recreational community.

We don't anticipate going over the ACL. We are going to try and manage that small season we are going to have over the Labor Day weekend to the ACT, or slightly above.

Does anybody have any questions?

JOE SPRAGGINS: One thing. Definitely we want to be the only state in the Gulf that meets what we should do in these quotas because that's what they have worked hard doing.

The reason we closed it last Friday was because we were trying to find out exactly where we are and did we have an opportunity to open it up any further because it is hard to get all the data, when they are continuously fishing every day.

As it worked out, as Joe said, we have three thousand and something pounds.

We are looking at it. The staff is going to give me their best recommendation of how many days, one or two days that we can open on that weekend of Labor Day,

1 but I think it will be a great little thing for everybody 2 to have Labor Day weekend and be able to try that. 3 We promise you that we are going to do our very, very, very, very best not to exceed it, but we are 4 not going to leave any more than we have to on the table 5 to not exceed it. 6 That is what they are working on, and I 7 appreciate the staff for what they are doing on that. 8 9 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Sounds good. 10 Joe, it has been brought to my attention, an issue with the Tails n' Scales and reporting system and 11 canceled trips. 12 13 Do you track canceled trips? JOE JEWELL: We do track cancelled trips. 14 15 is in our database system. It has been brought to my 16 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: attention that there is some intentional underreporting of 17 18 catch due to cancellations. 19 Say, for instance, a fisherman goes out, catches his fish, goes to the dock, gets to the dock, doesn't ever 20 21 get seen or checked, gets home, splits his fish up, and, then, instead of finishing his trip, he cancels it. That 22 way, it doesn't go against the allocation. 23 Have you seen a trend, any kind of trend in an 24

increase in cancellations?

JOE JEWELL: We do look at the data. I have looked at the data for that and discards. I ask that question of the Tails n' Scales Program pretty consistently.

Over the last three years, we have seen a --

Over the last three years, we have seen a -- I wouldn't call it a significant increase, but it's a steady increase over the last three years, absolutely. That is being reported into the system.

Now, I do want to say, if a fisherman -- as I hear you describing it, if a fisherman goes out fishing and makes a legal take, he's got an authorization code and he makes a legal take, two fish per person per boat, and they come in and there is no interaction with law enforcement and they want to take the risk of going into the system and canceling that trip so that those fish do not count against the quota, well, that's a big issue, and we are seeing an upward trend in the trip cancellations, but there is no way we can determine that.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: And I understand that. I know there is no way.

JOE JEWELL: In defense of Marine Patrol, I don't how they could determine that either.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: They can't. That would be virtually impossible. I'm not pointing the finger at anybody.

1	I just want to make everybody aware that this is
2	an issue. It is something we need to address. We need to
3	trend it, look at where it's at, look at what's going on,
4	and, then, put it in our mind and try to figure out how we
5	can address this because there is no doubt it is going on,
6	and it is intentionally not reporting their catch. Same
7	thing as Trip Tickets.
8	JOE JEWELL: If the Commission would like, I do
9	monitor all the variables that are in the Trip Ticket
10	System that can be quarried. I periodically quarry those
11	and look at them.
12	If you would like, we can send that data to the
13	Commissioners.
14	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: I would just like to see
15	what that trend is.
16	JOE JEWELL: We can do that, absolutely.
17	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Thank you, Joe.
18	COMMISSIONER DANIELS: I've got one question on
19	that.
20	Do you have the ability to kind of reconcile
21	those cancellations against weather reports?
22	JOE JEWELL: We do spot check particularly those
23	that don't cancel and report back into the system. We do
24	work with them to find out the reason why it was canceled,
25	or not canceled. We absolutely do.

1 COMMISSIONER DANIELS: Seems to me, with 2 beautiful weather and all that and you've got guite a few cancellations on that day, that might be suspect. 3 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: That's what I want to 4 5 look at because every year you are going to have the same thing happen. 6 In other words, you will have cancellations for 7 weather. You will have the same variations each year. 8 9 JOE JEWELL: I will send a report to the 10 Commissioners. You can look at that, and you can look at it in more detail. 11 I did quarry the Trip Ticket System. We do seem 12 13 to have a small group of people that have large numbers of cancellations in the Trip Ticket System. 14 You can look at it a bunch of different wavs. 15 absolutely. 16 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: I think Chief Davis wants 17 18 to say something. 19 KEITH DAVIS: Again, this is the first time that I'm hearing this. I didn't get a chance to check with my 20 21 staff. I do see where there could be a problem, Mr. 22 Chairman, but, again, this is where I strongly encourage 23 the Commission to take a look at Marine Patrol's access to 24 the Tails n' Scales Program. 25

I think just having another pair of eyes on those cancellations, if that is occurring, we should be able to compile that data as well, and take a serious look at those individuals and maybe pay them a visit at their homes to see.

We can also maybe tighten up some of the parameters on when you require them to close out a trip. I think it should all be looked at very seriously.

If your information is true which I have no reason to believe it is not, that is a serious, serious issue that Marine Patrol needs to take a closer look at.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: I don't know about Marine Patrol, but I think just all of us as a group need to.

KEITH DAVIS: Well, it's a violation of law. If you are going to be that brazen to violate the law and attack the conservation efforts of this agency, I strongly think that Marine Patrol needs to be involved.

JOE JEWELL: I would agree with Chief Davis' analysis that this is a pretty serious violation. If this is, in fact, happening, it would be similar to what has happened in the commercial component and equally unreliable, once it gets in the data system.

I do want to address one of Chief Davis' concerns. It's my understanding that Marine Patrol, at this point -- because we made a couple of modifications to

the Trip Ticket Program, at the request of Marine Patrol and Director Spraggins.

As far as I know, the only thing they don't have access to is the actual raw data. Pretty much all of the other components, they have the ability to see.

The most recent modification that we made was that a Marine Patrol officer could go into the system and just see the trips for the day. Once they got out, they couldn't see a long-term trend.

We can modify the program to allow for Marine Patrol to see a bigger picture and the complete data set that is available, or go back and look at data sets. That is available to Marine Patrol, and I'm sure they will be looking at that data very similar to the way Marine Fisheries looks at it.

JOE SPRAGGINS: Just one thing. For the data, when y'all are running this, look at during the week against the weekend, and see if there are more canceled during the week, or canceled during the weekend, when are they canceled because that could be a trend we can look at, too, to see what is going on.

Obviously, the weather, and, then, obviously, you've got to look at days, how many days this year compared to last year compared to the year before because there are going to be more, if there are more days, but

1 look at all those. 2 JOE JEWELL: We can do a detailed report. Like I say, I look at the system and I look at the data. 3 We have the Gulf Council meeting that is 4 5 occurring right now. In advance of the Gulf Council, I will look at our data. I will look at all of our date 6 7 sets, all of our independent and dependent data. I look at the Tails n' Scales Program. I do it very informally. 8 9 It's not a formal thing that goes on. 10 I just quarry the database to see overall trends 11 so that we can respond to any issues on the Federal, or State, level that occurs at these meetings. 12 13 I can and will provide a more formal detailed report to both Marine Patrol and the Commission. 14 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: We've got the best system 15 out of all the states, in my opinion. 16 JOE JEWELL: Absolutely. I agree. 17 18 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: We've just got to close the little holes and just got to figure out a way to do 19 it. 20 21 That information was brought to me, and it's credible. That's why I'm bringing it to you to see what 22 we can do about it. 23 JOE JEWELL: We will work with Director 24

Spraggins on preparing a more detailed report for the

1 Commission to review. 2 Are there any more questions? 3 (No response.) JOE JEWELL: With that being said, we will move 4 into the formal part of the Marine Fisheries agenda, and 5 first up is Item K1. Requested changes to the Spotted 6 Seatrout Endorsement is up for final adoption. Mr. Matt 7 Hill will be doing that presentation. 8 9 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Joe, I had one more 10 question for you and it's on a totally different subject. 11 MRIP data. Can you give us an update on MRIP data? 12 13 In other words, there have been some changes to the MRIP data. 14 15 Correct? JOE JEWELL: That's correct. 16 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Tell us what happened? 17 18 JOE JEWELL: Just in a general overview, a big picture of what happened is -- of course, all the dates 19 have the same protocols, the same field methodology that 20 21 they collect the data with, the MRIP program data is monitored by Gulf State Marine Fisheries Commission and it 22 goes into an overall database. 23 The way that that data is formulized right now 24 currently on average the recreational fisheries averages 25

1	about a million pounds of Spotted Seatrout landings, but
2	the program requirements has changed sort of the
3	methodology. They are doing a lot of surveying, and that
4	has changed the landings data significantly and it is,
5	now, at a very high level.
6	I can provide that to you, also. Of course,
7	it's on their website, so you can purview it at the
8	website.
9	It has more than doubled, tripled and sometimes
10	even more than that, the landings data for Spotted
11	Seatrout.
12	I do want to point out one year was, like, I
13	think a little over five million pounds.
14	MATT HILL: Five million.
15	JOE JEWELL: That is almost as much as the total
16	biomass is reported in our stock assessment.
17	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: That's the reason I asked
18	the question.
19	Now, when we did the stock assessment, we used
20	that MRIP data to calculate recreational catch and to plug
21	those numbers into that stock assessment.
22	Correct?
23	JOE JEWELL: We feel very confident in the
24	numbers that were used in the original stock assessment.
25	When we give the annual update to the Commission

which will be either at the September, or October, meeting, we are going to use the data and the way the methodology was used in the original stock assessment, not with the survey results.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: I know on the Federal level, they are having to recalculate and redo all of their stock assessments because the data has changed.

Are we, on the State level, going to have to do the same thing?

MATT HILL: I guess at some point the simple answer to that question is if these numbers do hold up and they are verified, then, yes, we will have to go back and recalculate. We are going to have to run through the base model again. We will have to use the new numbers for the update.

We are in contact with Dr. Leaf, right now, because obviously we are all very uncomfortable with the new numbers and what they are going to do to some of the recommendations and the SPR's that we have currently presented.

However, I will assure the Commission for this upcoming update, we are going to use the old methodology that was used through the MRIP and that will be the uncalibrated numbers. We just believe that is going to be the most consistent way to do it for this year because

there are several workshops being set up through the Gulf Council through the Southeastern Science Center because there is so much concern about the new numbers that have come out that at this current time on the State levels, the states have agreed to use the uncalibrated numbers for this year.

That is really the only option that I see we

That is really the only option that I see we have, that our staff sees we have, GCRL sees we have.

This is going to be a process that could change a lot of things on some numbers that we feel are not validated yet. They may fluctuate one way, or the other, a great deal.

For us to take the time and run them through the base model again is probably going to give us very inaccurate information.

We feel very comfortable that the information that we are going to use for this update, it's just one year. We are going to use everything we did since 1981, and, then, we are going to add the 2017 data which has been finalized with the uncalibrated numbers and run the update.

This upcoming year, we have a decision to make. If these numbers stand up, we do have a decision to make.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: That's my point. We have got to pay attention.

1 MATT HILL: Correct, and we are paying very 2 close attention. It is very concerning to us, but there is no easy way around it. We will have a decision to make 3 on which numbers to use and how far to go back with these 4 5 numbers and are we going to completely rerun the base models with all the new numbers. 6 That's a decision and there will be a lot of 7 people involved in that decision, but it is going to be a 8 9 tough decision because it is going to change some things. 10 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Thank you.

MATT HILL: I do want to start by saying it's good to see Commissioner Gollott back. We have had some good conversations. I always enjoy seeing you back up here.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I also do want to address something. I hate to be long-winded. I try never to be up here.

Commissioner Daniels did make a point, and I just want to get it straight. We take abandoned trips and we record the weather every day when Snapper season is open. That is one consideration that we take.

The abandoned trips have gone up. We don't feel like they have significantly gone up, but they have gone up because the season has been longer.

We are just going to have to continue to do these analyses to figure out where we are.

Commissioner Bosarge has brought this to our 1 2 attention, and we will continue to work with Marine Patrol and, hopefully, we will have a solution for this. 3 The other issue that was brought up earlier was 4 5 during the closure, we had some trips, and we have solved that. We are closed, now. That was on Marine Fisheries. 6 You cannot create a trip, right now. 7 That loophole has been somewhat fixed, we believe. We worked 8 9 with Bryan very closely. We've got a banner up. 10 We are definitely in a learning process, but we 11 are going to try to close all the loopholes that we can. COMMISSIONER DANIELS: Just a quick question on 12 13 that. Whenever you say you can't create a trip, is 14 15 there any way to put a notification there that the season has closed? 16 MATT HILL: If you go on there right now, there 17 18 is a big red banner that is flashing like a stop sign saying the season is closed. 19 We could not shut down the app, or the website 20 21 completely, because we still have fourteen expired trips and we are trying to close those out. We are trying to 22 give those people the opportunity to close them out, and 23 we are trying to contact them. 24

25

That's why we didn't shut it down completely,

but that is the first thing you see. IT worked very diligently on that, and there is a large banner. If you can't see it, then, you are just ignoring what we are trying to tell you.

You cannot create a trip, and it is very obvious that the season is now closed.

COMMISSIONER DANIELS: There is no possibility of saying, I didn't know, or the app didn't work?

MATT HILL: Absolutely not.

COMMISSIONER HAVARD: Matt, one thing that we might consider, on the Alabama Outdoors app for Snapper, you have to close your trip prior to trailering your boat, or getting on a public dock with a fish.

MATT HILL: Correct.

COMMISSIONER HAVARD: That might be an option for us, that we won't have any leeway. Before you put the fish onshore, you have to close the trip.

MATT HILL: We have discussed that. We have actually discussed that, during the certification process, with the consultants, and that was something that came up. It was just with our authorization number, we felt that we could get away with it for a little while, but that is obviously something we are looking at. We just didn't want to throw too many things at the fishermen at one time. We wanted to buy in, which we do feel like we have

a buy in, now. We feel like people understand that this 1 2 system is beneficial. However, we have identified a group of people 3 that do consistently abandon trips, and we are analyzing 4 5 that, now, to see what we can do to work with Marine Patrol, but, like I said, this is a process and there are 6 7 certain changes. We make changes every year. We bug Bryan every 8 9 year with updates and changes and what we can see and what other people can see. It's just a work in progress. 10 In saying that, I do feel like we currently do 11 have the best system in the Gulf, and we will be able to 12 13 stay under the limit and we will be the only state that 14 will be able to say that. We are very confident in our 15 system right now. COMMISSIONER HAVARD: I think as long as we get 16 enforcement involved and y'all work together to get the 17 18 system resolved, we will have a much better outcome. 19 MATT HILL: Thank you. 20 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Like I say on the 21 commercial side, if they are breaking the law, it works both ways. 22 23 MATT HILL: Yes, sir. Are we ready to get into the schedule? 24 25 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Yes, sir.

1 MATT HILL: As Joe said, this is Title 22 Part 7 2 Chapter 9, the Spotted Seatrout Endorsement. It is up for final adoption. 3 The CMR passed a Notice of Intent, on July 17, 4 5 2018. The Notice of Intent was filed with the 6 Secretary of State on July 18, 2018, as you can see in the 7 form to the right. 8 We did give notice on our MDMR web page on July 9 19th. You can see it right here on both of the pages. 10 11 Legal notice appeared in the Sun Herald on August 11th, 2018. The confirmation is the first form you 12 13 see. The notice is to the right. Notice of Intent was published on July 18, 2018. 14 Pertaining to public comments, the public 15 comment period ran from July 19th through August the 20th. 16 That's a total of thirty-three days. 17 18 To date, three public comments have been received. I will just do a short summary of the public 19 comments that were received. 20 21 On July 25th, Mr. Ernest Cook. I would like to suggest reducing the limit to ten. This would definitely 22 cut down on how many a sport fisherman would have to sale 23 and, in all fairness, reduce length to fourteen inches. 24 Also, no commercial finfish harvesting on the weekends. 25

Recreational limits only Friday midnight until Sunday midnight.

Our second public comment was received on August 10^{th} from Mr. Mark Watts. This proposed regulation will make it virtually impossible for the part-time fisherman to obtain an endorsement. All this proposal will do is take away the rights of the part-time fisherman to participate in the allowable catch and give it to the full-time, or low income, commercial fishermen.

Our third comment was received on August 20th from the Mississippi Commercial Fisheries United. It supports the regulatory change because it provides stronger protections for bonafide commercial fishermen. The current quota is inadequate to support current effort. Mississippi Commercial Fisheries United does not oppose the inclusion of charter boat income to qualify.

Here is the marked-up version. This appears in Chapter 9 Section 110.

I will skip to the clean version, or this is what would be voted on today, and there is one caveat to this, and we had a long discussion. Director Spraggins actually brought this to our attention.

I did a review last night, but a lot of talk was made about the hardship clause at the last meeting.

After a disagreement between myself and Joe and

some other people, I was wrong. It was voted on. It was obviously included in there, and, luckily, we did send it to the Secretary of State, so I will add that in there because that is what is officially at the Secretary of State. It's not on here, but I will read the sentence at the very end.

"Beginning January 1st, 2019, individuals commercially harvesting Spotted Seatrout must possess a Spotted Seatrout Endorsement at a cost of ten dollars, in addition to a current applicable harvester's license. To qualify for this endorsement, an application must be completed. For the 2019 fishing season, the fisher must present documentation that a minimum of twenty percent of their taxable income came from commercial fishing activities in a previous tax year between 2013 to 2018.

"Documentation shall be a copy of the appropriate filed IRS tax form showing the applicant's taxable income for one of those years.

"A Marine Fisheries Trip Ticket officer will then run a sales summary for the year chosen using trip tickets to determine if the eligibility requirement has been met.

"This endorsement will be valid for a one-year period, at which time a new application with qualifying documents for the previous tax year shall be submitted for

1 a new endorsement. The endorsement will be valid from 2 January 1^{st} to December 31sts of each year. "In the event of a hardship approved by the 3 Commission, the Department may consider qualifying 4 5 documents for the two years prior to the onset of the hardship." 6 If the Commission so desires to go through the 7 final adoption, all current endorsements, upon final 8 9 adoption of proposed regulation change to Title 22 Part 7 10 Section 110, all Spotted Seatrout Endorsements shall expire October the 31st, 2018, and we are talking about the 11 12 current ones. 13 Required. Motion for final adoption of regulatory changes to Title 22 Part 7 Chapter 9 Section 14 15 110, changes to Spotted Seatrout Endorsement. COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: We have some public 16 comment. A gentleman would like to speak on this, Mr. Hal 17 18 Lusk. HAL LUSK: Commissioners, gentlemen and ladies, 19 I'm Hal Lusk. 20 21 As I said last month, I'm an avid speckled trout fisherman, both recreational and commercial. 22 As a group, last month we gave you a letter with 23 our concerns. This month, I think last Friday you should 24

have received an email from Ms. Matta in regards to a

1 petition that we had out. A hundred and eighteen 2 fishermen signed this petition in two harbors. First thing said was banning the use of nets for 3 the catch and sale of speckled trout, just like Louisiana 4 5 and Alabama have already done. The second one was support the hook and line, 6 rod and reel, who have endorsements to keep their 7 endorsements and abide by the same rules as recreational 8 9 fishermen. 10 The argument we hear, we are depriving certain people from making a living catching speckled trout. 11 No one makes a living selling speckled trout. 12 13 They simply supplement their income. I'm sure most of you up there have another income than what your regular job 14 15 is. How would you like to lose that, regardless of 16 how big, or how small, that would be? 17 18 It would affect your lifestyle in some form, or fashion. 19 DMR continues to ask the Commission to change 20 21 the rules so only a few can catch fish and sell them. These are the same people, not all, the same 22 ones that broke the law this spring and received 23 violations, exact same ones, but we want them to catch all 24

the fish.

1 Why do we continue to support the few and ignore 2 the majority? It's pretty interesting, when you think about 3 that. 4 5 One other thing, we sent a number of emails -- I know I did and I know several other people did -- to Mr. 6 Jewell in regards to this subject. 7 Maybe we misread it because we may not be the 8 best computer people in the world, but we sent a number of 9 10 emails to Mr. Jewell, in regards to this, but they were not mentioned. 11 Did you get them? 12 13 JOE JEWELL: The emails that I received -- I have received the petition with a hundred and eighteen 14 15 signatures. I have received the email from you. I have received multiple emails, but it was on the proposed Cat 16 Island net ban, not on this particular subject. 17 18 We have only received three direct public comments on the Spotted Seatrout Endorsement. 19 We have received a whole bunch of input, 20 21 including the petition with a hundred and eighteen names on it, for the Cat Island issue. 22 That is part of our presentation. All those 23 comments will be presented to the Commission on the next 24 25 agenda item.

HAL LUSK: I know I sent one. In the future, I 1 2 will make sure I send it to Ms. Matta, again, and ask for a response so I will make sure that it gets to the 3 appropriate people. Thank you. 4 5 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: To clarify one thing, you said Louisiana had closed their net fishery? 6 7 HAL LUSK: Louisiana and Alabama, to my knowledge, do not allow the catch and sell of speckled 8 9 trout with a net. COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: I think that's incorrect. 10 11 It's a game fish in Alabama. JOE JEWELL: I think Matt can answer that 12 13 question directly about Louisiana. 14 HAL LUSK: Thank vou. 15 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Thank you. MATT HILL: To the best of my knowledge -- and I 16 have read it several times -- what happened in Louisiana, 17 18 when the net ban began to take place, is in order to 19 harvest Spotted Seatrout in Louisiana the only people that were allowed to harvest Spotted Seatrout, after that time, 20 21 were the net fishermen that possessed a license between 1993 and 1995. 22 My understanding is they took the entire one 23 million pounds Spotted Seatrout quota of Louisiana and 24

gave it to those net fishermen, but they had to catch them

1 through hook and line, but they were the only participants 2 allowed in that fishery. They were not allowed to harvest with Spotted 3 Seatrout anymore, pompano and mullet over there, but, in 4 5 compensation, they give the net fishermen the one million pounds Spotted Seatrout quota to harvest with hook and 6 line. 7 I'm glad you clarified 8 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: 9 that because it has been too long for me. I didn't know 10 exactly where they were. Any further discussion? 11 (No response.) 12 13 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Any questions? 14 (No response.) Do we have a motion to 15 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: approve? 16 17 COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Mr. Chairman, let me ask 18 a question. I haven't been here in a couple of weeks. 19 what exactly did we raise the quota to, or how did we change it from what it was, just to clarify? 20 21 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: We haven't changed any quota so to speak. What we've done is to look at the 22 qualifiers for the endorsement to catch Spotted Seatrout 23 under hook and line. 24 25 COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: okay.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Matt, catch him up.

MATT HILL: What we've done is, when we began the Spotted Seatrout Endorsement -- I will try to summarize this -- it was ten percent of your annual income, or five thousand dollars, what you could prove, but you could bring in receipts, tickets, anything that you had around for any previous year. You could bring them in from 1972, and you sat in front of myself, or the Trip Ticket officer, and we approved it, or you could get your tax preparer to sign a form that verified that ten percent of your income came from commercial fishing activities.

The big change in this is we have taken out the five thousand dollar qualified. We have raised the percentage to twenty percent of their taxable income from any commercial activity, but you also are required to show that through the Trip Ticket System.

Your tax preparer will no longer be able to verify that twenty percent, or you will not be able to bring us receipts, and we will verify it.

We will pull up your name in the Trip Ticket

System and we will verify your sales through that system

and compare them to your taxable income on your IRS form.

COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: What would keep somebody from cheating on that?

1 Have you thought about the ramifications? 2 MATT HILL: When we set it, it has to be their filed IRS tax form. If they cheat, I think they are going 3 to be in a little bit bigger trouble than with us, and, 4 5 then, also, we are just going to hit a button in the system. 6 If you cannot prove that you sold any seafood 7 product in that system -- if your annual income is a 8 9 hundred thousand dollars, I'm going to have to hit that 10 Trip Ticket System and show that twenty thousand dollars of that came from seafood, from commercial activities, and 11 it has to be in the Trip Ticket System. Those are the 12 only two verifiers, the pieces of paper we will look at . 13 14 COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Thank you, Matt. 15 MATT HILL: Yes, sir. COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: I believe, to kind of 16 clarify things, this will stop any cheating. 17 18 MATT HILL: Correct. 19 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: The way it was before there was an avenue you could go down and it wasn't quite 20 21 right that we had no means of verifying. MATT HILL: We had no means of verifying and, 22 like I said, there was cheating. Our biggest issue is 23 when we had a tax preparer who could just sign off on it. 24

They would sign off on it and they would get it notarized,

and we would have to accept that.

Again, if they were caught doing something they weren't supposed to, they were in a little bit bigger trouble than with us, but we had to accept that form and issue that endorsement.

Here, it's all in house. Once you bring us that summary form of your taxable income that you filed on your IRS tax form, then, we are going to compare it to the Trip Ticket System and we will make a copy of both and put them in the file, and that's what you are going to qualify with.

COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Mr. Chairman, I would like to make a motion that we accept these changes.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Just to clarify that motion, it will be for final adoption of regulatory changes to Title 22 Part 7 Chapter 9 Section 110, Changes to Spotted Seatrout Endorsement.

MATT HILL: That is correct, and I do apologize. We did check with the Secretary of State. It does include the hardship clause that the Commission requested at the last meeting.

COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: How does that hardship clause read?

MATT HILL: It's up to the Commission. If we have someone that believes they have a hardship, they will

1 be allowed to come in front of the Commission and the 2 Commission, instead of the previous year's taxes, they will be able to consider the previous two years' taxes. 3 COMMISSIONER DANIELS: Just for clarification, 4 we never did do anything for the charter boat operators on 5 that? 6 No. That is not currently in this 7 MATT HILL: It was the comment that was made that said thev 8 do not oppose that and to consider charter for hire 9 10 income, when we consider this, but that is currently not in the motion. 11 If we wanted to COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: 12 13 incorporate that, it's a another process, I'm sure. MATT HILL: I would defer to Sandy on that. 14 What we have in here is commercial activities. 15 So we would say, for this provision, charter for 16 hire would fall under commercial activities for this 17 18 endorsement, or we would have to go out and... JOE JEWELL: Gulf Council does not consider the 19 charter boat community a commercial activity. It is all 20 21 handled under the recreational component. I think what I'm hearing -- I want some 22 clarification. If there are charter boat individuals that 23 have a commercial license and they are able to meet the 24 25 requirements that were stated here, then, they would be

eligible.

It's not like a separate endorsement, or a separate component. It is simply that if the charter boat operator can meet the requirements in here, then, he would be considered a commercial fisherman and he would be allowed to get the endorsement, the annual update and all the terms that are put in the proposal right now.

There is no allotment for a separate charter boat allocation to either the endorsement, or the quota.

MATT HILL: I think what Commissioner Daniels is referring to is when we are talking about the income, we are referring strictly to commercial activities and we were suggesting adding charter for hire activities in there so it would be commercial activities and/or charter for hire activities.

JOE JEWELL: I think if we do that, our counsel would have to weigh in because all the discussions of point have been about modifications in the commercial portion of it, not the recreational portion and, if we combine those, if the Commission wishes to go forward with that, we would have to seek out a new Notice of Intent and public notice.

Sandy would have to weigh in on that.

SANDY CHESTNUT: If there are any substantive changes to what has been proposed and there were

1 additions, that would have to go back out on Notice of 2 Intent. COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Mr. Daniels, do you see 3 this hurting the commercial charter business? 4 5 COMMISSIONER DANIELS: Well, as Matt and I have discussed before, I was personally in this position 6 whenever they first came out with speckled trout. 7 The argument that I get from a lot of our 8 charter boat guys is that we make all of our living on the 9 10 water, yet we can't supplement our income with selling speckled trout because we're not going to reach that 11 12 percentage. 13 If you've got a guy that runs two hundred days a year on charters, every bit of his money is coming from 14 15 catching fish. Now, he may have another twenty days a year 16 where he is able to go out and commercially fish. 17 18 If he is running two hundred days a year, that's a hundred thousand dollars. In the next twenty days, he 19 is not going to be able to show twenty thousand dollars 20 21 worth of sales. The bottom line is they are making their income 22 every single day on the water, and it's a natural 23 progression for them to be able to supplement that income 24

with seafood sales.

1 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: I agree with that. COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: I don't think I have ever 2 chartered -- and I've done guite a bit of this chartering 3 and everything -- where the fish were sold. We always 4 5 took the fish home with us. I can see where you are coming from with this. 6 Any suggestions on how we could modify it to 7 help the charter boat operators? 8 COMMISSIONER DANIELS: That's what I had asked 9 10 for at the last meeting was to look into some type of exception, or exemption, for licensed charter boat 11 operators, if they could prove at least fifty-one percent 12 13 of their income came solely from the charter boat. talking about full-time charter boat operators. 14 15 JOE JEWELL: I will add some clarification, Commissioner Daniels. You did bring that up and you did 16 publicly comment. 17 18 What you said was, what they are saying, I would like to say I personally dealt with this issue years ago 19 at some point, and I would like the possibility of looking 20 21 into some type of consideration for the charter boat operators that do this. 22 These guys are making all their money from 23 fishing, but they may not sell other types of seafood. 24

They want to supplement their income with catching

Let me

speckled trout on their days off. 1 2 The Commission did not act on that comment. They would have to modify the Notice of Intent 3 with a motion to include whatever language you would like 4 5 to propose to include that. The Notice of Intent that was approved by the 6 Commission moved forward as it was and as it is presented, 7 8 now. 9 COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Let me say this. 10 withdraw my motion and make a motion that we table this until the next meeting and give y'all a chance to look at 11 all of the ramifications. The last thing we want to do is 12 13 hurt the charter boat industry. COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: We were in the final 14 15 adoption stage. I'm asking you, Joe, can we do that? 16 17 JOE JEWELL: We can postpone the Notice of 18 Intent, but, if the Commission desires to make significant changes to the Notice of Intent, as counsel has advised, 19 we would, at that point, have to withdraw the Notice of 20

21

22

23

24

25

Intent and resubmit a new Notice of Intent. The Commission has to state specifically to the staff what it is you would like us to consider for the final adoption for next Commission meeting so that we can make that determination, and, then, you are going to have

1 to vote on that. 2 COMMISSIONER DANIELS: You are going to have to excuse my ignorance here. I am the new guy. I don't know 3 all the proper terminology of this. 4 5 I apology for the delays in this, as well. Had I been here earlier, I would have brought up this same 6 issue earlier in this process. 7 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Is it possible to vote on 8 9 this, and, then, according to which way it goes, then, 10 look at starting over to add that language? JOE JEWELL: You absolutely could. The 11 Commission could vote for final adoption today as it is, 12 13 and, then, bring the subject back up next Commission 14 meetina. COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Make like a one zero one 15 point something that adds to that? 16 Do you see what I'm saying, instead of starting 17 18 the procedure all over again? JOE JEWELL: Well, you would have to do that 19 eventually because, if the Commission voted to move 20 21 forward with final adoption, we would give the Notice Intent to the Secretary of State administrative bulletin, 22

Now, at that point, the Commission can

and, then, thirty days from the date it is stamped, it

would become effective.

23

24

1 reconsider what they have done, or modify what is 2 currently in the regulation. That's how that process would work. 3 If the Commission decides to table it, counselor 4 5 Chestnut would have to weigh in, but my understanding is that the Notice of Intent as it is, is still valid on the 6 administrative bulletin until which time the Commission 7 takes action on final adoption. 8 9 Now, final adoption is what we propose. At that 10 point, if you table it and you come back next Commission 11 meeting and you decide you want to make some substantive changes to this regulation that wasn't included in the 12 13 filed Notice of Intent, we would have to withdraw and submit a new Notice of Intent. 14 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: So we can either table 15 it, or we can go ahead and make the changes, or ask now. 16 COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Do you know all of the 17 18 ramifications of making those changes? 19 What is the impact? MATT HILL: I think what we would be looking at 20 21 is, I will read the complete sentence: "For the 2019 fishing season the fisher must 22 present documentation that a minimum of twenty percent of 23

their taxable income came from commercial fishing

activities and/or charter for hire activities in a

24

1 previous tax year." 2 I believe if we would add that phrase in two spots right there, just as simple as that, that we would 3 accomplish allowing the charter for hire to use their 4 5 income to qualify for this and, also, the trip tickets from the commercial system to qualify for it. 6 COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: 7 I'm sorry, Matt. Would you repeat that? 8 9 MATT HILL: For the 2019 fishing season the fisher must present documentation that a minimum of twenty 10 percent of their taxable income came from commercial 11 fishing activities and/or charter for hire activities in a 12 13 previous tax year. We would just be looking at adding "and/or 14 charter for hire activities" right there, and I believe 15 that would take care of what we are trying to accomplish. 16 SANDY CHESTNUT: You would still have to go back 17 18 out, if you make that change today. JOE JEWELL: Counsel, for my understanding, if 19 the Commission wants to move forward with that Notice of 20 21 Intent, they would have to make a motion to withdraw the current Notice of Intent, and, then, we would refile on 22 this? 23

> Lucille Morgan, CSR 1251 COURT REPORTER (228) 396-8788

MATT HILL: I would like to point out that the

SANDY CHESTNUT: That's correct.

24

date that we are looking at, when we are talking about expiration, time is on our side. If this happens, we are looking at allowing all the current Spotted Seatrout endorsements to expire October $31^{\rm st}$.

Even if we withdrew and changed, we would still make that October 31st deadline, and that is an arbitrary deadline that we in Fisheries set just to give us time for the qualification process, but, even if we do what is being asked today, I believe we would still make the October 31st deadline. I believe the final adoption would come up in October.

JOE JEWELL: That's correct.

I would recommend, if the Commission is going to move forward with the inclusion of charter fishing, that we move back the actual expiration date from October to November, a month, because it's a month that we will have to be in arrears for the new Notice of Intent.

COMMISSIONER HAVARD: There is a lot of concern for this twenty percent factor, especially the supplemental income, just like Mr. Hal stated.

I would like more time to look into this and discuss it, as far as different qualifying numbers.

MATT HILL: Well, if we are all in agreement on the charter for hire, what we can do is we can bring options back with the ten, twenty, thirty, forty, whatever

percentage we are looking at. 1 2 The percentage, if that is what we are going to focus on, we can give the options on the percentage. 3 We started at ten. Then, we went to thirty-4 5 five. Now, we're at twenty. we can look at some more options, if the 6 Commission so desires. 7 JOE JEWELL: If the Commission wants to change 8 9 the qualifying portion of this in any way, the Commission 10 would have to vote on that and direct the staff to do that. 11 COMMISSIONER DANIELS: Seems to me like we've 12 13 got a lot of uncertainty here. COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Well, I wouldn't go that 14 We have hashed all this out at the last meetings. 15 The only difference I see would be adding 16 charter fishermen which that's what they do. They fish 17 18 for a living. I don't have a problem with that. 19 I thought we had pretty well been through the rest of it in the previous meetings, and we are where we 20 21 are, now, with final adoption. COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Mr. Chairman, I want to 22 make the motion that we move forward with final adoption 23 and let the Commission take this back up at the next 24 meeting, or whenever they can, and get the staff to work 25

1 with Commissioner Daniels to see what his problem is and 2 to make sure that we are not trying to hurt the charter boat fishermen. 3 I have absolutely no sympathy for these people 4 5 that are recreational fishermen and want to sell their catch. I don't care what the percentage is. I don't want 6 7 to see that. You are either commercial, or you are recreational, as far as I'm concerned. 8 9 I make a motion we move forward with final 10 adoption, with the understanding that we will bring this 11 back up. SANDY CHESTNUT: And you would be withdrawing 12 13 the last motion to table this matter? COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: That's correct. 14 15 COMMISSIONER DANIELS: You are saying to move forward with final adoption, with the adding of the 16 charter boats? 17 18 COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: No. We've got to bring 19 the charter boats back up at the next meeting, the way I understand it. We've got to go back over it again. 20 21 SANDY CHESTNUT: You have that option, yes. You can go ahead with final adoption as it was without the 22 charter boats, and, then, you can bring it back up with 23 the charter boats at the next meeting. That's an option. 24

25

If you want to add the charter boat information,

1 you have to withdraw this and go back out on Notice of 2 Intent. COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Well, you wouldn't 3 withdraw it. You would just go forward with another 4 5 Notice of Intent. Right? 6 If you add that language, 7 SANDY CHESTNUT: No. you have to withdraw what is on file with the Secretary of 8 9 State's office, now. 10 COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: What is the best way to 11 handle this, Sandy? SANDY CHESTNUT: You are really going to 12 13 accomplish the same thing. If you want to go ahead and 14 get some change on the books, if that is important to you, go ahead with final adoption and come back with Notice of 15 Intent for any further changes. 16 JOE SPRAGGINS: One quick question. 17 18 Will it delay anything, Matt, if you do the final adoption today? 19 20 MATT HILL: Yes, sir. 21 JOE SPRAGGINS: And, then, when it comes back and they want to add something to it, do they have to wait 22 for the thirty days at the Secretary of State, or anything 23 else, before they can do that? 24 MATT HILL: It will be a sixty-day process again 25

1 for the final adoption of that. 2 JOE SPRAGGINS: If they don't vote on the final adoption today, and, then, the Commission says that they 3 want to add the one part about the charter boats? 4 5 MATT HILL: It's ninety days from today. JOE SPRAGGINS: Can they do that today and move 6 forward, or what is the issue? 7 JOE JEWELL: I think what Director Spraggins is 8 9 saying is if the Commission moves forward with final 10 adoption today and we file the notice today and it gets stamped today, that means that it becomes effective on, or 11 approximately, September the 20th, or the 21st. 12 13 If the Commission meeting in September is before the 20th, what Director Spraggins is asking is can the 14 Commission file a Notice of Intent for the inclusion of 15 the charter-for-hire fishermen in there before the final 16 adoption date of the regulation. 17 18 I think the answer is yes, but counselor Chestnut would have to weigh in on that for final opinion. 19 SANDY CHESTNUT: The next Commission meeting is 20 September the 18th and, if a Notice of Intent was passed to 21 change what we have already done, we could go ahead and 22 file it. 23

went through final adoption today, would be the 21st of

24

25

MATT HILL: The effective date for this, if we

September, and that is the effective date that is on the 1 2 current form. It would three days prior to that. 3 SANDY CHESTNUT: Correct. COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: somebody might come up 4 5 with a different ramification here that we are not considering. 6 If we go ahead and adopt it, and, then, work 7 with the people that we are affecting here, maybe we will 8 come up with a better option, or something. 9 10 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: We are going to leave the 11 ball in his court, which way he wants to work with it. Do you feel comfortable moving forward with this 12 move, or do you want to postpone it and add to it? 13 In other words, instruct the staff to add the 14 15 language and bring it back next month? COMMISSIONER DANIELS: That's what I would feel 16 most comfortable with. 17 18 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: That's where we are. So Commissioner Gollott would have to withdraw 19 his motion and modify his motion. 20 21 Correct? JOE JEWELL: He has withdrawn his motion to 22 table, and the motion that he currently has that hasn't 23 been seconded is to move forward with the current proposed 24 regulatory changes, so he would have to withdraw that. 25

1	COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Okay. I will withdraw
2	that motion.
3	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Now, we would need a
4	motion.
5	COMMISSIONER DANIELS: I will make the motion to
6	bring this back next month with the added language to
7	include charter boats.
8	MATT HILL: The specific language for charter
9	for hire included?
10	COMMISSIONER DANIELS: Just what you've got
11	there, yes, sir.
12	JOE JEWELL: With that being said, we will have
13	to withdraw the current Notice of Intent. The Commission
14	will have to vote to withdraw the current Notice of Intent
15	on file and for the staff to come forward at the next
16	Commission meeting with the same proposal, but with the
17	inclusion of the charter boats.
18	COMMISSIONER DANIELS: That's correct.
19	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Who is going to make the
20	motion to withdraw?
21	COMMISSIONER HAVARD: Can we add maybe looking
22	at that twenty percent qualifier
23	COURT REPORTER: (Interposing) I can't hear
24	you, Commissioner Havard.
25	MATT HILL: If the Commission votes for us to

1	look at some options, we can look at some other options.
2	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Let's get clear what we
3	need to do first.
4	JOE JEWELL: I guess the first thing is to
5	withdraw the Notice of Intent.
6	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: I need a motion to
7	withdraw the Notice of Intent.
8	COMMISSIONER DANIELS: I'll make that motion.
9	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: We have a motion.
10	Do we have a second for that motion?
11	SANDY CHESTNUT: Can we take some time and put
12	the motion on the board, please, just to get it right?
13	Commissioner Daniels is making a motion to
14	withdraw for final adoption the Title 22 Part 7 Chapter 9.
15	JOE JEWELL: I need some clarification.
16	The final adoption hasn't been approved. What
17	we are withdrawing is the current Notice of Intent.
18	SANDY CHESTNUT: Right, but it's not going to
19	move forward for final adoption.
20	JOE JEWELL: That's correct.
21	SANDY CHESTNUT: And the rest of that motion is
22	to bring back next month language regarding the charter
23	boat industry.
24	COMMISSIONER DANIELS: Yes.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: We have a motion.

1	Do we have a second for that motion?
2	COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: I'll second that, Mr.
3	Chairman.
4	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: We have a motion and a
5	second.
6	All those in favor aye.
7	(All in favor.)
8	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Opposed like sign.
9	(None opposed.)
10	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Motion carries.
11	COMMISSIONER DANIELS: I would like to make a
12	motion to bring this back next month with the included
13	language for charter boats.
14	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: We have a motion.
15	Do we have a second for that motion?
16	COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: I'll second it, Mr.
17	Chairman.
18	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All those in favor aye.
19	(All in favor.)
20	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Opposed like sign.
21	(None opposed.)
22	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Motion carries.
23	COMMISSIONER HAVARD: I would like to make a
24	motion that we bring back next month some alternatives to
25	the twenty percent to reach that qualifier.

1	MATT HILL: When we're talking about
2	alternatives, are we just talking about different
3	percentages, or different qualifiers?
4	COMMISSIONER HAVARD: I think there are multiple
5	qualifiers
6	SANDY CHESTNUT: (Interposing) I couldn't hear
7	that motion.
8	COURT REPORTER: I can't hear Commissioner
9	Havard.
10	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Ms. Chestnut, what is it
11	you need?
12	SANDY CHESTNUT: I need the motion that Mr.
13	Havard just made.
14	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Could you repeat your
15	motion, Commissioner Havard?
16	COMMISSIONER HAVARD: I would like to make a
17	motion that the staff bring back language that addresses
18	the twenty percent and some additional qualifiers for the
19	endorsement.
20	COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Can we discuss this a
21	little bit?
22	I would like to have a reason why we want
23	recreational people selling commercial fish.
24	COMMISSIONER HAVARD: I'm not so worried about
25	recreational guys. I'm thinking about the retired guys

that have saved well throughout their life and they want 1 2 to supplement their income on the weekends, or some during the week when the biting is good. 3 If they have saved well for their retirement. 4 5 they are never going to reach this twenty percent qualifier to get their commercial license. 6 COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Didn't we solve that 7 8

problem, when we put these qualifiers on there because the retired people came up?

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MATT HILL: You are going to have to help me on when we included the words "taxable income", that this. we supposed to be the least restrictive income that was on the filed tax form. That was my understanding.

COMMISSIONER HAVARD: And that's correct.

MATT HILL: I guess where I had difficulty with that is we took the least restrictive. I think we were a little more restrictive in the beginning.

If we are going to use the tax form, I'm not sure what else to use on there.

COMMISSIONER HAVARD: Out of a hundred and fifty-one commercial Spotted Seatrout endorsements that are out there, I have had several of these guys call and come to me and talk to this twenty percent issue, and say that we are penalizing them for saving well for their retirement because they will never make twenty thousand

1 dollars a year selling seafood from trip tickets to meet the twenty percent qualifier. 2 They have some concerns, and a lot of these guys 3 have been doing this for years and years. 4 5 COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: One of the reasons I wanted to add Tails n' Scales on speckled trout is we can 6 fall back on this. This is another reason to do Tails n' 7 Scales to take in consideration retired people. 8 I'm not 9 trying to cut retired people out. It's just that we had 10 over four hundred recreational fishermen selling fish, and it's creeping back up I understand, and I don't want to 11 see it go back up. 12 13 Recreational people should be catching recreational fish and taking them home and not selling 14

them.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER HAVARD: Richard, I agree. recreational guys are doing wrong, catch them. Stop it. It's not a recreational-commercial.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Well, it is kind of, and there is a big group of folks that do both, recreational fish and commercial fish, and I have a problem with that.

It's kind of like Commissioner Gollott said. You have either got to be commercial, or you've got to be recreational. You can't be both.

This was a way of making sure we were fair to

the commercial fishermen and making sure the ones that have done it and done it correctly could still qualify.

We have had this discussion. There are some things I think we need to do within the Spotted Seatrout Endorsement Program that would make it better for everybody.

Someone might suggest, whenever a commercial fisherman buys a license -- I buy a shrimp license. I buy a crab license. I buy an oyster license. I have to attach it to a vessel. That vessel has to be a commercial vessel.

If we are going to do this and do this correctly, then, that Spotted Seatrout Endorsement needs to be attached to a vessel which is a commercial vessel. This is a commercial fishery. This is not a recreational fishery.

At the same time, to differentiate that vessel from any other vessel in Alabama, you have to put a placard on that vessel, two-foot-by-one-foot red placard where it can be identified from a distance to let enforcement know that is a commercial vessel.

Those are some of the things I see that we haven't done that need to be done.

It's a commercial fishery and like any other fishery, that license needs to be attached to a vessel

and, at the same time, I don't think that vessel should be used in the recreational fishery because it's a commercial vessel.

We have got to make the laws where enforcement can do their job.

JOE JEWELL: Commissioner Bosarge, I want to address a couple of the points that you brought up because with the motion that was passed, I want to point out that the original Notice of Intent that is filed that we are going to withdraw, it's under Chapter 9, commercial size, possession and catch limit.

Now, we have been directed to add charter for hire in there.

I want to make sure everybody understands that no organization that I know of considers charter for hire commercial. It is considered part of the recreational component.

I just want to be clear on that and the Commission to understand that we would be officially including the recreational component in the commercial catch. There is no way around that. Charter for hire is considered by both Federal and State governments in commercial exclusion.

Then, I want to address your comments and Commissioner Gollott's comments --

1	COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: (Interposing) Joe, let
2	me ask you a question right here. I'm sorry.
3	JOE JEWELL: Sure.
4	COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Couldn't we establish a
5	separate category for charter boats and their own quota?
6	JOE JEWELL: We could make a separate chapter to
7	include this language, absolutely.
8	COMMISSIONER DANIELS: My question is do we not
9	allow these same fishermen to buy a commercial license, as
10	well as a charter license?
11	JOE JEWELL: Yes.
12	MATT HILL: Yes, we do.
13	COMMISSIONER DANIELS: I don't see how we can
14	say, yes, you can buy a license, but you can't be
15	included. You can show up at the game, but we're not
16	going to let you play.
17	COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: You've got to realize one
18	thing, too. We have set a limit on these commercial
19	people of fifty thousand pounds. That's all they get.
20	If we let these recreational people sell fish,
21	they are taking the bread out of these commercial people's
22	mouths.
23	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Right now we have a
24	motion that Commissioner Havard has made.
25	COMMISSIONER DANIELS: Commissioner Havard, on

1 the additional qualifiers that you are talking about, are 2 you suggesting an age qualifier? 3 COMMISSIONER HAVARD: I think an age qualifier would be a good one to look at and readdress the whole 4 5 twenty percent. Twenty percent equates to a lot of money. We need to put twenty percent, or X number of dollars. 6 I just think there are a lot of things that we 7 8 have not thought about. 9 KEITH DAVIS: Mr. Chairman, from an enforcement 10 standpoint, to Joe's point, when you include charter boat 11 into this language, how do we determine when you are on a charter trip, or you are fishing for trout that you can 12 13 se11? 14 COMMISSIONER DANIELS: Very simply. You have to 15 have the number of people with endorsements. If I personally am carrying a speckled trout endorsement, I've 16 got to have other people with the endorsements on that 17 18 boat to fish to make that a legal commercial trip. 19 We are obviously not going to have that, whenever we have two, or three, clients on there. 20 21 KEITH DAVIS: Yes, sir, but everyone is not like you, Commissioner. 22 When you get back to the dock, when some of 23 those trips are back at the dock and your crew, or your 24

customers, are saying we don't want that many fish, we do

1 know that sometimes those fish make it back to the deck 2 hands and the captains, and enforcement shows up at that point, as I understand how the language will be written, 3 there is no way for enforcement to distinguish those fish. 4 5 COMMISSIONER DANIELS: I believe Commissioner Gollott has a good point with included Tails n' Scales on 6 this speckled trout fishery. 7 Isn't that what you asked to add? 8 9 COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Yes. 10 COMMISSIONER DANIELS: That seems to me like a 11 program that we have already got in place that could be implemented. 12 KEITH DAVIS: Yes, sir. I don't want to get us 13 14 too far afar, but I think that there are a lot of 15 unanswered questions moving forward with how we draft the language going out for Notice of Intent again. 16 JOE JEWELL: I do want to point out that Tails 17 18 n' Scales tracks recreational landings, not commercial. If the charter for hire boat at that time 19 decides to be a commercial activity, he will have to 20 21 report all those landings in the Trip Ticket Program. COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Maybe to answer your 22 question, Commissioner Daniels, you weren't talking about 23 using your endorsement to catch fish on your charter boat? 24 COMMISSIONER DANIELS: 25 No.

1 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: You see what I'm saying? 2 You are saying that he would be catching fish on his charter boat that would be under an endorsement. 3 He is referring to having an endorsement, using 4 5 his income from the charter boat to qualify for that endorsement, and, then, being able to fish on his own boat 6 using his endorsement. I believe I'm correct. 7 I do understand that part. 8 KEITH DAVIS: COMMISSIONER DANIELS: I think what Chief Davis 9 10 is getting at is the possibility of fish being sold that 11 weren't caught with the endorsement. I don't know how to fix that problem. 12 13 The comments that we had earlier about trips being cancelled, I mean, there are people out there that 14 15 are going to do things the wrong way. I'm just trying to follow up on voices that have 16 come to me through the charter boat community wanting to 17 18 be able to continue supplementing their income. 19 KEITH DAVIS: Yes, sir, and I agree with that. I just think that there needs to be a little 20 more careful consideration on how the language is drafted, 21 as you go out for Notice of Intent because of that issue. 22 Not everyone is going to do it, but I do believe 23 that you are making it a little bit harder for enforcement 24

to try to enforce those who will violate and catch fish

1 and declare those fish under their Endorsement, after they 2 have caught them on a charter trip. COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Chief, let me ask a 3 question. 4 5 when you do Tails n' Scales, you declare before you go fishing you are going speckled trout fishing and so 6 and so, and you do it, like, in the morning. 7 If you pull up on a boat and there are three, or 8 9 four, people in the boat and they are fishing and they've 10 got Tails n' Scales, if they are recreational, or commercial, they do trip tickets. 11 Wouldn't that solve the problem? 12 13 MATT HILL: I guess, not having done something like this before, I will throw this out there. 14 15 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: In an effort to move this on, maybe we should direct the staff to take into 16 consideration this conversation, when you are drafting 17 18 that language and see if you can come up with some language that works for enforcement. 19 MATT HILL: I believe what we're talking about 20 21 here is we are talking about the income requirements. I don't believe we have a big issue with 22 enforcement on the income requirements. 23 It's what happens after the charter for hire 24 25 vessel captain qualifies for it, then, how do you

differentiate if he is commercial fishing, or charter 1 2 fishing, and I have some ideas about that. When we are talking about what they are using 3 for income, this is strictly about income requirements. 4 5 This is not saying what they can and can't do, or whether you are catching those fish commercially, or 6 recreationally. We are strictly focusing on how do you 7 qualify to get the endorsement. 8 9 Now, the Chief's concern is a very valid one, 10 what happens after they get the endorsement. That is a new set of language. That has nothing to do with this 11 particular language. 12 13 This is just who qualifies and who doesn't. Now, we are getting into some of the comments 14 that you were making a little earlier. 15 What are you doing? 16 What is your intent? 17 18 What is your activity. 19 That's a different set of language. 20 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Chief, would you be 21 willing to work with Matt to see if we couldn't iron out some of these details and figure what would make all of 22 this mesh? 23 KEITH DAVIS: Certainly. I just wanted to point 24 25 out those concerns, as we are drafting, so we don't put

ourselves in a box.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Kudos to you because we can make all the laws in the world, but, if the laws aren't enforceable, they are no good. We have got to make them where they work with you.

KEITH DAVIS: Yes, sir. I would like to point out that just this morning I saw a crabber going through CSX, and I learned a regulation allows a commercial crabber to fish six pots north of the CSX bridge.

How do you know which crabs you caught north and which crabs you caught south?

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: I can address that one from the Crab Task Force. We tried to differentiate the gear where a commercial crabber has a full trap; a recreational crabber has a half trap. When you pull it up, you will know the difference. You will know if it's commercial, or recreational.

JOE JEWELL: Commissioner Bosarge, I'm echoing what Matt said. Some of the comments you made will be pretty saline to the process, if we attach the license to the boat. That certainly is a consideration.

Commissioner Daniels, I want to ask this question.

Do all the charter boat fishermen that commercially fish for Spotted Seatrout have a different

1 boat? 2 If they have a different boat in which they do that and they are not doing that activity on the charter 3 boat, we can clearly make that distinction in the proposed 4 5 language. That would make it easier on Marine Patrol, if they see a charter boat out there and they have to try and 6 make that call when they are out in the field. 7 No, sir. I can't imagine 8 COMMISSIONER DANIELS: 9 that all charter boat, or even a majority of the charter 10 boat fishermen that commercially fish have two separate 11 boats. COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: In an effort to move it 12 13 on, right now we have a motion on the table. Do we have a second for that motion? 14 15 COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: What is the motion, Mr. Chairman? 16 17 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Would you repeat the 18 motion, Ms. Chestnut? 19 SANDY CHESTNUT: Motion to bring back language that addresses the twenty percent and different qualifiers 20 for the endorsement. 21 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: We have a motion. 22 Do we have a second for that motion? 23 24 (No response.) 25 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Hearing none, the motion

1 fails. 2 MATT HILL: Thank you. COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Mr. Chairman, I would 3 like to make a motion that we take a short break. 4 5 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: I'll second that motion. Let's take ten minutes. 6 MEETING STANDS IN RECESS 7 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: I call this meeting back 8 9 to order. 10 COMMISSIONER HAVARD: Matt, one other thing, 11 before we get too deep in another subject, this Tails n' Scales app, I created a trip just a few moments ago. It 12 13 gave me a trip number. The only red banner that is on the Tails n' Scales app says, "You have created a successful 14 15 trip." You get a text, the whole nine yards. MATT HILL: We will look into that. We will go 16 into the office and call the developer, but I tried it 17 18 yesterday and it kicked me completely out of the system and it had that banner up there. Obviously, they have 19 changed something. 20 21 COMMISSIONER HAVARD: No problem. JOE JEWELL: Yesterday I also tried to do a trip 22 and it wouldn't allow me, and I saw the banner that said 23 "The Season is Closed", but I also saw your cell phone 24

where you actually were given two authorization codes a

1 few minutes ago, so there is something going on. 2 I did ask you if you had updated software and you said yes. 3 There is some issue that we need to address 4 quickly. 5 COMMISSIONER HAVARD: Okay. Appreciate it. 6 JOE JEWELL: Commissioners, next up for your 7 consideration is Item K2, Title 22 Part 5 Chapter 4, One-8 Mile Commercial Finfish Net Exclusion Zone Around Cat 9 10 Island. 11 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Joe. JOE JEWELL: I'm going to take all those issues 12 13 on. COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Commissioner Gollott has 14 15 not been here, so he doesn't know quite where we have been. 16 JOE JEWELL: Some of the issues that were raised 17 and some of the issues that Commissioner Gollott needs to 18 be aware of, I'm going to raise right here on this slide. 19 This is an inclusion slide that Director 20 21 Spraggins approved. It is not in your packet, and I want to take on a couple of the issues here. 22 This will be germane to your comment to update 23 Commissioner Gollott. 24 At the June Commission meeting, a motion was 25

made for consideration of a haul seine net ban around Cat 1 2 Island. Then, at this past July meeting, there was some 3 clarification on that language, that specific language 4 5 that it apply to all the commercial nets, not recreational. 6 There was some clarification made by Counselor 7 Chestnut to include all nets that were under that chapter 8 of the regulatory language. 9 10 That motion was approved by the Commission and moved forward, so that is sort of where we are at here 11 today. 12 13 COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: How does it read, Joe? JOE JEWELL: The motion? 14 15 COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Yes. JOE JEWELL: The motion at the July meeting was 16 to add an agenda Item K5(a). Commissioner Havard motioned 17 18 to add the item. Included discussion on establishing a haul seine exclusionary zone around Cat Island. 19 The specific motion was for the staff to come 20 21 back next month which would be July with a Notice of Intent to establish a one-mile commercial net exclusionary 22 zone around Cat Island. 23 The motion was made by Commissioner Havard. 24 Ιt

was seconded by Commissioner Trapani. It passed three to

Commissioner Bosarge voted no. 1 one. 2 At the July meeting, the motion was modifying Title 22 Part 5 to include a one-mile exclusion zone 3 around Cat Island to prohibit commercial nets. 4 5 COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: In other words, that would include shrimp nets, also. 6 JOE JEWELL: Well, I addressed that at the issue 7 that was made in public comment. 8 The question that was raised during one of the 9 10 public comments was clarification of what specific nets this was addressing. 11 I clarified that request, by stating in the 12 13 public record the explanatory language for Chapter 24, the commercial. 14 I will read that to you, Commissioner Gollott, 15 from the July meeting. 16 I was asked by Mr. Ryan Bradley, 17 18 "Can we get some clarity from this?" I was directed by Chairman Bosarge to respond to 19 it, and here is my response: 20 21 "The section of language that it is addressing and that the changes would take place on is 22 Section 101, and this is the explanatory chapter 23 that all other explanatory sections are under, 24 and it reads." 25

I read it into the record, and it says:

"It shall be unlawful for any person, firm,
or corporation, to catch, take, or carry away
any saltwater fish by, or with" -- here is the
defining of what nets it applies to -- "gill
nets, trammel nets, purse seines, seines and
fish traps and other like contrivances."

Those are the specific nets that this regulatory change would address.

It would not address shrimp trawls, and I say that it specifically applies to these types of commercial gear. It does not include shrimp trawls.

There is a lot of input from both commercial and recreational shrimp trawling that have concerns that it would apply to them.

SANDY CHESTNUT: I'm sorry to interrupt, Joe.

I would like to also point out there is a specific statute on shrimp trawls and that they have a half a mile off the shoreline and they have a very defined area.

when there is maybe a conflict, which I don't think this is, but when there is a specific statute, that statute is going to control and the more specific regulation is going to control. This would not affect shrimping.

1 COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Joe, can I say something 2 here? 3 JOE JEWELL: Yes, sir. COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: I have looked at this, 4 and thank you for defining shrimp trawls. 5 To me, the problem is the haul seine and I've 6 been told that if you get five hundred feet off of the 7 island, you have accomplished what I would like to see 8 9 happen. 10 First of all, recreational fishermen have had wade fishing around Cat Island for many years, and there 11 was no reason for this bunch in Jackson County to run over 12 13 there and try to raid this fishery. I think if we would pass a half a mile, or a 14 mile -- it doesn't really make any difference -- off of 15 Cat Island for haul seines, and, then, also add that any 16 nets used -- to keep them from trying to come up with a 17 different type net, or something, to get around this law -18 - has to be approved by the Commission before it can be 19 used for Spotted Seatrout. 20 21 I think those two things inserted into an ordinance would solve the problem and it would stop the 22 fighting between the recreational and the commercial 23 24 people.

Has anybody got any ideas?

1 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Well, Commissioner 2 Gollott, I guess the argument has been what is the justification for this change in the rules? 3 COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Like I said, it's been 4 5 traditionally a wading fishing area, and I'm sure Mr. Eicke can speak to that. 6 Up until now, commercial people haven't gone up 7 on Cat Island and tried to chase the fish into the nets 8 and stuff like that. 9 10 This was a bunch of roques that really did this. These people have been outlaws all of their lives and, 11 unless you do something to change it, they are going to be 12 13 back. They seem to really love aggravating the recreational fishermen. 14 15 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: I quess my question to you would be, what did they do wrong? 16 17 COMMISSIONER HAVARD: Steve, can I assist what 18 that explanation? 19 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Yes, sir. COMMISSIONER HAVARD: We already have a law 20 21 that's in place. Mississippi State Code 49-15-78 states that it is unlawful for a person to use a gill net, a 22 trammel net, an entanglement net, or like contrivances for 23 the taking of fish in marine waters within half a mile of 24 shoreline. 25

Contrivances is the catchall. If it's a like net that is similar to a gill net, trammel net, or entanglement net, that law is already in place. It has been looked over. I don't think anybody in the department was aware of that law and that it was applicable in this case with these entanglement nets that we are calling a haul seine net.

That law is in place, and it's not applying to pogey boats. It's not talking to those different types of nets. It's talking to like contrivances that are being used, or being qualified as a haul seine net.

We have a law in place. All we have to do is say that these haul seine nets are like contrivances that the State legislature has already approved.

JOE JEWELL: I will address those comments, and, then, I will address the issue about Cat Island.

You are absolutely correct. State statute does define those specific nets, but it uses the word "entanglement", specifically entanglement devices.

You have to look at how a net is designed.

I have said this before and it has sort of been misinterpreted. Any net you have can entangle fish. It just depends on the mesh size. You have to look at what the purpose of the net is for.

1 A shrimp trawl is specifically designed to 2 entrap shrimp. Now, a haul seine is not intentionally designed 3 to entangle. It is designed to entrap the fish. 4 5 In our regulations, it does not use the word "entanglement". 6 That's how the agency and the Commission has 7 previously defined that, or regulated that issue, because 8 9 it doesn't say entanglement. 10 Now, the Commission can vote and change that, 11 the way the agency interprets the regulations that are on the books. 12 13 COMMISSIONER HAVARD: When this law was written in 2010, there was no such thing as a haul seine net. 14 15 JOE JEWELL: I think there was, but they weren't using it at that time. 16 The reason the legislature acted on that is we 17 18 had a big issue in the mid and late nineties with gill nets, ghost fishing and other issues. 19 The Commission, at that time, did not 20 21 specifically address haul seines. They specifically addressed gill nets and they required degradable material 22 for design, but they did not attach that requirement to 23 any other type net, other than gill nets and trammel nets. 24

25

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: The haul seine is a piece

1 of equipment that has been around since the time of 2 Christ. JOE JEWELL: It has been around quite a while. 3 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Yes, it has been around a 4 5 long time. JOE JEWELL: It just wasn't actively used a lot, 6 during that time. It was used, but not a lot. 7 8 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: It was used, but, once 9 gill nets were gone, then, they had to back up to a piece 10 of equipment that is a whole lot harder to work and a whole lot more strenuous and requires a whole lot more 11 effort to be able to continue to fish. 12 13 JOE JEWELL: That's correct. The only type of net that was addressed by the 14 15 Commission, at that time, for the degradable material requirement was trammel nets and gill nets. 16 COMMISSIONER DANIELS: My question is one thing 17 18 you just said. You said it is defined as an entrapment 19 net. We have been over this multiple times, in my short time here. 2.0 How does it define it? 21 If we don't have a definition, we don't know 22 exactly what it is. 23 JOE JEWELL: That's right. There is a 24 25 difference between entrapment and entanglement.

COMMISSIONER DANIELS: I believe, if I --1 2 JOE JEWELL: (Interposing) I think Commissioner Havard can address that issue. He is a commercial 3 fishermen. He understands. 4 5 The design of the net, it's purpose, what it's goal is set out for, in a haul seine circumstance is for 6 entrapment, not necessarily entanglement. 7 There is no definition for whether it's an 8 9 entanglement, or entrapment, device for a shrimp trawl, 10 but its design is to entrap, not entangle. 11 Am I saying that correct? COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: You're correct. 12 13 A gill net is... JOE JEWELL: Specifically designed to entangle. 14 15 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Correct. COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: One of the things that I 16 have been told, with this type net, it has to keep moving 17 18 like a shrimp trawl. You can't set it like a gill net. Is that correct? 19 JOE JEWELL: That's right. A gill net and a 20 21 trammel net are set nets. You go out and you set it. You leave it there for an amount of time, and you come back 22 and check it. 23 A haul seine is considered a strike net. You 24 25 move up on top of the fish real quickly and retrieve the

1 net real quickly. There are regulations that determine 2 how that is done. They have to have a boat. The boat has to be continuously attached to the net. 3 The minute they turn the net loose, the boat 4 moves from it, we consider it a gill net, or trammel net, 5 and it would be actionable for a ticket at that point. 6 There are a lot of rules that govern how these 7 nets are operated and manipulated in the field. 8 9 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: This law that you site, 10 if it has been on the books since 2010, you would think that somewhere along the line somebody would have been 11 cited for violation of that law. 12 13 COMMISSIONER HAVARD: You would think so, but it has not. 14 15 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Well, it brings me back to what we went through with crabs and the interpretation, 16 different interpretations of the law. One person reads it 17 18 and sees one thing, and somebody else reads it and sees 19 something else. COMMISSIONER HAVARD: Then, why would they put 20 in there "like contrivances"? 21 JOE JEWELL: I will read it again. 22 "It is unlawful for any person to use a gill 23 net, a trammel net, entanglement net" -- both 24 25 gill nets and trammel nets are entanglement

1

nets -- "or like contrivances."

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I think what they are referring to is any type of modification to a gill net, or a trammel net. There is no other mention, in that section of the code, to any other type net.

This was written at that time when the gill net issue was very prominent before the Commission and a very big public concern at that point.

It wasn't specifically addressing any type of other net, other than gill net, or trammel net.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: In my opinion, it was written to keep commercial fishermen from coming up with a different type of gear that wouldn't be a gill net, but it would still catch fish by entanglement.

JOE JEWELL: We have had a lot of discussion internally on this. Director Spraggins can verify that. There has been discussion on this very specific statute and our regulations, and how that difference occurred, and how we interpret that difference.

The agency traditionally has interpreted a haul seine not as a gill net, or a trammel net. It is a separate gear type that has a specific separate function, and that is subject to change. Certainly, the Commission could address that. Absolutely.

COMMISSIONER HAVARD: That is not how this net

1 is being fished. We've got documents showing that it is 2 being fished similar to a gill net, or an entanglement net. There are pictures of this happening. 3 Also, how do we approve a net that we have never 4 done any kind of scientific study on to even see what 5 category it falls under? 6 Matt, have you ever seen one of these nets set, 7 8 prior to you approving the net? 9 MATT HILL: I have. This came up several years 10 back, and there was a gentleman in west Harrison County. I went with him several times to how this net was worked. 11 He had several different mesh sizes. 12 13 I guess it's time to get it out. I do want to 14 clarify that we are not approving these nets. The fishermen have come in. They have this 15 16 gear. 17 It is also an untrue statement that these nets weren't used back in the late nineties and 2000. They 18 19 were. There is a gentleman back here who has been 20 21 using one since, I believe, 1996 or 1997. These nets have been around for a long time. 22 They have been modified to some degree, but, when we place 23 a tag on a net, the only two nets that this department is 24 required to tag is a gill net and trammel net. 25

1 All that tag is saying is that gill net, or 2 trammel net, is made of the degradable material, it meets the degradable material regulation that is in Title 22 3 Chapter 5. 4 5 What we have done is we have had several fishermen who approached us about using these nets. 6 I said, well, I would like to see these nets, or 7 the department would like to see these nets. 8 9 We took it upon ourselves to inspect these nets, 10 not the legality, not for how long they were, but if they 11 were marked correctly. I wanted to see if they were properly constructed with the bag and their purpose was to 12 13 entrap the fish and not entangle the fish. 14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The reason for putting the tag on the net was for the most popular question that we get asked, how many of them do we have.

If we do not tag these nets, anybody can come up here and buy a Type 11 license and they can begin fishing these nets, and we have no idea how many are out there, how many are in the system, if they are sold, who owns what.

If one of them is left in the water, we will know who this is, or if there is an accident that happens, or anything like that.

That's why we started bringing up, we started

looking at these nets annually, but we are not required to tag haul seines, purse seines, shrimp trawls, any other net, besides the gill net and trammel net, and that tag does not make that net legal.

That tag shows that we have determined that it is an entrapment net and, in our experience, it is built to entrap the fish.

Now, if they fish that net as a gill net, it is a ticketable offense, and we have discussed with Marine Patrol, that net is not a license to fish that net anyway you would like to fish it.

We have several fishermen that fish these nets correctly. I have witnessed several sets.

We have some that fish them incorrectly, and they should be ticketed for that, if they are fishing them incorrectly.

If a haul seine is fished correctly, it is an entrapment device. It is not an entanglement device.

JOE JEWELL: When the Commission asks how many of these nets and devices do we have, the only way we know that is the process that Matt is doing. There are about eighteen people who have purchased this license and a little less than half of those are actively fishing the haul seine. There are about eight, or nine, that are actually fishing the gear.

1	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: If I understand you
2	correctly, that is a legal piece of gear fished correctly?
3	MATT HILL: That is correct. It is a legal
4	fishing gear, if the intent is to fish it correctly and it
5	is fished correctly.
6	Any piece of gear can be fished incorrectly and
7	it becomes an illegal piece of gear which should be
8	ticketed.
9	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: A shrimp trawl, it if is
10	over fifty feet, it's an illegal piece of gear.
11	MATT HILL: That is correct.
12	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Once again, we have a
13	legal piece gear, unless Marine Patrol finds that it is an
14	illegal piece of gear fished in a legal area.
15	Once again, I come back to this same conclusion.
16	How did we get where we are, now?
17	What rationale was used to say that we needed to
18	change any kind of management?
19	In other words, give me some science, give me
20	some rationale, give me some reason that this is going to
21	help the resource, or hurt the resource.
22	There is a page out our handbooks that I would
23	like to read into the record because this is what is says
24	we are supposed to do.
25	"Any fishery management plan and any regulation

1 promulgated to implement that plan, or 2 promulgated under the State seafood laws, shall be consistent with the following standards for 3 fishery conservation and management. 4 5 "Conservation and management measures shall be based upon the best scientific information 6 available. 7 "If it becomes necessary to allocate, or assign, 8 9 fishing privileges among various fishermen, that 10 allocation shall be fair and equitable to those fishermen, reasonably calculated to promote 11 conservation and carried out in a manner that 12 13 no particular individual, corporation, or other entity, acquires an excessive share of the 14 15 privileges. "Conservation and management measures shall 16 where practicable consider efficiency in the 17 18 utilization of fishery resources, but no measure shall have economic allocation as its 19 sole purpose. 20 21 "Take into account and allow for variations among and contingencies in fishery resources 22 and catches. Where practicable minimize costs 23 and avoid unnecessary duplication. 24 "Take into account the importance of the 25

fishery resources to fishing communities, in 1 2 order to provide for the sustained participation of the communities and to the extent practicable 3 minimize adverse economic impacts on those 4 5 communities. "To the extent practicable, minimize bycatch 6 and to the extent that bycatch cannot be avoided 7 minimize the mortality of that bycatch and 8 9 promote the safety of human life at sea." 10 COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Mr. Chairman. 11 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Yes. COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: In the spirit of 12 13 compromise, nobody gets everything they want. To me, if you put a five hundred, or a thousand, 14 15 foot barrier around Cat Island to wade fishing, we will be right back to the way it was before somebody discovered 16 that you could go down there and raid these fish. 17 18 Yes, I think the commercial fishing people got 19 the raw end of the stick, when they came up with these laws, but, to me, it would be a good compromise to do 20 21 this. COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: I don't quite understand 22 the compromise. 23 COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: To put five hundred, or a 24 thousand, feet off of the island for commercial fishing. 25

1 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Go ahead, Joe. 2 JOE JEWELL: Just a logistical thing right now. I never got to the introduction of the presentation. At 3 which time, I would say this is a non-action item because 4 5 we have received the Administrative Procedures Act requirement of ten, or more, requests for a public 6 hearing. It was removed as an action item on the agenda 7 and simply is an update. 8 9 The actual final adoption would be after the public hearing scheduled for the 5th. 10 11 I just want to bring that to the Commission's attention. 12 13 COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Well, then, it's no sense in going any further and killing time. 14 15 JOE JEWELL: Well, I was going to give y'all an update on the regulation process, the public comments and 16 where we are now. 17 18 COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Well, that's something through anyhow. 19 JOE JEWELL: If the Commission does not want to 20 21 hear the presentation. COMMISSIONER HAVARD: I'm still not clear as to 22 why the State statute is not applicable in this case. 23 Clearly, the way the net is being used, unless 24 you've got scientific proof, or somebody has gone out 25

1 there and used some commonsense and just looked, the nets, 2 they are not being used as an entrapment net. JOE JEWELL: I'm going to let counsel address 3 that question. 4 5 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Chief Davis, that would fall on your feet. In other words, if what he says is the 6 truth and they are being used in the wrong way and they 7 are constructed in the wrong manner, then, it falls on you 8 9 to enforce it. 10 KEITH DAVIS: Can I wait for counsel to weigh in, before I make comment? 11 By all means. 12 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: 13 SANDY CHESTNUT: I think what you are asking is why the haul seine is not included under 49-15-78. 14 15 Correct? COMMISSIONER HAVARD: That is correct. 16 17 SANDY CHESTNUT: Because traditionally the 18 agency has interpreted and treated the haul seines as an 19 entrapment and not an entanglement. 20 As the experts in the industry, the Commission 21 can say the haul seines are entanglements and should be included, or they can say haul seines are entrapments and 22 they should not be included. 23 You guys have to decide which way this goes on 24 the haul seines. Should they be included. Should they 25

1 not be included.

That should be based on observations and testimony and whatever else you can take, all the evidence you can gather to determine if the haul seines are actually entrapment, or an entanglement.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: I guess in defense of that, I am probably the only one that could answer that question because of the nature of my business, and I can tell you it's not an entanglement piece of equipment. It's an entrapment.

COMMISSIONER HAVARD: When is the last time you fished one of these nets that they are fishing with, now?

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Probably back in 1996, or so.

COMMISSIONER HAVARD: Prior to the new type construction that these haul seine nets have been modified to.

Is that correct?

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: A haul seine is a haul seine. That is a piece of equipment.

It would be like a shrimp net. There are five thousand different variations of a shrimp net, but it's still a shrimp net, different size mesh, different cuts in the net, different depth in the wings. It is still a shrimp net.

1 That is still a haul seine, as long as it is not 2 entangling. If it is entangling, once again... COMMISSIONER HAVARD: I guess Chief Davis would 3 be the only one that can answer what he has seen out there 4 5 in the field, when he has observed these fishermen pulling these nets in, retrieving the fish. 6 Chief, do you mind, or can you talk to that, 7 what you have observed out there with these current haul 8 9 seine nets that we have fishing? 10 KEITH DAVIS: We do have an issue here. 11 a legality issue, and I think what the Commission reserves the right not to have to deal with is called the criminal 12 13 element. Every fisherman who fishes with these nets does 14 not fish it correctly, and Marine Patrol is left with 15 enforcing that. 16 The problem we have is actually catching them in 17 18 the act of not fishing it correctly. 19 I can tell you that we have had at least one prosecutor question the manner in which the language is 20 21 written and has issues with the actual prosecution of those cases. 22 We do have an issue, and I agree with counsel. 23 The Commission is obligated to give us direction on what 24 it is. 25

1	Is it entanglement?
2	Is it entrapment?
3	I can give you my opinion, but I don't think
4	that matters. The decision has to come from that board,
5	but I can tell you this, we do have an issue.
6	Marine Patrol feels a certain way, and I think
7	it causes us a prosecution nightmare when we are trying to
8	enforce this fishery.
9	COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Mr. Chairman, can I make
10	a motion to try to clear a little bit of this up?
11	Let's see where we stand.
12	I make a motion that a haul seine is not an
13	entanglement net.
14	What else do we need straightened out?
15	JOE JEWELL: Well, if you make that motion and
16	the Commission passes that motion, what that means is it
17	would be considered under a trammel net and a gill net,
18	and they would be required to be a minimum of a half mile
19	off the shoreline.
20	SANDY CHESTNUT: Did you say it's not an
21	entanglement net?
22	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: He said it's not an
23	entanglement net.
24	JOE JEWELL: I apologize.
25	SANDY CHESTNUT: So it would not fall under the

1 purview of 49-15-78? 2 JOE JEWELL: That's correct. The motion is that it is not. 3 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Joe, because this is not 4 5 an action item -- you said it wasn't an action item -- can we make a motion? 6 KEITH DAVIS: I would also ask that from a legal 7 standpoint in the criminal court, that the Commission be 8 very careful. I think there needs to be some more 9 10 discussion, before a motion like that is passed. Counselor Chestnut. 11 SANDY CHESTNUT: What you are asking for is a 12 13 definition, or some parameters, of a haul seine so that we understand what we are talking about? 14 15 KEITH DAVIS: Yes. I would just ask that the Commission does not make a definition to make something 16 17 fit because you do have statute that you have to comply 18 with for us to successfully enforce the regulations. 19 COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: I was just trying to move this thing forward because we are just going over the same 20 21 thing over and over. JOE JEWELL: The Commission may choose to wait 22

until after the public hearing on September the 5th, and it

might provide y'all with some more input from both user

groups on how to proceed.

23

24

25

1	Commissioner Gollott is correct. If the
2	Commission chooses, at this point, not to hear the
3	presentation I have prepared, that's the Commission's
4	determination.
5	It is going to be very similar to the final
6	adoption presentation I will give in September, anyway.
7	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: I would like to see what
8	is presented at the public hearing.
9	COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Joe, let me make another
10	motion here. I will withdraw the previous motion.
11	I make a motion we not hear the update, until
12	after the public hearing.
13	JOE JEWELL: That will work for me.
14	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: We have a motion.
15	Do we have a second for that motion?
16	(No response.)
17	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Hearing none, the motion
18	fails.
19	COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: What are we going to do,
20	sit here and beat this thing up again?
21	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All the information we
22	can get is more information for everybody. We need to
23	educate folks, and this is where we are.
24	We started out with a one-mile haul seine
25	exclusionary zone around Cat Island, and, now, we have

gone from that to a haul seine period and whether it is a 1 2 legal piece of gear. COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: The last motion was just 3 to put this thing off until after the public hearing and 4 5 cut off the session right now. JOE JEWELL: I know y'all don't want to hear me 6 go into this. 7 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Go ahead, Joe, just keep 8 9 it rolling. 10 JOE JEWELL: I will try to go through these as 11 quickly as I can. I will get back to the presentation. COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All right. 12 13 JOE JEWELL: We will go back to slide two from 14 к2. This simply was an explanatory issue. 15 As you know, this regulation applies solely to commercial activities, and there was some discussion, 16 there was some comment from the public because the public 17 notice did not include commercial in it. It created 18 confusion for some people, so we went back and attached 19 commercial to the title. 20 21 All that did was simply complied with what the discussion was at the July Commission meeting. 22 complied with the Notice of Intent, the administrative 23 filing, the legal notice and the notice that was posted. 24 They all said "commercial". 25

The press relief didn't say commercial, so there 1 2 was some question why we did not include commercial, but we did because it points out specifically Chapter 4 which 3 is the commercial section. 4 5 Then, there was an issue about finfish. I iust want to point out that it says "saltwater fish". That was 6 included because it specifically applies to just saltwater 7 fish, not other types of seafood product. We removed 8 9 that, also, because that was causing some confusion. 10 Then, finally, you see the large circle on the right-hand side of the page. I just identified that 11 because later in the presentation that is what 12 13 specifically we are going to focus on. The Commission passed the Notice of Intent on 14 July 17th. The Notice of Intent was filed with the 15 Secretary of State's office on July the 18th. 16 Public notice was published on our MDMR web page 17 on July the 19^{th} . 18 Legal notice appeared in The Sun Herald on July 19 the 29^{th} . 20 21 Public comment summary. I am trying to go through this quickly. I do want to note that prior to the 22 closing of the public comment period which was 5:00 p.m. 23 yesterday evening, we received several more inputs. 24

One was Care2 petition. That's an online

25

1 commission that demands stronger protections for the seagrass on Cat Island. There were a little over nineteen 2 thousand signatures to that petition that was submitted. 3 I do want to point out that this petition on 4 5 line was subject to inputs from everywhere. We have people from all over the world commenting on this 6 particular issue. 7 Mississippi Commercial Fisheries United 8 9 submitted an additional eight signatures that are not part 10 of this presentation, but will be at the final adoption. Then, there was one comment that was offered 11 around 7:00, or 8:00, o'clock which was clearly past the 12 13 deadline. The comment period was for thirty-three days. 14 15 The minimum requirement is twenty-five. To date, nine hundred and three public comments 16 have been received. That does not include those three 17 18 that I have just mentioned. 19 Seventy requests were made for a public hearing. 20 A public hearing was scheduled for Wednesday, 21 September the 5^{th} , at 6:00 p.m., in the this room. Seventy requests were made for an economic 22 impact statement to be conducted. 23 Summary of the public comments. 24 Nine hundred and three public comments were 25

1 provided. 2 Five hundred and seventy-one comments supported the commercial net ban around Cat Island. 3 Three hundred and thirty-two comments opposed 4 5 the commercial net ban around Cat Island. There were two groups that supported the ban, 6 HOSSFLY the historic Ocean Springs fly-fishing 7 organization and the Sierra Club. 8 9 One group opposes the ban and that is the 10 Mississippi Commercial Fisheries United organization. Of the five hundred and seventy-one comments in 11 support of the commercial net ban, these are some of the 12 13 comments that were submitted: Limit commercial fishing to weekdays - no 14 15 commercial fishing on the weekend. Oppose commercial net fishing around Cat Island. 16 Support commercial hook-and-line fishing. 17 18 Ban all commercial nets in Mississippi. Reduce the total numbers of haul seines and gill 19 20 nets. 21 Opposition to selling commercially-caught Spotted Seatrout out of state. 22 Commercial hook-and-line should abide by the 23 same size and quantity limits as the recreational 24

fishermen and should not be allowed to sell over thirty

25

1 trout per day.

Require all commercial fishermen and seafood markets to fill out and submit trip tickets.

Now, I wanted to bring this slide to the Commission's attention.

There was an online petition that was supported by the CCA on a website. There were a total of four hundred and eighty-seven comments submitted to the agency from this site, but with an analysis of these, there were twenty-seven individuals that commented twice, nine individuals commented three times, and one person commented four times.

what I did was just an analysis for all the duplicate comments. I subtracted those from the total, and it came out to four hundred and forty-two, but the Commission has the ultimate decision on what the number is, four hundred and eighty-seven, or four hundred and forty-two.

A speckled trout petition supporting the commercial net ban was submitted on August the 16th. There were a hundred and eighteen signatures. I think that was the one that there was comment earlier about where it was. I think there is a little bit of confusion. It was submitted under the Cat Island Net Ban part, and it specifically requests -- I have circled it up there --

these four items: 1 2 Ban all nets in Mississippi waters for the catch and sell of speckled trout. 3 Commercial fishermen, rod-and-reel fishermen who 4 5 have the endorsement will keep the endorsement. Commercial fishermen must abide by the same 6 limits as the recreational fishermen and may only sell 7 thirty Spotted Seatrout a day. 8 9 The fifty thousand pound quota would remain the 10 same. This is a summary of those that oppose the 11 commercial net ban around Cat Island. 12 13 The first is a series of inputs from the Mississippi Commercial Fisheries United. 14 On August the 6th, Ryan Bradley submitted 15 seventeen comments on a form letter and, again, on August 16 the 13th, submitted a form letter with thirty-eight 17 comments. On August the 20th, yesterday, Mr. Bradley again 18 submitted fourteen comments on an form letter, for a total 19 of sixty-nine comments from Mississippi Commercial 20 21 Fisheries United organization. Those comments summarized are as follows: 22 They oppose the proposed fish net ban on Cat 23 Island. 24

25

Request an oral hearing be held on this proposed

1 regulatory change. 2 Request an economic impact statement be conducted. 3 Request that the best available science be 4 5 considered with this proposal. Again, we received a public comment opposing the 6 commercial net ban from the Mississippi Commercial 7 Fisheries United organizational statement. 8 9 That letter was about seven pages long, and I 10 just summarized the highlights of that public input: 11 Proposed change is not consistent with standards for fishery conservation and management as required by 12 13 State statute 49-15-2. No valid reason for the proposed regulation was 14 15 stated publicly. The Notice of Intent for the proposed regulation 16 was not properly authorized by the Commission on Marine 17 18 Resources. The approval of the Notice of Intent for the 19 proposed regulation is arbitrary and capricious. 20 21 The proposed regulation has a disparate impact against a certain class of commercial fishermen, in 22 violation of the Fourteenth Amendment to the United States 23 Constitution. 24

25

Again, this is a petition that was submitted by

an online petition software. It is called Care2. 1 It was 2 submitted by Mr. Ryan Bradley. There were a total of two hundred and fifty-one signatures. It was submitted on 3 August the 20th. 4 5 Summarizing those comments: where is all the data for closing these waters? 6 My guess is there is no data. 7 Because I'm a fisherman in Mississippi, I 8 9 support my family with my boats and this would put a 10 burden on my income. We need commercial fishing to stay here in the 11 USA and provide America with our fish. Keep the nets. 12 13 CCA is the enemy of hardworking commercial fishermen. They won't be happy until all seafood is 14 15 imported. Then, a summary of the public comments opposing 16 the net ban, and these are the ones that were just 17 18 submitted directly to the DMR in writing: Would like the MDMR to take into consideration 19 that this would have a large financial impact on the local 20 21 economy. No scientific basis to support this action and 22 it is purely discriminatory. 23 If CMR is truly concerned about Spotted Seatrout 24 on Cat Island, they should conduct a scientific survey to 25

1 determine how each user group impacts Spotted Seatrout on 2 Cat Island. Will have great economic impact on commercial 3 fishermen and the local economy. 4 This action will reallocate resource from one 5 user group to another. 6 Recreational fishermen have it all and, now, 7 they want to take away Cat Island. 8 9 If enacted, will further reduce commercial 10 fishermen's area to fish. 11 Finds it highly discriminatory as a net fishermen, when other commercial activities can continue, 12 13 such as charter fishing, rod-and-reel fishing, crabbing 14 and shrimping. 15 Has large investment in commercial gear, boats, motors, and on and on. 16 This is the proposed section of the regulation 17 that would be modified. It falls under Title 22 Part 5 18 19 Chapter 4 and, like I said earlier in my opening comments, it affects solely the commercial net closure areas and 20 gear size restrictions. It would modify Section 101, 21 Subsection 101.04: 22 "Within an area formed by a line running one 23 mile from the shoreline of" -- and it would 24 delete 'Cat Island' and continue on and include 25

1 -- "Ship, Horn, Petit Bois, and Round Island, or 2 from the shoals of Telegraph Keys and Telegraph Reef, Merrill Coquille, during the period of May 3 15 to September 15 of each year." 4 5 So what that is doing is removing Cat Island from that opening and closing between May and September of 6 each year, and, then, it adds another statement, and that 7 statement be numbered 101.05: 8 9 "Within an area formed by a line running one 10 mile from the shoreline of Cat Island." That means that Cat Island would no longer be 11 open at any point during the year, and all commercial net 12 13 fishing as defined in the opening statement would be banned from within one mile of the shoreline. 14 15 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Hold on just a minute, I want to clarify that for Commissioner Gollott 16 because he wasn't here. 17 18 Right now, Cat Island is closed seven months out of the year for commercial net fishing. Right now. 19 Today. 20 21 Then, what he would be changing would be to close it for the remaining five months. 22 23 Correct? JOE JEWELL: That's correct. This proposed 24 regulation would do that. 25

1 COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Can I ask a question? 2 JOE JEWELL: Absolutely. 3 COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Does anybody in this room think listening to this has changed anybody's mind on this 4 5 Commission? It sure hasn't changed mine. 6 We've got so much stuff to go over here, and we 7 are going to have to listen to it again. 8 9 JOE JEWELL: Commissioner Gollott, I'm not going 10 to argue that point. I absolutely think that the people 11 that represent specific user groups, the recreational, commercial and the charter boat fishing for hire, the 12 13 processing, the commercial people, you have heard from

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

You have to represent your user groups, but I also want to explain to the Commission that -- and I have said this before at other Commissioner meetings -- I think hearing from the public, hearing from the staff, hearing the science, informs the Commission and the Commission makes better decisions.

your constituents and they have explicitly supported, or

not supported, this proposed regulation. It would be

disingenuous for me to stand up here and say otherwise.

Now, in saying that, I do think it is helpful to hear what the public has to say. I personally have heard a lot of comments.

1	Will that change the Commissioners' minds, or
2	will that change eventually the way we handle this
3	proposal, I can't say that.
4	COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: You are going to listen
5	to the public's concern at the public hearing, and all of
6	this will have to be gone over again.
7	Like I said, we've got so much stuff on this
8	agenda, that it is silly sitting here listening.
9	If everybody wants to sit here and listen to it,
10	that's fine, but it is changing nobody's mind on this
11	Commission. I guarantee you.
12	JOE JEWELL: But it is part of the regulatory
13	process, and we do have to go through that process.
14	With that being said, there are just a couple
15	more slides.
16	This slide is simply renumbering all the
17	sections.
18	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: We have some folks that
19	want to speak on public comment on this issue.
20	Do we have any questions for Joe?
21	(No response.)
22	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: We are going to hold the
23	comments to three minutes.
24	First up will be Mr. F. J. Eicke.
25	Mr. Eicke declines.

1	Mr. Tony Trapani, would you like to speak on it?
2	TONY TRAPANI: Yes.
3	How are y'all doing?
4	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Doing fine, sir.
5	TONY TRAPANI: We've got a real problem with
6	speckled trout.
7	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: State your name, please.
8	TONY TRAPANI: There is a problem out there.
9	There are no more speckled trout like there used to be.
10	You keep on putting them nets out there and
11	there is not going to be any reason for them to keep
12	netting because there are not going to be any more trout.
13	They will need to find a new job anyway.
14	Change the nets to hook and line, and they can
15	stand there and wade fish right next to us.
16	The net just takes so many fish away at one
17	time. There is no way the trout can come back.
18	Get rid of the nets and put a rod and reel in
19	their hands.
20	There's a problem. Let's fix it.
21	I'm a recreational guy. I say we go to ten
22	trout per person. One trout twenty-five inches and above.
23	Just in case one of those big trout got out of that net,
24	we might catch it.
25	Ten trout per person recreational. I'm willing

1 to give up. 2 If you want to catch more trout and you want to 3 keep your job, limit that, too. I'm not saying take the fifty thousand pounds 4 5 away. Put a rod and reel in their hand, and let's see how many thousands of pounds they can catch. 6 I will be willing to speak as a voice of a 7 recreational fisherman that we don't care if we catch five 8 trout per day. We want to catch a trout. 9 10 With these nets, you are not going to catch a 11 trout. Thank you very much. 12 13 I really would appreciate y'all doing something about this, instead of saying, well, it's entanglement, 14 it's entrapment. It doesn't matter what it is. It is 15 depleting the speckled trout is what it really is. 16 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Thank you, sir. 17 18 Sicily O'Brien. SICILY O'BRIEN: Commissioners, my name is 19 Sicily O'Brien. I'm a little bit nervous. 20 21 I kind of want to say I take a little offense to Commissioner Gollott's comments about the hoodlums from 22 Jackson County fishing at Cat Island. I do personally 23 take offense to that. 24

25

I'm a full-time commercial fisherman, and I just

want to point out how very hard we work as commercial fishermen.

Just like you, we wake up and we prepare for our day, but, while you might wake up and drink a cup of coffee and maybe you read the paper before you drive somewhere work, we wake up and we check the weather forecast, to ensure our safety on the water, make breakfast and lunch and grab our boots, our slippers and our gloves, and head out before the sun even rises.

Our days are very long, hard, physically challenging ones.

We fish in the winter's harsh cold and the summer's heat. It's a hard way to make a living, but one we love.

We are the ones who put that fresh fish on a plate you order at your favorite restaurant, or on the plate of the person in Tennessee who takes his sweetheart out for a seafood dinner, on the shelves of markets across the country labeled as fresh American caught seafood, providing for the millions of Americans who are not able to fish for themselves.

By limiting the area where we are able to fish with this one-mile exclusion zone around Cat Island, not only are you creating a tremendous negative impact on our income, but you are also taking away our ability to

1 provide fresh seafood for the American consumer. 2 We, as commercial fishermen, don't have the same luxury that the recreational fisherman has. He, with a 3 very large quota, can fish year round at Cat Island, or 4 5 any other island for that matter. whereas, we, with a very small quota, can only 6 fish Cat Island months out of the year and, as you know, 7 once our quota is met, we are finished until next year. 8 9 It my job. It is my livelihood. It's how I pay 10 my bills and provide for my family that is at risk here. I'm asking you to consider all of this, before 11 making your decision about Cat Island exclusion zone. 12 13 Everybody in this room knows that it is impossible to catch a fish outside of that one-mile zone. 14 15 Thank you. 16 COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Excuse me, ma'am. 17 SICILY O'BRIEN: Yes, sir. 18 COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Let me apologize. Ι didn't mean to make that personal, or anything. 19 The people who were caught going to Alabama with 20 21 the fish and not turning the quota in were from Jackson 22 County. For years the rogues in the oyster industry and 23 everything else have been from Jackson County. 24 I will apologize to you. I didn't mean that 25

1 personally. 2 SICILY O'BRIEN: Well, Thank you because we do work very hard and we do abide by the law. We don't have 3 a ticket. We try to do everything by the book. That's 4 5 just the way we operate. It is unfair to categorize everybody into one 6 7 group. we are not illegal. We fish hard. 8 9 COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: You're right and, if 10 everybody was like you and followed the law, we wouldn't 11 be having a problem. Thank you. SICILY O'BRIEN: Well, I ask that you take that 12 13 all into consideration. 14 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Thank you. 15 Mr. Martin Young. MARTIN YOUNG: Good morning Commissioners. 16 17 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: State your name, please. 18 MARTIN YOUNG: My name is Martin Young. I'm a lifelong, lifetime, full-time commercial fisherman, net 19 fisherman. 20 21 I have relied on nets for my survival to feed my family my whole life, since I was married. This is hard 22 for me. 23 I fished them seines for sixteen to eighteen 24

years, before any of this started. I know what a haul

25

seine is because I have built them with my hands and used them. Since the ban in '98, we were not allowed to use a gill net no more, and I never have had a cotton net, ever.

That was passed and it wasn't available. I was a net fisherman with no job, unemployed and was forced to start haul seine fishing.

What y'all are trying to do here about Cat Island, y'all have had no scientific data, y'all have had no studies done, and you are wanting to take away something that y'all all have admittedly set up there and said you don't even know what it is.

Well, I know what a haul seine is because I have lived the haul seine. I know what one is.

If you want to see one, I can put it in my truck and bring it to that front door, when y'all have this public hearing, and I will show y'all what a haul seine is.

The one of you who made the motion to do this to start with, I think he was wrong. You have no kind of science, or nothing to back up what you have done here, and I don't even think it should even be considered, or being considered at all right now. It should not.

As far as like this guy who just left here saying he couldn't catch a trout, well, he's got Petit Bois, Horn Island, Ship Island, Round Island, he's got all

1 of Harrison and all of Hancock Counties, and all I've got 2 is a little speck of Jackson County and Cat Island and y'all are wanting to take that. I have nothing left. 3 I can't talk no more. Y'all are destroying my 4 5 life. COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: I have known that man all 6 my life and to see him that upset, that gets me because 7 I'm going to tell you he's a good man. He works hard. 8 He works for his living. All he wants to do is make a 9 10 living. Anyhow, that upsets me. Tommy O'Brien. 11 You don't realize most fishermen are loaners. 12 13 14

We work by ourselves and, just for him to get up there and speak before the public, that's tough. That's tough. They are used to working by themselves. Their only challenge is between them and mother nature.

TOMMY O'BRIEN: My name is Tommy O'Brien. I have been commercial fishing for thirty-eight years, mainly net fishing.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

My wife and I were first to approach and ask Chief of Marine Patrol at the time if it was legal for us to fish on Cat Island after the state purchased it, and we were told yes.

After hearing this, we had two new net boats built, put new motors on them, built nets specifically for fishing Cat Island. All of these nets were approved and tagged by the DMR. We invested a tremendous amount of money in these boats, nets and gear.

Being told we cannot fish on the beach at Cat Island and that we must be a mile off where the fish are not located, will be the end for us.

After saying this, who would be responsible to pay us for our boats, nets and gear that we can't use anymore and our loss of income, and how is it legal to ban one small group of commercial fishermen and yet allow others to continue the commercial activities and make a living doing it, without any scientific data.

while reading The Sun Herald this past Sunday, I came across a photo of a small group of black people being chased off the Biloxi beach by a group of white people, some of them with clubs. The date was April 24th, 1960.

Fast forward this to August 21st, 2018, fifty-eight years later, and here we are, a small group of commercial fishermen being chased off Cat Island, but this time, with lies, false information and no scientific data and the agenda of the CCA.

By allowing this one-mile exclusion zone around Cat Island, you are allowing the same type of discrimination to take place that took place on the Biloxi beach. Thank y-all.

1	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Thank you, Mr. O'Brien.
2	That concludes our public testimony.
3	JOE JEWELL: If there are no further questions,
4	I am going to move on to agenda item K3.
5	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Yes, sir, by all means.
6	We are having some technical difficulties. We
7	are going to have to reboot a computer.
8	Can we take a quick five-minute break?
9	JOE JEWELL: Absolutely.
10	MEETING STANDS IN RECESS
11	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: I will call this meeting
12	back to order and see if we can't finish this up.
13	JOE JEWELL: Are we ready to proceed?
14	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Yes, sir, Mr. Joe
15	JOE JEWELL: As the Commission should recall,
16	this was initially proposed at the June 19 th Commission
17	meeting.
18	At the July 17 th Commission meeting, I did the
19	presentation for the Notice of Intent, and the commission
20	tabled this action at that time so they could consider
21	more of the details about the proposal.
22	This will be modifications to Title 22 Part 20
23	Chapter 21, Administrative Penalties, Section 104.
24	Before we go into this, I want to point out that
25	at the July Commission meeting, there were two ways to

approach this issue. One is specifically just for the 1 2 Spotted Seatrout endorsement which is in Chapter 7, or all endorsements, and the Commission chose to consider, at 3 this time, all potential violations of the endorsement. 4 5 So that would modify Section 104, Part 20, and it's Suspension, or revocation, of licenses. It would 6 delete the conjunction so that it would read: 7 "Licenses, permits, or endorsements may be 8 9 commenced in addition to seeking administrative 10 penalties." 11 We would add the Section 105, "The Commission may revoke regulatory program 12 13 requirements, such as, but not limited to, endorsements, tags, permits, or similar 14 provisions, for violations related to that 15 particular program." 16 Now, before we move on to any motion, I want to 17 describe to the Commission sort of the intent of potential 18 revocation. 19 I feel that this applies and what it would 20 21 impact mostly is those people that are not abiding by the rules, that are not abiding by the provisions that are 22 established in State statute and in the Commission's 23 regulations. 24

25

This would not harm the law-abiding commercial

1 fishermen, as you have heard comments today, that are 2 doing what is required of them on a day-in and day-out basis. 3 What this will impact is those individuals that 4 5 choose not to abide by the rules that are established for that particular industry. It applies to those individuals 6 that we had issues with in previous months that Marine 7 Patrol Chief Davis has described. This will impact them. 8 9 This will cause conditions to happen to them that are 10 adverse and require them to comply, like everybody else 11 is, with the rules and regulations. I wanted to make that clear, before we move on, 12 because I think at the last Commission meeting that was a 13 little unclear. 14 15 Are there any questions, at this time? 16 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Do we have any questions for Mr. loe? 17 18 KEITH DAVIS: I have one question, if I may, Mr. Chairman. 19 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Yes, sir, Chief. 2.0 21 KEITH DAVIS: Who would bring this action forward? 22 Would it be Fisheries, or would it be Marine 23 Patrol? 24 25 JOE JEWELL: By forward, what do you mean?

KEITH DAVIS: For the Commission to consider 1 2 this, Sandy, I have not had discussion. I don't know anything about this regulation change. 3 SANDY CHESTNUT: This was proposed at the July 4 5 meeting. What this is, is a change to the administrative 6 penalties and, in addition, to be able to fine the 7 fishermen that violate the law. 8 9 The Commission would also have the authority to 10 revoke their endorsements. This just adds another thing 11 that they can do. The agency issues the endorsements, permits, 12 13 tags, things like that, but they haven't included any kind of provision to be able to revoke those, when those people 14 violate and have egregious violations, using those 15 endorsements. 16 That is what this is adding. 17 18 JOE JEWELL: I'm a little confused. T do want 19 to say we had internal meetings where this actual specific subject came up, and Marine Fisheries was advised to move 20 21 forward by the Marine Commission. It actually came up. I presented it originally 22 in the June meeting. I presented it in the July meeting, 23 when it was tabled, and, then, now for consideration by 24

the Commission.

1	SANDY CHESTNUT: Joe, in the July meeting, we
2	discussed including language that would revoke all
3	endorsements, not just the Spotted Seatrout.
4	JOE JEWELL: And if it was just the Spotted
5	Seatrout, we would be modifying Part 7.
6	This is modifying Part 21 where it would
7	consider all.
8	SANDY CHESTNUT: But you still have revoked
9	Spotted Seatrout endorsement in the language.
10	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: That was going to be my
11	question.
12	JOE JEWELL: So if the motion was to move
13	forward, the Notice of Intent would be to remove Spotted
14	Seatrout and just move forward with the endorsement and
15	say, endorsements under certain conditions.
16	KEITH DAVIS: Director Spraggins, can I have a
17	word with you, before they take any action on this?
18	JOE SPRAGGINS: What?
19	KEITH DAVIS: Can you and I have a sidebar,
20	before action is taken on this?
21	JOE SPRAGGINS: Okay.
22	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: We can go ahead and
23	proceed and just not vote on it.
24	COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: What kind of a motion
25	would have to be made to do this, Joe?

1 JOE JEWELL: That motion would be a motion for 2 Notice of Intent to modify Title 22 Part 20 Chapter 21 Section 104, including language to revoke endorsements 3 under certain penalties. 4 5 Are you going to put all the requirements in there? 6 SANDY CHESTNUT: We need to remain consistent 7 with what was proposed in the July meeting such as, but 8 9 not limited to, endorsements, tags, permits, or similar 10 provisions, for violations related to that particular 11 program. JOE JEWELL: So, after Section 104, we are going 12 13 to cut and paste in the specific regulatory changes that are in Section 105. 14 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: To move forward, would we 15 need a motion on this? 16 17 JOE JEWELL: She is going to update it. 18 SANDY CHESTNUT: We are going to put the exact 19 language up on the board. 20 JOE JEWELL: Typically what we have done in the 21 presentations, we have done a generalized requirement so that the Commission can say, approved staff's 22 recommendations, but, in doing that, that is such a 23 generic approval, what we've done is, rather than 24 referencing the action which is Notice of Intent, or final 25

adoption, what we have done is specifically put in the 1 2 title and part and the specific summary of actions that would occur, so that the Commission could say we approve 3 staff's recommendation. 4 5 The motion could say that, or the Commission could read it directly as is on the presentation. 6 What Sandy was pointing out, this particular 7 8 motion was presented at the July meeting that specifically addressed Chapter 7, and that would be only for the 9 10 Spotted Seatrout endorsement, but the Commission wanted to consider all endorsements because you had two options on 11 the table. 12 13 What Sandy is requesting, or stating, is that 14 she wants this specific language for all endorsements put 15 in there and not just Spotted Seatrout. So they are making that change, now. Once that 16 is done, I will read that into the public record for the 17 Commission's consideration. 18 19 JOE SPRAGGINS: If I could real quick?

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Yes, sir.

JOE SPRAGGINS: I think the question that the Chief had, this is basically giving the Commission itself the right to revoke anybody's endorsement of something that they had which they did not have before.

(228) 396-8788

Is that correct?

20

21

22

23

24

1	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Correct.
2	JOE SPRAGGINS: So that's what this is all
3	about.
4	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: This would be part of the
5	administrative penalties.
6	JOE SPRAGGINS: That's right.
7	COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: I believe the Commission
8	needs this authority so we can get rid of some of these
9	rogues that keep breaking the law.
10	JOE JEWELL: I want to address Chief Davis'
11	concerns. I want to be sure I'm clear on that because I
12	don't want to misstate what we said.
13	KEITH DAVIS: Joe, we're good now. You don't
14	have to address it anymore.
15	JOE JEWELL: I want to be sure we are all on the
16	same table. I don't want it to seem like we are operating
17	in a vacuum here, and we all are aware of this and we
18	approve it.
19	This simply would address those fishermen, or
20	those individuals, that are part of the commercial
21	community that violate the law and there is no real action
22	that Marine Patrol can take against them.
23	The Trip Ticket Program, we are making
24	modifications for that.

25

Other than just violation, we can't take any

other penalties against them. We've got some individuals, we've got a group of them that consistently violate the law, and all you can do is write them a ticket.

This will give the Commission the ability to take away that endorsement, or any other type permit, or certification, they have, if they are bad participants in the industry. It's a Commission action that would occur.

I want to be clear about that because at the last Commission meeting, when I read out the eight requirements that would change, I think that's what started the issue about discussion was unclear and the Commission wanted more time to review that.

The intent of this regulation is really to punish the bad actors.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Correct.

JOE JEWELL: Not the people that abide by the laws.

SANDY CHESTNUT: We have the revised motion up there. It's a motion for Notice of Intent for modification of Title 22 Part 20 Chapter 21 Section 1, to include endorsements under certain penalties, and, then, it would add Section 105 to read that the Commission may revoke regulatory program requirements such as, but not limited to, endorsements, tags, permits, or similar provisions for violations related to that particular

1	program.
2	COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Mr. Chairman, I make that
3	motion.
4	COMMISSIONER DANIELS: I'll second it.
5	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: We have a motion and a
6	second.
7	Any further discussion?
8	(No response.)
9	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All those in favor aye.
10	(All in favor.)
11	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Opposed like sign.
12	(None opposed.)
13	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Motion carries.
14	JOE JEWELL: Thank you, Commissioners.
15	Up for consideration for final adoption is the
16	Hand Dredge specifications. It will be presented by Mr.
17	Erik Broussard.
18	ERIK BROUSSARD: Good afternoon Commissioners,
19	Director Spraggins, Ms. Chestnut.
20	As Mr. Joe had mentioned, we have up for final
21	adoption Title 22 Part 1 Chapter 7, Hand Dredge
22	Specifications.
23	CMR passed Notice of Intent on July 17 th , 2018.
24	It was filed with the Secretary of State's
25	office on July 18 th . A copy of the filing is on the right

side.

It was posted on the MDMR web page on July $19^{\rm th}$. The legal notice appeared in The Sun Herald on July $29^{\rm th}$.

Public comment period ran from June 19^{th} through August 20^{th} , which was thirty-three days.

To date, no public comments have been received.

I will read into the record Title 22 Part 1

Chapter 7, Section 101:

"It is unlawful for any person, firm, or corporation to take, or attempt to take, any oysters from the waters under the territorial jurisdiction of the State of Mississippi by the use of a hand dredge using a mechanical—advantage retrieval system, having a weight in excess of forty pounds and the tooth bar cannot have more than ten teeth of not more than five and one quarter inches, unless otherwise permitted by the MCMR, or as hereby authorized by the MDMR, its Executive Director, Director of Marine Fisheries, Bureau Director, Program Coordinator, or other MDMR designee."

Then, here, we added an additional section, and this is just for numbering.

(228) 396-8788

What will be required is a motion for final

1	adoption of regulatory changes to Title 22 Part 1 Chapter
2	7, Hand Dredge Specifications.
3	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Any questions?
4	(No response.)
5	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Do we have a motion to
6	adopt the regulatory changes?
7	COMMISSIONER DANIELS: I will make a motion for
8	final adoption of regulatory changes to Title 22 Part 1
9	Chapter 7.
10	COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: And I will second it.
11	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: We have a motion and a
12	second.
13	Any further discussion?
14	(No response.)
15	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All those in favor aye.
16	(All in favor.)
17	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Opposed like sign.
18	(None opposed.)
19	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Motion carries.
20	JOE JEWELL: Thank you, Commissioners.
21	Up next for consideration is Title 22 Part 7
22	Chapter 9, Commercial Size Limit Change for Gag, and Ms.
23	Carly Somerset will present that.
24	CARLY SOMERSET: Good afternoon Commissioners,
25	Director Spraggins, Ms. Chestnut. I will make this brief.

_	_
1	For your consideration is modification to Title
2	22 Part 7 Chapter 9. This is Notice of Intent.
3	This is the rule to modify the Gulf of Mexico
4	Gag management measures which was published in NOAA Gulf
5	of Mexico Fishery Bulletin on June 22 nd , 2018.
6	The modification will be to Title 22 Part 7
7	Chapter 9, Commercial Size, Possession and Catch Limits,
8	Section 100 Subsection 100.18. Gag Grouper, will change
9	from twenty-two to twenty-four inches total length.
10	What is required is a motion for Notice of
11	Intent to amend Title 22 Part 7 Chapter 9 Section 100
12	Subsection 100.18, Commercial Size Limit for Gag.
13	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: This will be strictly
14	just to keep our regulations in sync with the Federal
15	regulations?
16	CARLY SOMERSET: Yes, sir.
17	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Do we have any questions?
18	(No response.)
19	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Do we have a motion?
20	COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Mr. Chairman, I make a
21	motion that we adopt this change to the regulations.
22	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Do we have a second for
23	that motion?
24	COMMISSIONER HAVARD: I'll second the motion.
25	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: We have a motion and a

1	second.
2	All those in favor aye.
3	(All in favor.)
4	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Opposed like sign.
5	(None opposed.)
6	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Motion carries.
7	CARLY SOMERSET: Thank you.
8	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Thank you, Carly.
9	JOE JEWELL: Next up for the Commission's
10	consideration is a very similar proposed change. This is
11	to commercial and recreational bag and size limit changes
12	for Mutton Snapper. Ms. Brittany Chudzik will be
13	presenting this.
14	BRITTANY CHUDZIK: Good afternoon Commissioners,
15	Director Spraggins, Ms. Chestnut.
16	Welcome back Richard. As others have said, we
17	are glad that you are now in remission.
18	This is an action item for regulatory change to
19	Title 22 Part 7 Chapters 8 and 9.
20	Recently NOAA announced a regulatory change to
21	Mutton Snapper for both commercial and recreational
22	fishermen.
23	This is a copy of the notice that was received
24	here at the DMR on July 22 nd , 2018.
25	This management measure would decrease the bag

1 limit for recreational fishermen and increase the size limit for Commercial and recreational fishermen. 2 These regulations went into effect on July 23rd, 3 2018. 4 5 In order to keep consistent with State and Federal management, as was mentioned with the Gag, changes 6 would need to be made to Title 22 Part 7 Chapter 8 that 7 deal with recreational bag, possession and size limits. 8 9 This would only be additional language. 10 deletions will be made. For the record, Section 100, Subsection 11 100.11.02 would read: 12 13 "Mutton Snapper - may possess five within the ten fish aggregate." 14 Section 102, Subsection 102.06: 15 "Mutton Snapper - eighteen inches total length." 16 Change to Subsection 102.06 would also require 17 18 the renumbering of 102.07 through 102.25, and I'm not going to read all of those into the record, unless the 19 Commission chooses that I do so. 20 21 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: No, ma'am. BRITTANY CHUDZIK: Chapter 9 deals with 22 commercial size, possession and catch limits. 23 With the changes, we would have to strike 24 through sixteen, in Subsection 100.11, and for the record 25

1	it would now read:
2	"Section 100, Subsection 100.11, Mutton Snapper
3	- eighteen inches total length."
4	What this would require is a motion for a Notice
5	of Intent to amend Title 22 Part 7 Chapter 8 and Chapter
6	9, Section 100, Bag and Size Limit for Mutton Snapper.
7	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Any questions?
8	(No response.)
9	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Do we have a motion?
10	COMMISSIONER DANIELS: I'll make the motion for
11	Notice of Intent to amend Title 22 Part 7 Chapter 8 and
12	Chapter 9.
13	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: I'll second that motion.
14	Any further discussion?
15	(No response.)
16	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All those in favor aye.
17	(All in favor.)
18	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Opposed like sign.
19	(None opposed.)
20	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Motion carries.
21	BRITTANY CHUDZIK: Thank you, Commissioners.
22	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Thank you.
23	JOE JEWELL: Commissioners, this is the
24	presentation we have all been waiting months to hear.
25	Ms. Ruth Posadas is going to give us an update

1	on oyster depuration.
2	COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Ruth, have you got enough
3	time?
4	We can put this off until next month.
5	RUTH PASADAS: That will be fine, sir.
6	I know you are all hungry. We are all hungry.
7	JOE JEWELL: You will have to vote to table it.
8	We absolutely can do it.
9	RUTH PASADAS: Yes.
10	COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: I make a motion that we
11	table this until next month.
12	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: We have a motion on the
13	floor.
14	Do we have a second for that motion?
15	COMMISSIONER HAVARD: I'll second that motion.
16	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: We have a motion and a
17	second.
18	All those in favor aye.
19	(All in favor.)
20	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Opposed like sign.
21	(None opposed.)
22	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: The motion carries.
23	Don't disrespect anything, now. We are looking
24	forward to your presentation.
25	RUTH PASADAS: I know. That's fine with me.

1 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: We are looking forward to 2 it. We keep seeing your face. We will get you up here. 3 RUTH PASADAS: Yes, sir. COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Thank you. 4 5 JOE JEWELL: The final thing for consideration, the state records, will be presented by Ms. Brittany 6 Chudzik. 7 BRITTANY CHUDZIK: Up for your consideration 8 9 today we've got three new state saltwater fishing records. 10 The first is a conventional tackle record Tiger Shark, Galeocerdo cuvier. The old record was three 11 hundred and ninety pounds, and the new record is six 12 13 hundred and seventy-five pounds. The angler is Mr. Michael Garrett. 14 Here's a picture of the monstrous Tiger Shark, 15 and the fish with the angler. 16 The second record is a youth record. Red Drum, 17 18 Sciaenops ocellatus. There is no current record. The new record would be twenty-six pounds eight ounces. The 19 angler is Margaret Schindler. 20 21 Here's a picture of the Red Drum, and the angler with the fish. 22 The last record we have today is also a youth 23 state record, Striped Burrfish, Chilomycterus schoepfii. 24 The new record would be one pound five point six two 25

1	ounces. The angler is Mr. Kyson Blocker.
2	Here is a picture of the Burrfish, and the fish
3	with the angler.
4	COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Mr. Chairman, I make a
5	motion that we accept these new state records.
6	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: We have a motion.
7	Do we have a second for that motion?
8	COMMISSIONER HAVARD: I'll second the motion.
9	Any further discussion?
10	(No response.)
11	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All those in favor aye.
12	(All in favor.)
13	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Opposed like sign.
14	(None opposed.)
15	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Thank you.
16	BRITTANY CHUDZIK: Thank you.
17	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Is there any other
18	business?
19	(No response.)
20	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: We have two people that
21	would like to speak in public comment.
22	COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: We have K9.
23	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: I'm sorry. You're right.
24	We've got Tails n' Scales, Mr. Joe, an update.
25	JOE JEWELL: I'm sorry. I wasn't prepared.

1	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: It slipped my mind.
2	JOE JEWELL: I wasn't prepared to do a
3	presentation, or anything.
4	It's my understanding the Commission wants to
5	consider a proposal to require the Tails n' Scales Program
6	to consider another species, Spotted Seatrout, as far as
7	the monitoring program.
8	My understanding is the commission wants to have
9	some discussion about that, on how to proceed.
10	COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Let me say this. There
11	is a lot of bogus information on speckled trout and I
12	think we need to get to the bottom of it.
13	I think Director Spraggins told me somebody has
14	us down for seven millions pounds of speckled trout
15	landings, recreational, this year.
16	Is that correct?
17	JOE SPRAGGINS: I think it's five million.
18	JOE JEWELL: It's still way more.
19	The largest the recreational community has
20	landed, to my knowledge, is just a little over two million
21	pounds, and that was a couple of years ago.
22	COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: I think we need Tails n'
23	Scales for a year, or two, if nothing else, to get the
24	truth.
25	I think Tails n' Scales would straighten it out

for everybody, commercial, recreational.

Let's find out where the fish are being landed, who is landing them and that kind of stuff.

That's my opinion.

JOE JEWELL: I want to make a statement, before we do that, because I want the Commission to go into this with a clear understanding.

The Tails n' Scales Program now works best with the reef fish community, snapper and grouper and things like that, because it's a community that it requires you a boat to have access to it, so that limits the number of participants and population that is exploring the resource. It's a resource that is relatively offshore. There is a relatively small group of people that are targeting. Red Snapper is the only one that is set up for it right now.

We have a limited universe of participants on the resource, and we can monitor that through Marine Patrol, through the island passes quite clearly and efficiently, and we have a dockside program that's in place that compliments out MRIP program, and that is a population that is particularly located offshore, not inshore.

Spotted Seatrout, their densest concentrations are in the mid and upper estuary system, at certain times

of the year, and we have a population that is unlimited.

A total of anywhere between seventy-five and eighty
thousand recreational licenses are sold each year.

That is sort of the universe we are dealing with now. It is much greater than the fifteen, or eighteen, hundred people total for Red Snapper.

The universe is much larger and those people that individually fish on it would be much larger, on a day-to-day basis, because you don't need a boat to access it.

You see people fishing for Spotted Seatrout on ramps, piers, boats, docks, private docks, the front beach. They are everywhere. There could literally be several hundred of them on any given day, or more than a thousand, or so, on a weekend, on a holiday weekend.

The Commission has to consider that it is not designed for a near-shore environment. The Tails n' Scales Program was ultimately designed to compliment that gap, that void that is offshore.

It has the ability to do it, with certain design requirements and certain staffing requirements and certainly Marine Patrol would have to be onboard with that from the very beginning.

I do want the Commission to understand some of those variables, before you move into that.

JOE SPRAGGINS: Commissioners, if I could make a 1 2 suggestion that we let the staff come back to you maybe next month with all the positives, negatives and ways that 3 we could possibly do this, to look at it. 4 5 It would be two months, Matt. Is that what you said? 6 MATT HILL: Please. 7 COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: I think you can handle 8 9 this is two weeks, you and Matt. 10 JOE JEWELL: I appreciate your confidence. 11 JOE SPRAGGINS: There may be more ways of doing this than just the Tails n' Scales. There might be other 12 13 options that they could look at. If you could, if y'all would let us come back to 14 you with some recommendations of possibilities of what we 15 could do with that. 16 Would that be too much to ask? 17 18 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: I think that would be 19 appropriate. If it's going to take two months, that's good. 20 21 COMMISSIONER HAVARD: Matt, I just think we need to make sure we get enforcement involved because trying to 22 enforcement something of this magnitude is a big issue. 23 MATT HILL: Yes. It's just the magnitude that 24 25 gives me pause with the amount of anglers that we are

1 dealing with. 2 I would respectfully request a two-month period to try to come up with something that would be feasible 3 and that we could all discuss. 4 5 COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: I'll make that motion. COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: A motion to come back in 6 two months with some ideas. 7 JOE JEWELL: Recommendations from the staff on 8 9 the Tails n' Scales Program monitoring and Spotted 10 Seatrout landings. COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: And I know that it would 11 entail a lot, but it's all about the numbers and the data, 12 13 and it sure would be nice to have accurate data, if we 14 could ever get to that point. 15 I see Mr. Eicke with his hand up. F. J. EICKE: My name is F. J. Eicke. 16 The form I submitted was on another item, but 17 18 since this item has been added to the agenda, as this 19 proceeds and just at first glance, we do need better data because some of the numbers seem to be skyrocketing beyond 20 21 reason. We would like to have the recreational community 22 involved in the thinking, rather than just when we get to 23 this point, in the planning of how this might be done. 24

We have capabilities, now, of doing surveys,

1	perhaps asking our members, or the public in general, what
2	their opinions might be about doing this, or doing this,
3	or doing that, and we could probably get you some
4	information that would be useful beyond what might be done
5	in the halls of this building.
6	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: We thank you, sir.
7	One other thing, if we are here to capture
8	information, we also have to include the charter boat
9	because that's another sector.
10	JOE JEWELL: We've got to have charter boat
11	operators that operate in the mid and upper estuary system
12	that do a lot of landing and target Spotted Seatrout.
13	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: We have a motion on the
14	floor.
15	Do we have a second for that motion?
16	COMMISSIONER DANIELS: I'll second that motion.
17	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: We have a motion and a
18	second.
19	Any further discussion?
20	(No response.)
21	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All those in favor aye.
22	(All in favor.)
23	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Opposed like sign.
24	(None opposed.)
25	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Motion carries.

1	JOE JEWELL: That completes Marine Fisheries.
2	Are there any more questions?
3	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Thank you, Joe.
4	Now, we move on to other business.
5	Do anybody have any other business?
6	(No response.)
7	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Seeing none, public
8	comments.
9	We have two gentlemen who would like to speak.
10	One would be Mr. Howard Page.
11	Is Mr. Howard Page still in the audience?
12	HOWARD PAGE: Yes, sir.
13	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Come to the mike and
14	state your name. We will give you three minutes and we
15	are going to hold you to it.
16	HOWARD PAGE: Yes, sir. I'm going to try to be
17	quicker than that.
18	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Turn the mike on.
19	HOWARD PAGE: Thank you Commissioners. My name
20	is Howard Page, and I'm here to speak on a issue that I
21	don't believe you have the primary direct responsibility
22	for, but you make a lot of decisions based on this, and
23	it's the beneficial use of dredged spoils.
24	To give you a very quick background on how I
25	have come across something that very much concerns me, I

have worked for the last eight years monitoring the project at the restoration at the Port of Gulfport.

That has involved some dredge spoil issues, among many other issues, and, as you know, dredge spoils are tested for various contaminants and decisions are made as to whether the dredge spoil can be used for beneficial use, or not.

Typically when a dredge spoil is not able to be used beneficially, it's because there are contaminants in there.

The specific contaminant I would like talk about is dioxin. As we all know, dioxin can come from everything from a campfire to the agent orange they sprayed over in Vietnam.

I'm talking about more of the type like agent orange. It's the most carcinogenic substance known to man, very dangerous, and it's why it is tested for at a very careful level which is parts per trillion.

What I've come across that I'm concerned about that I would like to share with you and I would actually like you, in your capacity, if possible, to consider looking into this and getting this information.

My understanding is that the dredge spoils for the Port of Gulfport which would be tested at the same standards as any other dredge spoil by the Department of Environmental Quality, I believe, is who does most of this testing, or they send it out, was tested and considered at eight parts per trillion, roughly eight parts per trillion -- there is a little decimal in there -- as to whether a human should be exposed to that level, and that was what decided what was beneficial use of the dredge spoil.

As we all know, just for quick examples, someone out soft-shelling, someone out floundering, someone shrimping would be exposed to a sediment by walking in it, and, then, the catch, whether it was a flounder, or a soft shell, or what have you, would have immediately been immersed in that sediment.

So you don't want to use a contaminated sediment for that use and, of course, a beach also.

That has now been changed, it's my understanding
-- I have been researching a Freedom of Information

Request Act request that I made -- to forty parts per
trillion.

We're not talking about a percentage change from roughly eight parts per trillion to eight point five, or to nine point two. All of a sudden it has gone to forty.

So the questions I would like to leave you with and I would like you in your capacity to consider asking DEQ, or whoever, has there been a change to the dioxin standards, when they look at dredge spoils for beneficial

1 use, say, in the last ten years, just to give you a window 2 to look at. If there was a change, why? 3 Why was that change made? 4 5 Why did you originally consider it? My understanding is the eight parts per trillion 6 is the EPA exposure for human health and, now, why has 7 that gone to forty, if I'm correct in what I have 8 9 observed. 10 Basically, that's the point I would like to put to y'all. I'm concerned. To be quite honest, my concern 11 is that maybe there were some political motivations that 12 13 made that change happen. That's my concern, but the facts I can give you 14 15 are I believe they were originally testing at eight parts per trillion. They have now raised that up to forty. 16 Their dredge spoil was originally failing all 17 18 the tests for beneficial use. That dredge spoil is now 19 all passing beneficial use. COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Mr. Page. 20 21 HOWARD PAGE: I appreciate your time and I'm sorry for going so long. 22 I think Mr. Jan was 23 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: taking notes, while you were talking. So you got our 24 attention. 25

1 HOWARD PAGE: Yes, sir. Thank you. 2 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Thank you. Next up would be Mr. David Wells. 3 DAVID WELLS: Thank you. David Wells, Hancock 4 5 County, Bay St. Louis. My first comment is I am a very avid fisherman. 6 I have spent a lot of time on the water. 7 I want to kind of give kudos to Marine Patrol. 8 I do have contact with a lot of the officers quite 9 10 frequently because I am on the water so much, and I told 11 the Chief earlier, the professionalism and the courtesy has been really, really good, and I appreciate the work 12 13 that the Marine Patrol is doing. Actually, I've got several friends that are on 14 15 Marine Patrol that I have met through fishing. My next comment real quick is, since I do spend 16 a lot of time on the water, I noticed last year we had so 17 18 much fresh water in the estuary at Bay St. Louis there 19 were very few trout in there, and this year they are two months late. I don't really understand why that is a 20 21 fact. Also, I'm catching a lot of speckled trout, but 22 they are all under size. 23 I may be wanting to ask Matt if they can 24

consider maybe looking at that fishery and see if we may

1	not be able to go down an inch in size because there are a
2	lot of thirteen and fourteen inch fish out there in the
3	species right now. Thank you very much.
4	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Thank you.
5	I think that pretty well concludes our meeting.
6	Do we have a motion to adjourn?
7	COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Motion to adjourn, Mr.
8	Chairman.
9	COMMISSIONER DANIELS: Second.
10	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All those in favor aye.
11	(All in favor.)
12	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Meeting adjourned.
13	(Whereupon, at 1:10 o'clock, p.m., the August
14	21, 2018, meeting of the Commission on Marine Resource was
15	concluded.)
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

CERTIFICATE I, Lucille Morgan, Certified Shorthand Reporter, do hereby certify that the above and foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the August 21, 2018, meeting of the Commission on Marine Resources, as taken by me at the time and place heretofore stated in the aforementioned matter in shorthand, with electronic verification, and later reduced to typewritten form to the best of my skill and ability; and further, that I am not a relative, employee, or agent, of any of the parties thereto, nor financially interested in the cause. Court Reporter