COMMISSION ON MARINE RESOURCES COMMISSION MEETING

Tuesday, August 15, 2017 9:00 a.m. Bolton Building Auditorium 1141 Bayview Avenue Biloxi, Mississippi 39530

Commission Members:

Steve Bosarge, Chairman Ron Harmon, Vice Chairman Richard Gollott Mark Havard

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

Jolynne Trapani

Jamie M. Miller, Executive Director DMR Sandy Chestnut, Esq., Assistant Attorney General

Lucille Morgan, CSR 1251 COURT REPORTER (228) 396-8788

2

 $\label{local_commissioner_bosange:} \quad \text{I would like to call the meeting to order.}$

I would like to welcome everyone to our August meeting of the Commission on Marine Resources. We've got quite a crowd. I appreciate everybody taking the time out of your day to come help us sort through all of this.

First on the agenda is the Pledge of Allegiance.

I would like to ask Commission Gollott to lead us in the pledge.

(Whereupon, the Pledge of allegiance was recited.)

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: At this time, I'm going to ask Jamie Miller to administer the oath of office to Commissioner Mark Havard.

(Whereupon, the oath of office was given to Mark Havard by Jamie Miller.)

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: I think we had a request to actually table the approval of the minutes until the next meeting so the court reporter will have a chance to look over them.

Do we have a motion?

COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: I'll make the motion, Mr. Chairman.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Do we have a second?

COMMISSIONER TRAPANI: I'll second that.

Lucille Morgan, CSR 1251 COURT REPORTER (228) 396-8788

1	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: We have a motion and a
2	second.
3	All those in favor say aye.
4	(All in favor.)
5	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Opposed?
6	(None opposed.)
7	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Motion carries.
8	Do we have any modifications to the agenda?
9	COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Mr. Chairman, I would
10	like to make a motion that we add the Executive Session
11	right under the Executive Director's report.
12	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: It is currently listed as
13	K1. We will move K1 to right under D. We have a motion.
14	Do we have a second?
15	COMMISSIONER HAVARD: I would like to second
16	that motion.
17	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All those in favor say
18	aye.
19	(All in favor.)
20	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Opposed?
21	(None opposed.)
22	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Motion carries.
23	Now, we will go to the Executive Director's
24	report.
25	JAMIE MILLER: No report, Your Honor.

Lucille Morgan, CSR 1251 COURT REPORTER (228) 396-8788

COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: With that, Mr. Chairman, I would like to make a motion that we go into closed session to determine the need to go into executive session to consider pending litigation and personnel matters.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: We have a motion.

Do we have a second?

COMMISSIONER TRAPANI: I'll second that motion,

Mr. Chairman.

2

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Motion and a second.

All those in favor say aye.

(All in favor.)

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Opposed?

(None opposed.)

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Motion carries.

We will be just a little bit. Hopefully, we will be right back. You guys just stick with us.

MEETING STANDS IN RECESS

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: I would like to call the meeting back to order.

I want to thank everybody for your patience dealing with us in our executive session.

Ms. Chestnut, would you give us a report on the meeting, please?

SANDY CHESTNUT: Yes, sir.

The motion was made at 9:08 by Commissioner

3

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Gollott to go into closed session to discuss the need for executive session and discuss the following pending litigation and personnel matters. That motion was seconded by Commissioner Trapani.

1

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

4

Present at the executive session were Steve Bosarge, Ron Harmon, Richard Gollott, Mark Havard, Jolynne Trapani, Sandy Chestnut, and the following were present at some time, or another, during the executive session. Sean Morrison, Attorney Holder, Ryan Bradley, Joe Jewell and Paul Mickle.

At 9:12, a motion was made by Commissioner Gollott and seconded by Ron Harmon to go into executive session to discuss the same matters mentioned above, and that motion was passed unanimously.

There was one motion made during the executive session, made by Gollott, seconded by Harmon. The time was 9:48, and the motion was to grant the Executive Director and the attorney the authority to enter into settlement negotiations in a pending litigation matter. That motion also passed unanimously.

At 10:40, a motion was made by Commissioner Gollott and seconded by Commissioner Harmon to end the executive session and, obviously, that was passed unanimously, as well.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Thank you.

Lucille Morgan, CSR 1251 COURT REPORTER (228) 396-8788

Any questions?

(No response.)

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: We will return to our

Office of Marine Patrol, Chief Davis,

KEITH DAVIS: Good morning Commissioners, Director Miller, Ms. Chestnut.

I would like to ask, Mr. Chairman, for a bit of indulgement this morning. I have a special presentation that I would like to do, dealing with our military and the community support of the military. With everything that is going on our country today, we must support our

At this time, I'm going to ask Staff Sergeant Roy Lipscomb to come up and make a presentation.

ROY LIPSCOMB: Good morning. Since the global war on terrorism started in 2001, our nation's guard and reserve forces have been called upon over and over and over. This has placed a large strain on the civilian employers.

The ESGR, Employer Support for Guard and Reserve has national awards and local awards that they want to recognize employers, or those employees, who have shown support for the guard.

This year, I nominated Lieutenant Jack Ewing for

Lucille Morgan, CSR 1251

supervisor and he was awarded the award.

Come forward.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Come on, now. You've got a say a couple of words.

JACK EWING: This is a true honor. Thank you so much for nominating me, Roy. I appreciate your hard work.

ROY LIPSCOMB: Thank you.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: I would just like to say thank you, also. You guys are the backup to the backup to the public, and we appreciate that.

ROY LIPSCOMB: Thank you.

KEITH DAVIS: Thank you so much for letting me take time to do that. Again, it is important that we support our military and those who work with us. We certainly try to support Roy during his deployments.

You have the citation report, but two of you have asked me to provide details about our Tails n' Scales checks. That was requested by you, Chairman Bosarge.

Since we started tracking that data, we have written seventeen citations. That's a combination of courtesy citations and real court citations to individuals for failure to register with Tails n' Scales.

In addition to that, we have written a couple of citations for fishing in closed season.

We stopped a boat last month with possession of

Lucille Morgan, CSR 1251 COURT REPORTER (228) 396-8788

filets onboard, another boat that was fishing and had undersized and over the limit of Red Snapper in Federal waters, and closed season, undersized and over the limit in Federal waters, and, then, we caught a vessel with possession of red fish in Federal waters, and all of those cases were transferred to NOAA for Federal prosecution.

Commissioner Gollott requested that we start tracking Spotted Seatrout checks. Since we started tracking that on July 20th, we have checked six hundred and forty-seven fishermen who possessed nine hundred and seventy-two trout. We have written thirteen citations for undersized trout violations and two citations for over the limit violations.

I would like to point out, night shift received information that individuals were fishing at Courthouse Pier and, because of the dynamics of how the pier is laid out, whenever they saw a Marine Patrol truck, they were dumping the fish overboard.

Last weekend our officers -- this will be in next month's report -- because of Commissioner Gollott's request on the speckled trout violations, those officers got into unmarked clothes and were able to actually get close enough to an individual and caught him with nineteen undersized speckled trout.

It's things like that that we are trying to do

COURT REPORTER (228) 396-9788

3 6

2

8 9 10

12 13 14

15 16 17

18

21 22

19 20

23

24 25

Lucille Morgan, CSR 1251

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

12

to be more creative and clamp down on these people who are violating the speckled trout size and bag limit.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: We do appreciate that. Since that fishery is overfished and undergoing overfishing, we need all the help we can get to try to rebuild that resource.

KEITH DAVIS: Yes, sir. We are diligently working to minimize that as much as possible.

> COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Can I ask you a question? KEITH DAVIS: Yes, sir.

COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Failure to register with Tails n' Scales, when you catch someone like that, do you make them go ahead and turn in their fish they caught, and all that kind of stuff?

KEITH DAVIS: Yes, sir, we do. We either return the fish to sea. If it is an exorbitant amount -- like I said, we caught some the other day with nine, or ten, fish -- we give that fish to one of the food banks. Feed My Sheep, or one of the others.

COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Did you make them register the fish that they had?

In other words, are the fish they have onboard accounted for, or you just give them a ticket and it goes away?

KEITH DAVIS: We try to do one of two things.

Lucille Morgan, CSR 1251 COURT REPORTER (228) 396-8788

We either do that, or we give them a citation and give them an opportunity to register the trip, and they have so many days to be able to register that trip.

COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Thank you, Chief.

KEITH DAVIS: There are a couple of things in the report that I would like to highlight.

Under crab violations, officers wrote one commercial fisherman for undersized crabs, and we caught two commercial fishermen picking crabs in their backyard.

Miscellaneous commercial seafood violations. I would like to highlight the fact that we did write one roadside vendor a No Seafood Dealer's License last month.

Over the limit crabs on a shrimp boat. Obviously, you can have thirty-six crabs, and this particular individual was over the limit.

Then, one unique citation we wrote was taking a Diamondback Terrapin without a license. The individual did not possess the required small game hunting license.

In saving time, is there anything else y'all would like to know about the report?

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: I was just curious about that Diamondback Terrapin.

were they taking it as a pet, or to eat, or do you know?

KEITH DAVIS: I don't know that, but I'm told

Lucille Morgan, CSR 1251 COURT REPORTER (228) 396-8788

that some indivi	duals over in Ha	ancock County where this
was caught do ea	it that.	
COMMIS	SIONER BOSARGE:	Any other questions?
(No re	sponse.)	
COMMIS	SIONER BOSARGE:	Thank you, Chief.
KEITH	DAVIS: Thank yo	ou.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: We move on to Marine Fisheries, Mr. Joe Jewell.

JOE JEWELL: Good morning Commissioners.

First up for y'all's consideration is Title 22 Part 1, Basket Dredge Ban Petition for Reconsideration.

I want to give a short outline of the regulatory events that occurred leading up to where we are today.

In April of this year, the Commission passed a Notice of Intent.

On May the 16th, Attorney Morgan Holder presented a Petition for Reconsideration at the Commission meeting.

At the May 16th Commission meeting, the Commission took three actions.

First was a Motion to Deny the Petition for Reconsideration as being prematurely filed and to consider it a public comment.

The second motion was to extend the public comment period to allow the time for a public hearing to be conducted.

Lucille Morgan, CSR 1251 COURT REPORTER (228) 396-8788

The third motion was directing the DMR staff to report back to the Commission on matters identified by the public comments received at that public hearing. In particular, the Commission would like more information in regard to the Economic Impact Statement.

On June 14th, a public hearing was conducted in this room, the Commission room.

On June 20th, the CMR meeting on basket dredge issues was tabled until the July meeting.

On July 18th, at the CMR meeting, I made a presentation on basket dredge ban, Dr. Paul Mickle made a presentation on the best science available, and the Commission voted to move forward with final adoption.

The final adoption was filed on July 18th with the Secretary of State's office and becomes effective on September the 1st.

> Today, we have before you the reconsideration. COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Excuse me, Joe.

JOE JEWELL: Yes, sir.

COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Mr. Chairman, right here. if it's proper, let me make a motion that we table the Motion for Reconsideration of the basket dredge until next

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Sandy, can we get some clarification for that?

3

4

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

74

25

2

3

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

13

SANDY CHESTNUT: Yes. If you want to table the Petition for Reconsideration, you also need to make a motion to amend the final adoption, the effective date of the final adoption.

 $\label{eq:commissioner BOSARGE: Let's go with the motion to table, first. \\$

 $\label{eq:we-have a motion to table this until the next} % \begin{center} \begin{center} \textbf{we have a motion to table this until the next} \end{center} \end{center}$

COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Correct.

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{JAMIE}}$ MILLER: I think what we want clarity on is a motion to amend is different than a motion to table.

SANDY CHESTNUT: You are dealing with a petition, and, then, you are dealing with the regulation change.

Right now, they are just tabling the petition to be considered at the next meeting.

JAMIE MILLER: Okay.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: We have a motion.

Do we have a second?

COMMISSIONER HARMON: So seconded, Mr. Chairman.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All those in favor say

aye.

9

10

П

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

la.

25

(All in favor.)

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Opposed?

Lucille Morgan, CSR 1251 COURT REPORTER (228) 396-8788

14

(None opposed.)

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Motion carries.

COMMISSIONER TRAPANI: Mr. Chairman, I will make a motion to amend the final adoption and delay it to the effective date of October the 2^{nd} .

COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: I'll second that, Mr. Chairman.

 $\label{loss} \mbox{COMMISSIONER BOSARGE:} \ \mbox{ We have a motion and a second.}$

Any discussion?

(No response.)

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All those in favor say

aye.

(All in favor.)

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Opposed?

(None opposed.)

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Motion carries.

I think you understand all of that, Joe.

JOE JEWELL: I do. I thank the Commissioners.

JAMIE MILLER: Joe, I apologize. We did have

one who requested to speak on that, Ms. Thao Vu.

Ms. Vu, would you still like to speak, or would you like to wait until next meeting?

THAO VU: I'll speak.

Good morning Commissioners, Director Miller.

Lucille Morgan, CSR 1251 COURT REPORTER (228) 396-8788 Thao Vu with Mississippi Coalition of
Vietnamese-American Fisher Folks and Families. I will
keep my comment brief.

I just want to reiterate the safety issues that
were brought up in previous meetings and how dangerous it
is, particularly for fishermen using this bag dredge,

I actually have a photo I want to show the agency and Commissioners.

SANDY CHESTNUT: Ms. Vu, you are going to have to speak into the microphone so the court reporter can hear you.

especially when they have to stand on a boat during rough

THAO VU: Okay.

conditions, or inclement weather.

COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Ms. Vu, with all due respect, aren't you going to want to present this at the next meeting when we reconsider this?

THAO VU: Yes, sir, I will bring it up again.

COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: In the interest of saving some time, why don't you just bring it up again next month?

THAO VU: Okay. Since this is a long meeting, I'll do that. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Thank you, ma'am.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Thank you, Ms. Vu.

Lucille Morgan, CSR 1251 COURT REPORTER (228) 396-8788

16

 $$\operatorname{Mr.}$ Joe, that was the only other comment they had on that issue.

JOE JEWELL: Next up for the Commission's consideration, as you know, earlier this month we had a jubilee event that occurred from, approximately, Gulfport Harbor and extended to Pass Christian, and Mr. Jonathan Barr will give a presentation on those events.

JONATHAN BARR: Good afternoon Commissioners, Director Miller, Ms. Chestnut.

Given the recent events, I'm going to brief the Commission on our findings and, also, briefly explain some of the things that have to take place for a jubilee to occur.

Jubilee can, basically, be defined as a naturally occurring phenomenon that takes place when hypoxic, or poorly oxygenated, water traps fish and other organisms in shallow, un-escapable areas.

On July 26th, 2017, we had several calls of a localized possible jubilee event, mainly concentrated around the Gulfport Harbor area.

We responded first thing that morning, and we sampled from just west of Pass Christian Harbor to just east of Broadwater Marina.

We sampled ten different locations within that highlighted area. That's environmental data. We also

took water samples. We took samples from both the beach and from a small vessel offshore.

The bottom DO was zero point nine nine six parts
per million. DO is the dissolved oxygen in the water.

Four to five and a half is a healthy marine eco system. Anything below two puts fish and other organisms under a tremendous amount of stress.

The temperature and the salinity weren't necessarily alarming, just that it was warm at eighty-four degrees and it was very salty at twenty-three point three parts per thousand. Full strength sea water is about thirty-two parts per thousand.

Here are a few pictures from the event. The majority of the species that were affected were shrimp. It was not a long event, so we didn't see a lot of finfish involved. There weren't a lot of areas where we saw fish washed up on the shore. For the most part, it was just shrimp. This is a picture of the locals reaping benefits.

On July the 27th, we released this press release. I'm not going to read it verbatim because it basically just says about the same thing that I'm saying in the presentation.

The very last paragraph, I highlighted, because when an event like this takes place, we like the public to know that bag and size limits do still take effect and you

> Lucille Morgan, CSR 1251 COURT REPORTER (228) 396-8788

also have to had proper licensing if you are going to harvest any seafood from the beach (indicating slide).

There are a few things that can cause a jubilee. They only happen during summer months, June to September, when water temperatures are higher. That's above seventy degrees. They happen during an incoming, or a neap tide. They occur early in the morning before, or just after, sunrise. They happen when conditions are calm with very little wind.

The effect of this is that water layers are stratified and don't mix well with these calm conditions.

Saltier, denser water settles to the bottom and becomes stagnant.

In our case, a slight northern wind pushes the fresher better oxygenated water south, but not at a rate fast enough to mix the two.

The oxygen poor bottom layer drives benthic fish and invertebrates to shallow shorelines where oxygen levels are slightly higher.

This is a diagram of what I just read off. You can't really see the pictures of the fish. They are in white, but where atmospheric air meets that shallower water it's a little bit higher in oxygen so it drives those fish towards there. They are essentially pushed to a dead end and trapped.

Lucille Morgan, CSR 1251 COURT REPORTER (228) 396-8788 With that, do y'all have any questions?

COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Yes, sir, let me ask you a question.

JONATHAN BARR: Yes, sir.

COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Fish are dying and they are coming up on the beach and people are collecting them.

Is that right?

JONATHAN BARR: They are under stress. They are not dead. I guess, if they were caught in these conditions for long enough, eventually the hypoxic atmosphere would, in fact, kill them, but, for the most part, they do not persist long enough for what we would consider a fish kill. They are just under distress.

A perfect example. On the $26^{\rm th}$, it didn't persist long enough for it to create a fish kill. They swam back off and were fine.

No, sir, they are not dead. They get pushed up.

COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Let me ask the staff, if
it's all right, to look into suspending licenses and catch
limits and all that kind of stuff just during a jubilee in
that particular area that a jubilee is declared, and let
the public have whatever comes up on the beach. Look at
the ramifications for that and come back with some
recommendations.

would it be practical?

Lucille Morgan, CSR 1251 COURT REPORTER (228) 396-8788

JONATHAN BARR: We can definitely look into that.

COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Thank you.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: When most people think of a jubilee, that is an exciting time. In other words, it's bad for the resource, but there were a lot of people that sure enjoyed it.

I bet that one person with that ice chest full of big shrimp, he enjoyed it.

SANDY CHESTNUT: Just to clarify, that would require a regulation change to do what Commissioner Gollott was suggesting, but I think he is just asking the staff, now, to report back on the ramifications, if we did go and do a regulation change.

JONATHAN BARR: Yes, ma'am.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Look at it and come back next meeting and give us a report. We appreciate it.

JONATHAN BARR: Yes, sir.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Thank you.

JONATHAN BARR: Thank you.

JOE JEWELL: Just for the record for the jubilee, I do want to state that counsel is correct. It would take a regulatory change, but there are a lot of things that impact the jubilee and that can be a factor. I do want the Commission to understand that.

24 25

25

We will report all of the things that impact and that are part of a jubilee, and some of those things can be a health hazard, and we have to consider that because all jubilees are not the same.

Part of what they did for this particular jubilee was go out and collect water sample tests for harmful algae blooms and there are some harmful algae blooms that are deleterious to the public for consumption.

When we consider altering the rules for the public, we have to consider those things that are harmful to the public, and we will report those in the upcoming presentation to the Commission.

COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Joe, most people think. when they come on WLOX and say there's been a jubilee, that the fish and the shrimp and everything are free, and it sounds so crazy that you've got to have a license. A lot of people might be in the area that don't even know what the rules and regulations are on finfish

It's something I have in mind, and maybe we could let the Director say, okay, this is a jubilee and you can say for people to get whatever they are going to get and only for thirty minutes in this area, or only an hour this is going to last, instead of saying you've got to have all these licenses.

JOE JEWELL: They only have to have one, a

Lucille Morgan, CSR 1251 COURT REPORTER (228) 396-8788

22

recreational license, and it sounds good. When there are fish up on the beach and shrimp up on the beach, it's a jubilee. It's a fun event, and children are up on the beach. It's an exciting thing to happen, but we are also charged with public safety, and some of the reasons that they are up on the beach can be a harmful thing for the public, especially if they are going to consume these things. Part of what the DMR is charged with is public safety.

COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: All I was saying was recommendations. We are not asking to change anything.

JOE JEWELL: That's exactly right. Some of the things that we are going to bring to the Commission are our duties and that is testing for harmful algae blooms.

The Commission will get that report, and it's up to the Commission to make that decision.

The next thing up for the Commission's consideration is Title 22 Part 21, Crab Regulations Petition for Reconsideration.

The Commission received this Petition for Reconsideration on the regulation.

Before we get started, I want to state here on the title slide there are three things that the Commission has to consider for this particular one, before we proceed, and I have to say these things on the public

Lucille Morgan, CSR 1251 COURT REPORTER (228) 396-8788

record.

2

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

As respectfully as possible, the petition does not meet the following requirements outlined in Title 22 Part 15, Chapter 2, Section 106, contesting proposed

The first thing is the person desiring to contest the making of the regulation may do so by attending the public meeting on the proposed regulation and stating the basis of their objection, or by filing a written objection to the regulation no later than time allowed for the written comments.

The second part of this section, the only persons complying with one of the two methods for objecting to the proposed regulation will be allowed to petition the Commission for consideration of the

Number two. Chapter 2. Subsection 107.01 after the Commission has acted upon the regulation, any party opposed to the regulation that complies with Section 106 may request reconsideration of the Commission's decision by filing a Petition for Reconsideration within fourteen days of the final adoption of the regulation.

Final adoption was filed with the Secretary of State's office and posted on the administrative bulletin on July the 18th, 2017.

Lucille Morgan, CSR 1251

The filing date for filing a Petition for Reconsideration would have been August 1st. The petition was filed on August the 3rd.

The final thing the Commission has to consider is in Chapter 2, Subsection 107.03. The petition shall state specifically the points of law, or facts, that the Commission overlooked, or misapprehended, and shall contain a statement of the action which the party wishes the Commission to take.

With that being said, this is the same slide that I presented in the first Petition for Reconsideration. The Commission has at its disposal four action items.

The first item. The Commission can make final disposition of the Petition by either granting, or denying, the requested action without further argument.

Number two, the Commission can request a response from the MDMR, prior to making the final disposition.

Number three. Set the matter for an evidentiary hearing.

Number four. Make such further order as it deems appropriate under the circumstances.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Joe, before we make any decisions, we have some folks that would like to make

public comments.

I

sir.

When you finish yours, let's let them have a chance to make their public comment.

JOE JEWELL: Yes, sir.

The final slide is simply the petition that was submitted on August $3^{\rm rd}$.

If any of the representatives are here in attendance that would like to speak to the petition, they can do that, now, or the public comments can occur.

JAMIE MILLER: We do have three that have requested to make public comments.

Also, we want to make sure for the record that the individual that had submitted the initial Petition for Reconsideration also submitted a letter to the agency yesterday late in the evening, notifying the Commission that they would not be available to attend the meeting today, but they did request that staff and Commission keep open the opportunity to sit down in the next few weeks.

I just wanted to make sure that is part of the record, that we did receive this letter and we will make it available for the record in the future.

we do have three folks that have asked to speak.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Mr. Bob Metz.

ROBERT METZ: I'm Robert Metz, crab fisherman from Lakeshore. Good morning sirs. It's a privilege to

Lucille Morgan, CSR 1251 COURT REPORTER (228) 396-8788

be able to be here and speak on behalf of crab fishermen in our county.

The only thing I would like to say is, as I understand it, the regulation to allow the fishermen to be able to ship their crabs out of state, or in state, without becoming a licensed processor, was a good idea for the fishermen to be able to make a better living and not be under monopolistic control.

We've got a number of Asian crab fishermen who are here who are reluctant to get up and talk because of language barriers.

If it would be possible, could these gentlemen be asked to stand up, if they are crab fishermen in favor of keeping the law that they don't have to be licensed processors to be able to ship their crabs?

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Yes, sir.

We would ask those folks to stand up, please.
ROBERT METZ: The crab fishermen that want to

keep it where you can ship your crabs, please stand up and be counted.

(Members of the audience standing.)
COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Good deal. Thank you,

ROBERT METZ: I'm old and I wish I could retire, but I can't. I'm sorry to be up here in front of y'all

Lucille Morgan, CSR 1251 COURT REPORTER (228) 396-8788 with not being able to present myself on the issues as I used to be able to do. Thank you very much for your time and the opportunity to state our case.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Just so we're clear, Mr. Metz, your group is supporting to change the laws and adopt what we proposed?

ROBERT METZ: To adopt what you proposed to allow fishermen to ship their own crabs in state, or out of state, without becoming licensed processors with all the red tape and laws and regulations that go with that.

I've been doing this for thirty years, and you can see that's why I'm wore out.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: I see you hobbling a little bit.

ROBERT METZ: I got sick the other day and it has kind of knocked me back, but these men know that if they send crabs out that aren't good, they aren't going to have any business, and they are conscientious about it. I know most of them, the Asians and the Americans, and they have to get it right to be able to have continued business.

I hate to see just one person in this area be a crab processor, buyer, and not have anybody else to be able to get to.

I'm limited in what I can do because of who I

Lucille Morgan, CSR 1251 COURT REPORTER (228) 396-8788

want to sell to and who I don't.

Thank you very much, sir,

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Thank you.

Adam Metz.

ADAM METZ: I won't take up much of your time. I would just like to stand and show my support for the decision you-all made and we hope it stands. To me, the fact that the state issues a products license, and, then, limits the fisherman's ability to sell his product is crazy, and I'm here to support your decision and the Blue Crab Task Force decision, and for a few other fishermen that couldn't make it today. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Thank you, sir.
COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Ryan Bradley.

RYAN BRADLEY: Thank you, Commissioner. My name is Ryan Bradley. I'm the Director of the Mississippi Commercial Fisheries United, Incorporated.

Just briefly, I wanted to say we talked to some of our members and we thoroughly reviewed the proposed changes to the regulation and we agree unanimously that this is a good decision to move ahead with these regulation changes.

We think it is going to allow fishermen and dealers to be more flexible with where they sell their

1

17

25

There have been some occurrences of possible citations going on that the fishermen feel like they should not have been cited. I think these changes will clarify and clear up any discrepancies in the law.

We support the proposed changes. That's all I have. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Thank you, sir.

Mr. Joe, I think we are ready for you.

JOE JEWELL: This was the petition that was submitted to the Commission for reconsideration (indicating slide).

As you know, yesterday the petitioners submitted a letter requesting the Commission to delay action on this item until they can followup with a meeting with the Commission.

At this time, the Commission not only has those four options available to them to take action.

Do y'all have any questions on that? (No response.)

JOE JEWELL: Other than the four, there are two other options available. I guess counsel can weigh in on that. You could either move forward with the proposed regulation here, consideration of the petition, or you could delay action.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: I would like to hear

Lucille Morgan, CSR 1251 COURT REPORTER (228) 396-8788

30

maybe what counsel has to say about this because there are a lot of things that happened within this petition that we need their opinion on.

SANDY CHESTNUT: The petition wasn't in proper form, it wasn't submitted timely and the petitioners didn't have standing to submit the petition.

If those are the factors that you want to consider, then, you can deny the petition based on procedural issues and move forward with adoption of the final regulation.

Again, you would have two separate options. You would have the action on the petition itself, and, then, the action on the regulation adoption.

COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Mr. Chairman, I would like to make a motion that we deny the Petition for Reconsideration and move forward with the regulation.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: We have a motion.

Any further discussion?

(No response.)

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Do we have a second to

that motion?

COMMISSIONER HARMON: So seconded, Mr. Chairman.
COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Seconded by Mr. Ron

Harmon.

All in favor say aye.

Lucille Morgan, CSR 1251 COURT REPORTER (228) 396-8788

	(ATT IN TAVOR.)	
2	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Opposed?	
3	(None opposed.)	
4	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Motion carries.	
5	Do we need a motion, Joe, to proceed on with	
6	final adoption?	
7	COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: I made that motion.	
8	JOE JEWELL: I think the motion was to deny the	
9	Petition for Reconsideration.	
10	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Yes.	
11	JOE JEWELL: The second motion would be for the	
12	regulation.	
13	Is that correct?	
14	SANDY CHESTNUT: Yes.	
15	COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: I'll make that motion	
16	that we adopt the final adoption on the regulation.	
17	JOE JEWELL: To proceed with the final adoption,	
18	correct.	
19	SANDY CHESTNUT: What is the proposed effective	
20	date of that, Joe?	
21	JOE JEWELL: September the 1st.	
22	SANDY CHESTNUT: Keep the same effective date	
23	and move forward.	
24	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Do we need to clarify	
25	that motion more with the title and part?	

(+11 da farma)

Lucille Morgan, CSR 1251 COURT REPORTER (228) 396-8788

JOE JEWELL: It's Title 22 Part 21.

 $\label{local_commutation} \mbox{COMMISSIONER BOSARGE:} \quad \mbox{Can we incorporate that} \\ \mbox{into your motion?}$

COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Well, I make a second motion to move forward with it.

JOE JEWELL: I think the motion would be to proceed with the regulatory changes for Title 22 Part 21.

COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Correct.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: We have a motion.

Do we have a second?

COMMISSIONER HARMON: So seconded.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Any further discussion?

(No response.)

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All those in favor say

aye.

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

(All in favor.)

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Opposed?

(None opposed.)

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Motion carries.

JOE JEWELL: Thank you, Commissioners.

If there are no other questions, that concludes the Office of Marine Fisheries.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Thank you, Joe.

 $\label{eq:weighted_energy} \mbox{We move on to Coastal Resources Management, Mr.} \mbox{\sc Jan Boyd.}$

23

34

25

JAN BOYD: Good morning Mr. Chairman, Commissioners, Director Miller, Ms. Chestnut.

we have four action items for your consideration this morning and one program overview.

Jennifer Wilder will be our first presenter.

JENNIFER WILDER: Good morning.

I will be presenting an update on the violation by Don Shaddix on Kings Bayou in Gautier, Mississippi.

The original structures that were a violation was a keyhole boat slip that results in the destruction of Coastal wetlands and unauthorized pilings.

This is a location map showing I-10, Gautier-Vancleave Road, Martin Bluff Road, and, then, here is the location of the violation.

The Commission originally heard this violation

This is the area that contains the, now, keyhole slip from 2013, as it was originally, and these pictures are as it is now, with the exception that the pilings were removed before the June Commission meeting.

June 20th, the violation was presented to the Commission and the Commission ruled that Mr. Shaddix be charged with restoring the area surrounding the keyhole slip, be issued a fine in the amount of eight thousand dollars, with four thousand being suspended, contingent on

> Lucille Morgan, CSR 1251 COURT REPORTER (228) 396-8788

> > 34

that he restore the area around the keyhole slip within thirty days, pay the fine within ninety days and not have any more violations within two years.

On July 20th, a site visit by staff showed the keyhole slip had not been restored.

Staff recommends that this matter be forwarded to the Attorney General's office for further enforcement action.

I will also point out that because he did not restore the slip within the thirty days as charged by the Commission that his fine reverts back to the eight thousand dollars.

Any questions?

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Do we have anybody here that wants to speak for Mr. Shaddix?

JENNIFER WILDER: I haven't seen anyone.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Do we have any questions? (No response.)

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Do we have a motion? COMMISSIONER HAVARD: Mr. Chairman, I'll make a

motion we go with the staff's recommendations.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: We have a motion.

Do we have a second?

COMMISSIONER HARMON: So seconded, Mr. Chairman. COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Any further discussion?

Lucille Morgan, CSR 1251 COURT REPORTER (228) 396-8788

(No response.)

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All those in favor say

ave.

2

3

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

(All in favor.)

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Opposed?

(None opposed.)

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Motion carries.

Thank you.

JENNIFER WILDER: Thank you.

GREG CHRISTODOULOU: Good morning. I'm Greg Christodoulou. I will be presenting the next two items on Coastal's agenda this morning.

We have a permit request by Cavenham Forest Industries. It's located on Turkey Creek in Gulfport, Mississippi. It's in the Industrial Development Use District. Environmental Management Services is the agent.

This project is remediation at the former woodtreating facility. This is a much smaller project than what the Commission approved in 2012, a little bit different.

The impacts with this project entail dredging the top two-and-a-half feet of an area in Turkey Creek with the dimensions given of two-hundred-and-ninety feet by forty feet, a bulkhead along the shoreline to protect -- with equipment working along the shoreline, there is

Lucille Morgan, CSR 1251

going to be no fill, no backfill with this bulkhead -and, then, the final step will be a six inch riprap layer in the dredged area to prevent scourrr.

The location of the project is indicated on the aerial view by the yellow thumbtack. Turkey Creek is here, and, then, this will be Bayou Bernard, the Industrial Seaway.

This is a diagram showing the project. The dredging will be in this red highlighted area here. The bulkhead will be this black dotted area here. This area along the shore will be the location of the work pads for the heavy equipment to utilize.

The public benefits of the project will include the removal and reduced risk of contaminants entering Turkey Creek and the surrounding water and the aquatic food chain.

The project is allowable in the Industrial Development Use District.

The applicant has requested a variance from Chapter 8, Section 2, Part 111.0.1, and that's the variance that says permanent filling of coastal wetlands because of potential adverse and cumulative environmental impacts is discouraged.

The justification by the applicant is that the impacts to coastal wetlands would be no worse than if the

Lucille Morgan, CSR 1251 COURT REPORTER (228) 396-8788

August 15, 2017

36

3

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

23

24

25

22

23

25

guidelines were followed.

They are removing two-and-a-half feet of sediment. The filling is only the riprap which is about six inches in depth. The result of the fill is nealigible.

Similar projects have been approved by the Commission.

The impacts to coastal wetlands will include disturbance of the benthic fauna and an increased turbidity in the area. This should be limited by the use of best management practices and turbidity screens.

The purpose of the project is to protect the biological integrity of the coastal wetlands and public health.

Again, this is a remediation activity at a former creosote, or wood-treating facility. There will also be a temporary road with the impacts that I mentioned earlier. The road will be in upland areas.

All the dredged material will be removed from the site and properly disposed of in a location that will accept the type of material.

Best management practices will be employed throughout the process. The equipment will work from mats adjacent to Turkey Creek.

No additional sites were considered.

Lucille Morgan, CSR 1251 COURT REPORTER (228) 396-8788

38

The project site is in an Industrial Use area. However, there are some residential areas to the south. but the majority of the work will be below the surface of the water. Scenic qualities should not be impacted by the proposed project.

The project was placed on public notice in the Sun Herald on May 21st, 28th and June 4th, and also on the DMR website.

We had three requests for a public hearing, but, when we had the hearing, we had no one to attend and there were no comments.

DEQ is reviewing the project.

Archives and History has no objections.

Secretary of State says there are no lease

issues.

wildlife, Fisheries and Parks recommends best management practices.

Recommendation by the staff is that the project would serve to remove and prevent these contaminants from the former wood-treating facility entering the public waters. The staff recommends approval of the variance request and permit, contingent on DEQ's water quality certification.

> COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Do we have any questions? (No response.)

> > Lucille Morgan, CSR 1251 COURT REPORTER (228) 396-8788

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: I had one.

Greg, they will be able to do all of that work the way they are planning?

GREG CHRISTOLOUDOU: They believe they will, yes. They are matting down to the shoreline, putting in the bulkhead to help stabilize the work there, and they think they can get everything with a long stick.

They are going to take the material out, put it in like a slurryy box, and, then, pump it back to a bermed area and place it in geo-tubes.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: This is going to be, I guess, kind of similar to the work over in Gautier at that wood-treating facility?

GREG CHRISTODOULOU: A little similar to that, yes. Same type of stuff.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: You will be watching them close.

GREG CHRISTODOULOU: We will make sure they have their stuff up.

COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Mr. Chairman, I would like to make a motion that we accept the staff's recommendation on this project and move forward.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: We have a motion.

Do we have a second?

COMMISSIONER TRAPANI: I second that.

Lucille Morgan, CSR 1251 COURT REPORTER (228) 396-8788

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Any further discussion? (No response.)

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All those in favor say

ave.

(All in favor.)

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Opposed?

(None opposed.)

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Motion carries.

GREG CHRISTODOULOU: The next project is a request for a permit by Mississippi Department of Marine Resources. It's located in the Mississippi Sound. This will be northwest of Round Island and the newly created island from the beneficial use material. It is located in the General Use District.

The applicant is proposing to create a two hundred acre off-bottom oyster aquaculture lease site. The site will be used for training by the DMR for perspective aquaculture enthusiasts and for anyone who is ready to go for a commercial lease area.

The impacts for the project are the floating baskets that will be used to hold the oysters and they will cover just a maximum of twelve percent of the site area, and, then, the pilings, or the screw-down anchors that will be used to anchor the cages and lines to the

Here are the coordinates I marked off on an aerial image showing each corner and the center location near Singing River Island and the newly created Round Island addition.

Here is a schematic of it.

I will try to explain the system that is going to be used at this site. This is called the floating cage system.

Basically, what you have is you have wire mesh vinyl coated cages which hold the oysters. In most cases, they will be down beneath the water column, and these are floats, or pontoons, located above the cages (indicating photographs).

At times, if the farmer sees that they are starting to see some barnacles starting to attach to the shells, or they see algae, or anything that might disfigure the product, what they can do is they can flip them over, basically, have the cages out of the water for a period of time to remove the organisms.

Here is kind of the arrangement of how it works. Basically, you will have a piling, or an anchor, on each end. You will have lines tethered between them, and, then, the baskets will be held onto that main line. Here are some that are in the down position, and this would be one that is in the up position (indicating photograph).

Lucille Morgan, CSR 1251 COURT REPORTER (228) 396-8788

Decision factors. The applicant has stated that the project will provide jobs and a product that is available year round and, also, create near shore habitat that is fish attractant and invertebrates attractant.

The use plan change will be required for the project. Currently, it is a General Use area, and the classification would need to be changed to S-2, or Special Use Leased Wetlands for oyster cultivation, and S-3 which is leased wetlands by the State for other purposes and, in this case, it would be off-bottom oyster cultivation.

The justification provided by the applicants is from Chapter 8, Section 2, Part 1.E.2.b.ii, and that is there is a significant public benefit in the activity, the impacts to public access and adverse environmental impacts have been minimized, the general public and governmental agencies were notified, and a public hearing was held. I will get into a little bit more detail on those, as we go along.

As far as precedent setting effects go, we are a little bit unsure. I've been with the agency for almost eleven years and I don't recall an off-bottom oyster aquaculture project coming before the Commission. Anybody who has been here longer may be able to correct me, but I'm not sure if the Commission has ever had to rule on a project like this. It could set a precedent.

Lucille Morgan, CSR 1251 COURT REPORTER (228) 396-8788 If utilized to capacity, approximately twentyfour acres of the two hundred acre site would be taken up by the floating baskets. The installation of the pilings and anchoring devices would create a minimum amount of turbidity. When they are installed, you will have a little minor bit of turbidity and a loss of benthic organisms.

It can be anticipated that with the increased usage of the area, you may see some litter and some increased fuel discharges. However, the folks that are leasing this area will be required to abide by the regulations that are set forth by DMR in Mississippi Administrative Code, Title 22 Part 13.

The applicant has stated that the culture gear will be arranged so that reasonable ingress and egress by small vessels would be allowed. Small boats would be able to get in-between the rows that are set up, and, then, portions of the two hundred acre lease site that are not production could still be freely accessed by the recreational and commercial fishing sector. Where they are not actively farming an area, that will still be allowed to be used by the public.

Additional sites were considered, and, if you looked at your packet, I outlined a lot of factors that were considered into locating one of these sites, things

Lucille Morgan, CSR 1251 COURT REPORTER (228) 396-8788

like salinity, bottom, shelter from wind and waves, riparian issues, Archives and History type issues.

 $\label{this type of aquaculture does require a} % \[\begin{array}{c} a & b \\ c & c \\ \end{array} \]$ waterfront location.

Preservation and natural scenic qualities.

Again, the location of the project is four tenths of a mile from the newly created berm and almost nine tenths of a mile from the southern shoreline of Singing River

Island. It's in a not very visible portion of the Sound.

The baskets, when they are in the upright position, will be about two to three feet above the water surface. The pilings, any of those that are out there, will be a maximum of six feet above the water surface.

Now, the project could affect scenic qualities in the area. However, again, that's an area that is only really used by recreational and commercial fishermen and people who are just going through the area.

Public notice was placed in both the Sun Herald and the Mississippi Press, and a public hearing was held. We received no public comments from the notice, or the public hearing.

DEQ is reviewing the project.

Archives and History has not objections.

Secretary of State has said that a rent-exempt lease will be required for the DMR training portion.

However, when it comes to an actual commercial venture, there will be a market value lease required for those.

Wildlife, Fisheries and Parks recommends that best management practices be utilized.

Staff's recommendation would be that this project would establish a site for DMR to train those who are interested in establishing an oyster aquaculture venture. Also, the project would supplement the traditional harvest of oysters that we see and possibly reduce fishing pressure on those public reefs that we have.

Staff recommends approval of the use plan change and permit, contingent on 401 water quality certification from DEO.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Do we have any questions?

COMMISSIONER HARMON: I've got one question.

I didn't see addressed in here hazards to navigation, lighting.

GREG CHRISTODOULOU: It will have to have that. Part of the permitting, not DMR's specific permitting part of it, but, when it has to get a permit from the Corps of Engineers, it has to go through their navigation folks and it gets sent to the Coast Guard. The Coast Guard will make sure that they have the correct lighting and the correct markers around that site.

Lucille Morgan, CSR 1251 COURT REPORTER (228) 396-8788

COMMISSIONER HARMON: Thank you, sir.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: One of my concerns is not everybody is going to possibly know what this is. If we could put some signs maybe on those markers stating "Oyster Lease Area" where, once it gets out and people see it and read it, they will realize what it is.

 $\label{eq:GREG_CHRISTODOULOU:} \mbox{ I think that can probably be done.}$

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: The only other, I guess, concern I had is you guys put it in a good spot to protect it from the weather, but that berm that we built at Round Island seems to be a birding sanctuary.

Do you think that may pose any problem with the runoff?

I don't mean it so much as a question. Just a statement of what we may need to watch.

GREG CHRISTODOULOU: We do not anticipate that being a problem. Generally, pollution concerns from the animals is different than pollution concerns from humans, and we don't think it is going to be a problem.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: I'm glad to see it. It is something we have been needing to do. I know you guys have looked up and down trying to find a spot. That looks like as good a spot as any, and I'm glad to see it in Jackson County. Thumbs up.

Lucille Morgan, CSR 1251 COURT REPORTER (228) 396-8788 GREG CHRISTODOULOU: Thank you.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Greg, before we actually make any motions, Director Miller says we have one person that would like to comment on this issue.

Mr. Ryan Bradley.

RYAN BRADLEY: Again, Ryan Bradley, Director of Mississippi Commercial Fisheries United, Incorporated.

I just wanted to express our support for this type of off-bottom aquaculture activity here in Mississippi.

Just last weekend, I spent the day in Bayou LaBatre visiting their oyster growing training ground and this is some really cool stuff. Lots of great opportunities.

I'm really looking forward to seeing this in Mississippi. The eco system services provided by this off-bottom aquaculture provides substantial benefits to the entire public. The water quality increases.

You ought to see the fish anywhere around these sites. There is good fishing all around there.

Obviously, being able to produce commercial oysters is also a big benefit.

The public should be at ease from this type of project and other types of projects because this is, now, one of the most regulated activities in the State of

Lucille Morgan, CSR 1251 COURT REPORTER (228) 396-8788

28) 396-8788

Mississippi. The public and environmental groups should feel very comfortable with the regulations that have been put forth, and we really hope to see this take off here in Mississippi.

 $\label{eq:commission} \textbf{I} \ \mbox{applaud the Commission and the DMR for pushing}$ this along. Thank you very much.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Thank you, Mr. Bradley. We have the recommendation.

Do we have any further discussion?

(No response.)

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Do we have a motion?

COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Mr. Chairman, I'll make

the motion that we move forward with the staff's recommendation and approving this site.

 $\label{local_commissioner_BOSARGE:} \ \ \mbox{Do we have a second on that motion?}$

COMMISSIONER TRAPANI: I'll second that

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Any further discussion?

(No response.)

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All those in favor say

aye.

(All in favor.)

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Opposed?

(None opposed.)

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Motion carries.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

to us?

52

5 8

23

24

25

15

Thank you.

GREG CHRISTODOULOU: Thank you.

CHRIS PICKERING: My name is Chris Pickering. I will be presenting a Petition for Reconsideration by Ms. Juanita Starr. It's located on Tchoutacabouffa River at 12033 Motsie Circle in Biloxi, Harrison County. Mississippi, and it's in the General Use District.

The project involves the construction of a hundred and twenty linear feet of bulkhead which is out of compliance with the general permit issued on July 5th,

On July 18th of this year, the Commission issued fines to Ms. Starr and Mr. Shepler who is Ms. Starr's authorized agent in the amount of a thousand dollars each and ordered the bulkhead be brought in compliance with the

On July 27th of this year, Michael Yentzen filed a Petition for Reconsideration of the Commission's decision on behalf of Ms. Starr.

Title 22 Part 15, Administrative Rules as Required by Mississippi Administrative Procedures Law, Chapter 2 Section 107 addresses the requirements for petitions for reconsideration submitted to the Commission.

Chapter 2 Section 107.03 requires that the petition shall state specifically the points of law, or

Lucille Morgan, CSR 1251

50

fact, which the party requesting the reconsideration believes the Commission overlooked, or misapprehended.

The petitioner states that the fine issued by the Commission was not supported by the evidence and was arbitrary and capricious.

The petitioner also states that the letter which serves as a formal outline of the Commission's ruling does not properly characterize the Commission's ruling as it does not allow Ms. Starr to apply for an after-the-fact permit.

The petitioner requests that the fine be reduced against the applicant and the contractor to the minimum fine allowed of fifty dollars, and allow the applicant sixty days from the date of the reconsideration to apply for an after-the-fact permit.

At this time, the Commission may do one of four things.

You may make a final disposition of the petition, by granting, or denying, their requested action without further argument.

You may request a response from the DMR, prior to making a final disposition.

You may set the matter for an evidentiary hearing.

You may make such further order as the

Lucille Morgan, CSR 1251 COURT REPORTER (228) 396-8788

	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE:	Do we have any discussion
here?		
	COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT:	Is the applicant here?
	CHRIS PICKERING: Yes,	they are here.

CHRIS PICKERING: We have a response, if y'all want that. If not, that's fine, too.

COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Would they like to speak

MICHAEL YENTZEN: Yes, I would like to speak. I'm Michael Yentzen.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Yes, sir.

MICHAEL YENTZEN: I think it is a very good summary of what the Petition for Reconsideration states, but I would like to give a little bit more detail.

I think the fines should be commensurate with the culpability of the applicant and the contractor, and I don't think they were. We respectfully request reconsidering the fine amount.

If we go back to the July 18th, meeting, there were two other violations that were brought before the Commission.

One was a party that, by their own admission. built a bulkhead without the proper permit, and they did it because they figured that it would be less expensive to

Lucille Morgan, CSR 1251

COURT REPORTER (228) 396-8788

get a permit after the fact than to actually apply for it and go through the process.

The DMR recommendation was for a fine of two thousand dollars for the applicant and a thousand dollars for the contractor, and that was reduced, by the Commission, to a thousand for the applicant and a thousand for the contractor.

The same fine was levied on the applicant and the contract in this situation which is a completely different situation from the facts.

The facts are, in this situation, the applicant went through the proper procedure. There is a misunderstanding. There were some changes, very minor changes that weren't brought to the contractor's attention. The contractor built the bulkhead per the drawing they submitted, thinking that was the approved drawing.

There was a complete misunderstanding. There was no intension to do anything in defiance of the DMR by the applicant, or the contractor.

The other case that came before the Commission at the July 18th meeting, was Roger Caplinger, Innovative Builders. He built a bulkhead after he had applied for the permit, but the permit was not issued.

The Commission exercised discretion and said

T.

there were extenuating circumstances, and reduced the fine from the thousand dollars recommended by the DMR to fifty dollars which was the minimum fine

We would request that the Commission do the same for the applicant and the contractor in this situation, considering the extenuating circumstances and that there was no malicious intent by the applicant, or the contractor, to do anything in defiance of the DMR, had there been knowledge of the changes to the drawings, nor there really should have been from a reasonable person because they weren't apparent, the changes to the drawing

The second point that we are trying to make is there is a back and forth, and, if you look at the minutes of the meeting for the July 18th meeting, there is much discussion about applying for an after-the-fact permit. but, in the final, formal letter basically putting on paper what the Commission's decision was, Executive Director Miller did not allow for that option for the after-the-fact permit application.

I think it was just an oversight, and that's why we request this Petition for Reconsideration to allow us to apply for an after-the-fact permit, and that wasn't an option, like I said, in the formalized letter basically explaining what the Commission's ruling was.

> Lucille Morgan, CSR 1251 COURT REPORTER (228) 396-8788

Like I said. I think if you look at the transcript of the hearing, you will see that the intent of the Commission was to allow the applicant to apply for an after-the-fact permit. In fact, that was encouraged by the Commission.

Do you have any questions about anything? COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: I would like for Chris to come up.

MICHAEL YENTZEN: Thank you.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Thank you.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Give us a little

clarification on what his arguments are.

COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: I think you have a response, don't you, Chris?

CHRIS PICKERING: Yes, if you want me to go through the response.

COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Go through the response real quick.

CHRIS PICKERING: To their first point that the fine was issued by the Commission was not supported by the evidence and was arbitrary and capricious, the applicant was permitted for a bulkhead in accordance with the condition of the July 5th, 2016, general permit.

Prior to issuance of the general permit, staff placed flags along the shoreline at the current line of

mean high tide.

1

2

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

5

6

7

8

0

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Two fixed object measurements were added to the diagram and referenced in condition number one of the general permit which also referenced the flags. We add this on every bulkhead diagram we get in.

The bulkhead was constructed out of compliance with that general permit.

DMR staff met onsite with Mr. Shepler, on May 2nd, 2017. He informed staff he would bring the bulkhead into compliance within thirty days of that meeting. Staff agreed to allow the agent to construct the last few feet of the bulkhead at a forty-five degree angle to tie into their neighbor's bulkhead. To date, the bulkhead is still out of compliance.

Here are pictures. It's hard to see. There is a flag there and a flag there and flags along the shoreline here where we flagged it at mean high tide.

On June 19th, 2017, Mr. Shepler stated in a phone conversation that he was told by the applicant and her family not to bring the bulkhead into compliance while they attempted to find a way to keep the bulkhead as is.

This matter was presented to the Commission last month at the July 18th meeting. The Commission ruled to issue a fine to Ms. Starr and Mr. Shepler in the amount of one thousand dollars, each to be paid within sixty days of

> Lucille Morgan, CSR 1251 COURT REPORTER (228) 396-8788

56

the Commission's decision, or by September 16th 2017

The Commission also ruled to order the bulkhead be brought into compliance with the general permit issued on July 5th, 2016, within sixty days of the Commission's decision, or by September 16th.

The fine was recommended in accordance with Mississippi Code 49-27-51. The violation was discovered on April 27th, 2017, at five hundred dollars a day, the maximum potential fine was forty-one thousand dollars as of last month's meeting.

To date, the violation has not been brought into compliance and the applicant has not applied for afterthe-fact authorization.

SEAN MORRISON: Good morning Commissioners. I just wanted to step in, at this point, because in the Petition for Reconsideration, they do describe a decision that y'all made as arbitrary and capricious.

Since that is a legal phrase, I wanted to give you a little bit of idea of how the Mississippi Supreme Court would describe that decision-making process.

The court states that an act is arbitrary when it is done without adequately determining principle, not done according to reason, or judgments, absolute in power, tyrannical, despotic, none rational, implying either a lack of understanding of, or a disregard for the

Lucille Morgan, CSR 1251 COURT REPORTER (228) 396-8788

fundamental nature of things.

An act is capricious when it is done without reason in a whimsical manner, implying either a lack of understanding, or a disregard for the surrounding facts and settled controlling principles.

One of the questions that the Commission has to determine here, in looking at this fine amount is whether, in fact, that decision was arbitrary and capricious.

There are a couple of things I would like to highlight, based on Chris' presentation so far.

The maximum potential fine as of the last meeting was forty-one thousand dollars, and that was as of the last meeting. Because an after-the-fact permit hasn't been filed, that number goes up every day since then.

The Commission issued fines in the amount of one thousand dollars.

Now, the petition does say that other people during that meeting were issued lesser fines, or under different circumstances, but the Commission fully has the power to consider different circumstances, especially when they are unrelated permits completely, and issue fines accordingly.

That's all I wanted to let you know.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Thank you.

CHRIS PICKERING: On to the second point, the

Lucille Morgan, CSR 1251 COURT REPORTER (228) 396-8788

petitioner states that the letter which serves as a formal outline of the Commission's ruling does not properly characterize the Commission's ruling as it does not allow Ms. Starr to apply for an after-the-fact permit.

Staff sent an email on June 28th of this year detailing what would be required to complete an after-the-fact application.

Staff also informed everyone at the site meeting, on June $29^{\rm th}$, 2017, how to complete an after-the-fact application.

Staff met with the applicant's attorney, on July 24th, 2017, which was shortly after the Commission's meeting last month, handed him an application packet and explained how to complete the after-the fact application, and he was told, then, that it should be a part of the Petition for Reconsideration.

Staff has reviewed the transcript of the July 18th, 2017, Commission meeting, and the Commission passed a motion to issue a fine of one thousand dollars each to the applicant and agent, to be paid within sixty days of the July Commission meeting, order the bulkhead be brought into compliance with the general permit issued on July 5th, 2016, within sixty days of the July Commission meeting, or the matter will be forwarded to the Attorney General's office, if these deadlines are not met.

Lucille Morgan, CSR 1251 COURT REPORTER This ruling was in accordance with staff's recommendation, except for increasing the number of days allowed for payment of the fines and for bringing the bulkhead into compliance from thirty to sixty days.

The letter sent to Ms. Starr and copied to Mr. Yentzen, dated July $20^{\rm th}$, 2017, stated the Commission's ruling and explained how to petition for reconsideration of that ruling.

This is the letter that was sent. It describes basically what y'all ruled for them, and this describes how to petition for reconsideration (indicating slide).

Staff recommends the Petition for Reconsideration filed by Mr. Yentzen on Ms. Starr's behalf be denied.

COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Mr. Chairman, I would like to make a motion that we deny this Petition for reconsideration.

MICHAEL YENTZEN: Could I make a -COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: (Interposing) One
second. Let us get our motion in, and, then, we will hear
what you have to say.

MICHAEL YENTZEN: I think I should have a chance to respond to that, before you actually make a motion and shut me down completely.

COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Mr. Chairman, I will

Lucille Morgan, CSR 1251 COURT REPORTER (228) 396-8788

withdraw my motion, until after he speaks.

MICHAEL YENTZEN: I'm not sure exactly what is happening here. Like I said, if you look at the transcript from the prior meeting, there was much discussion about applying for an after-the-fact permit.

In fact, when I met with staff here at this building after the meeting, on July 18th, I was specifically told that I needed to submit a Petition for Reconsideration because the final ruling, or the final disposition by the DMR in that letter did not allow for the application for an after-the-fact permit.

I'm feeling like the rug is being pulled out from under me because, now, staff has no knowledge of that conversation where the staff specifically told me that since the letter from the DMR didn't allow for an after-the-fact application for a permit, that I needed to file a Petition for Reconsideration.

In fact, if you deny my Petition for Reconsideration, I have no options but to either --

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: (Interposing) If I remember correctly, the conversation that we had the last time, the conversation was that if you did not file for an after-the-fact permit, you kind of tied our hands with what we could do.

MICHAEL YENTZEN: Right, but there was implied

in that the disposition for the Commission would be that we would be allowed to file an after-the-fact application, whereas the letter did not allow us that option. It said that we needed to bring the bulkhead into compliance.

Basically, we needed to --

COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: (Interposing) Excuse me,

Have you filed that?

MICHAEL YENTZEN: An after-the-fact application?

MICHAEL YENTZEN: No, sir, we didn't because the letter didn't allow for that, the ruling, the letter from the Commission from the July 18th meeting, and I think that was a recommendation that was made to us by the DMR staff, that we file a Petition for Reconsideration just for that point, and, now, I'm not understanding what is going on because we just want the option to be able to apply for an after-the-fact permit, and we haven't been given that option yet.

I understand we had the option before the July $18^{\mbox{\tiny th}}$ meeting.

 $\label{local_commissioner_BOSARGE:} \mbox{ You have that option at any time.}$

MICHAEL YENTZEN: That's not my understanding.

COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: I think you have had this

Lucille Morgan, CSR 1251 COURT REPORTER (228) 396-8788

option since June.

MICHAEL YENTZEN: Sir, that is not what I was told by the DMR staff, and, if you look at the specific language of the letter that talks about the Commission's ruling, it doesn't allow for that option.

COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: I believe our attorney has the minutes.

SANDY CHESTNUT: The minutes are very clear on what the motion was and I can read those, if you would like.

Commissioner Gollott said one thing we could do is give them a little more time to work on it, give them a month to work on it. I would like to go along with staff's recommendation.

Would y'all have a problem with sixty days?

Let's change it to sixty days. That will give them more time to work on it.

Willa Brantley said, no, sir. We would not have a problem with sixty days.

Commissioner Bosarge said, so, do you want to put that in the form of a motion?

Commissioner Gollott: Yes. Go with staff's recommendation with a fine of a thousand dollars, give them sixty days to comply on both cases.

Then, Chris Pickering clarified. This is for

Lucille Morgan, CSR 1251 COURT REPORTER (228) 396-8788 both the fine payment and bringing it back into the permit.

Commissioner Gollott: If there is no legal ramification.

Commissioner Bosarge: All right. We have a motion.

Do we have a second?

Commissioner Harmon: So seconded.

Commissioner Bosarge: All in favor say aye.
All were in favor.

As far as what the Commission ruled on, it is very clear from the transcript what the ruling was.

Now, I think there has been some discussion with you and the staff about the after-the-fact permit. There was actually a meeting, I'm understanding, where you-all went through the process and what it would take to file the after-the-fact application.

If Chris, or Sean, wants to address that, since I wasn't present for that, we can hear that.

MICHAEL YENTZEN: Yes, ma'am, but my point is, from a procedural standpoint, what transpired at the meeting was all well and good. However, the official ruling from the Commission was sent to me by letter which didn't give me an option for applying for an after-the-fact permit. That's why we filed the Petition for

Lucille Morgan, CSR 1251 COURT REPORTER (228) 396-8788

Reconsideration.

I think it's very clear that that was the reason. The option for filing for an after-the-fact permit wasn't a part of the letter from the DMR stating specifically what the ruling of the Commission was.

SANDY CHESTNUT: But the after-the-fact permit has been an option all along.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: It didn't say you could not file for it.

MICHAEL YENTZEN: No. It says it needs to be brought into compliance with the original permit which implies that --

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: (Interposing) Unless you file an after-the-fact application.

MICHAEL YENTZEN: That's not the way I read it, and that's not the way that the staff indicated to me that I should file a Petition just for that very purpose. I feel sort of...

SANDY CHESTNUT: But there had been discussions about the after-the-fact permit way before last month's Commission meeting, and you kind of boxed in the Commission in the fact that they couldn't consider an after-the-fact because you hadn't filed that after-the-fact application. They had to just look at whether you could keep this, or not.

16

MICHAEL YENTZEN: I understand, but I think had the letter allowed for the after-the-fact permit application, we would have filed the after-the-fact permit application. That is all we're asking for, now,

I mean, as far as the -- obviously, the Commission has the discretion to determine what the fines are. I just respectfully think that if we compare our situation to other situations, that the fine was too high, but the main request for reconsideration was to allow the option for us to file the after-the-fact application for the permit which wasn't specifically authorized by the letter from Executive Director Miller, explaining what the Commission's ruling is.

Specifically, I was told, by willa, that we needed to file a Petition for Reconsideration for that very reason because we weren't allowed, by the letter, to file for an after-the-fact permit. That's why we did

COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Were you here at the last meeting when we passed this?

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Yes, he was.

MICHAEL YENTZEN: Yes. I go by the letter from the Executive Director of the Department of Marine Resources to basically set forth what the ruling was, what the determination was by this Commission.

Lucille Morgan, CSR 1251

66

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: If I remember correctly. your reasoning at the last meeting was the reason that you didn't file the after-the-fact permit application was because it was almost like admitting guilt. If I remember correctly, that was your statement, at some point.

In other words, that you knew, if you filed the after-the-fact permit application, that you had put the bulkhead in the wrong place. That's what I remember.

At that point, we told you that if you didn't file the after-the-fact permit application, you tied our hands and there is nothing we can do.

Without the after-the-fact permit, we can't rule whether you did right, or you did wrong, or they did right, or they did wrong. You've got our hands tied.

MICHAEL YENTZEN: Yes, sir, I understand. There is no misunderstanding about that.

The explanation that I made about why we didn't file the after-the-fact permit application was the way that the after-the-fact permit was explained by the DMR staff, but, after talking to a consultant and looking into it further, I think we can file, and we are happy to file an after-the-fact permit application.

It's just that the letter from the DMR about the Commission's ruling didn't clarify that. It wasn't an option given to us by letter, and that's what I go by as

an attorney, as far as the official ruling of the Commission.

I'm sorry if there is a misunderstanding. The idea to file a Petition for Reconsideration was discussed with staff for DMR. In fact, it was suggested by DMR because of that specific fact, that the option for apply for an after-the-fact permit wasn't allowed in the letter from the DMR explaining the ruling by the Commission.

I know I keep rehashing this.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: I understand that. I think a lot of it is that every letter they send out doesn't list every option that you can possibly do. That is your job to look at what options are available to you.

Now, if you ask them, yes, they will tell you all of your options.

MICHAEL YENTZEN: Again, that was the suggestion by the DMR staff that we file a Petition for Reconsideration.

The letter that I received from the DMR about the Commission's ruling did not allow for an option to file the after-the-fact permit application.

we don't want to be contentious for the sake of being contentious.

COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: One more thing. We brought the fine down from forty-one thousand dollars to a

Lucille Morgan, CSR 1251

thousand dollars, and you still think it's too much. Mr. Chairman, I would like to go ahead and make

the motion that this Petition for Reconsideration is denied, and move forward.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: I have a motion.

Do I have a second?

COMMISSIONER HARMON: So seconded, Mr. Chairman.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All those in favor say

(All in favor.)

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Opposed?

(None opposed.)

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Motion carries.

Chris.

CHRIS PICKERING: Part of the Petition for Reconsideration should have been them turning in an afterthe-fact application which we have not received.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: I agree.

Just for clarification, where are we right now with this issue?

CHRIS PICKERING: They have, from what I understand, sixty days from that Commission meeting -- I think it was September 16th -- to bring it into compliance.

SANDY CHESTNUT: To pay the fines.

CHRIS PICKERING: To pay the fines and bring it

Lucille Morgan, CSR 1251 COURT REPORTER (228) 396-8788

Lucille Morgan, CSR 1251 COURT REPORTER (228) 396-8788

3 4 6

1

2

8 10

11 12 13

18 19 20

21 22 23

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

24

3

6

7

8

O

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

F

15

16

into compliance, or it will be forwarded to the Attorney General's office.

> COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Thank you. JENNIFER WITTMANN: That's it for permitting. COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Thank you.

JENNIFER WITTMANN: I'm Jennifer Wittmann and. in June, I took over a new role in the Office of Coastal Resources Management, and I will be Bureau Director of Coastal Preserves.

I wanted to take an opportunity to very quickly introduce Coastal Preserves to some of the new Commissioners, and let you know some of the great things that we have accomplished just in the last six months. I promise to be brief.

The Coastal Preserves Program was developed in 1992 by the authority of the Wetlands Protection Act, and our program's objective is to acquire, protect and manage sensitive coastal habitats along the Mississippi Gulf Coast.

The area in vellow is the seventy-two acre boundary of the lands that have been designated as Coastal Preserves and currently the State owns title to approximately forty thousand of those acres (indicating slide).

We have several different groups out of our

Lucille Morgan, CSR 1251 COURT REPORTER (228) 396-8788

70

office that work our invasive species patrols. From January through the end of June this year, they conducted forty-two waterway surveys of three hundred and ninetyeight miles, did an aerial survey of one hundred and ninety-five miles and twelve miles of ground survey in areas that were not suitable for vehicular traffic.

Some of the things that they are looking for are giant apple snails, cogon grass, we have feral hogs, Chinese tallow tree, the tiger shrimp and salvenia.

we also have a prescribed fire group that works in our office. One of those people is a Mississippi Forestry Commission certified prescribed burn manager. We have seven members on our prescribed fire burn team, with DMR staff and NERR staff, and, then, we have two incident qualification, or red card holders, but it should be noted that all of our burn team members are qualified for that. It's just that because of how we are licensed, we don't have the ability to certify everyone to have a red card.

This is a prescribed fire at our wachovia site over in Hancock County. This was a fire at Deer Island and this was actually during one of our prescribed fire training classes that we participated in (indicating photograph).

Our beneficial use group recently placed new material within the two hundred and twenty acre Round

Island beneficial use site that was recently completed.

We entered into a memorandum of agreement with the City of Biloxi for fifty-five thousand cubic yards of material to be placed within DIMR-2.

We coordinate the Mississippi Beneficial Use Group with Federal, State, municipal, NGO's and industry stake holders. We also work with mud to marshes volunteer monitoring group on the beneficial use sites, Round Island which you have already seen several times today, Deer Island, and, then, Greenwood Island.

Something else we work with is public access. We do regular maintenance on trails and parking areas. Invasive species removal. We coordinate with Mississippi Power for our Deer Island clean up. We have informational kiosks and plant markers along the trails in most of our preserves.

Again, pictures on trails and some of our maintenance and kiosks locations.

We conduct biological monitoring on our preserve sites. We work with the Coastal Stewardship program at Deer Island.

We monitor the Pearlington, Mississippi, route of the national amphibian monitoring program, and we are also doing monitoring for Diamondback Terrapin on Deer Island, Graveline Beach and Hancock County marshes.

> Lucille Morgan, CSR 1251 COURT REPORTER (228) 396-8788

> > 72

We have a lot of interagency and NGO coordination. I serve on the Mississippi Department of Environmental Quality's permit board and on the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers interagency review team for mitigation.

We worked with the U.S. Forest Service to set a research station on a three-acre test tract at Deer Island where we put in fourteen hundred slashh pine trees.

We worked with the USDA animal health inspection wildlife services on a ferrill swine program.

We partnered with GCRL, Wildlife Fisheries and Parks, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, the Nature Conservancy, the Land Trust and the Audubon Society.

These are some pictures of the ferrill hogs. This was from the slashh pine planting at Deer Island, and this was a Wildlife Fisheries and Parks project that we helped with.

In addition to that, we also do outreach and education. We did three school presentations, reached two hundred and fifteen students, and a community meeting with the Bellefontaine Homeowners Association. We participated in Salamander Saturday which was a nationwide event with events all over the country on a Saturday in May.

We had an article written for the Mississippi Wildlife Federal Magazine called "Destination Deer Island".

Lucille Morgan, CSR 1251 COURT REPORTER (228) 396-8788

q

We participate in a lot of coordination and committees as well, the Turkey Creek Watershed Committee, Pascagoula River Basin Alliance. We are working with the Restore Act with all of the monies that are coming in from the oil spill. Mike Hersley serves are the council coordinator for the Mississippi Invasive Species Council. We are a representative on the Mississippi Cooperative Management area and the Mississippi Wild Hog Task Force.

Given all of that, we still face a lot of challenges, and about a year and a half ago we came forward to you with some proposed rules and regulations that ultimately didn't come into place, but I hope that you will see things from us a little more often, that we can work together in the coming months to try to get some commonsense rules and regulations to make sure that everyone is safe and that our preserves are utilized to the their best.

Trash is always going to be a big problem. The top picture is from the 4th of July one year. Because our preserves are wild areas and they are not heavily maintained, people dump trash there and that's something that we have to deal with on a regular basis.

This was a fire that was built on the boardwalk at our Ansley Preserve, and, then, this was a campfire that got out of control at Deer Island that was near the

Lucille Morgan, CSR 1251 COURT REPORTER (228) 396-8788

new pier (indicating photographs).

We have had fences and gates broken down.

People use the areas to go mud riding, and this is the Graveline Beach, and, then, this is a wildfire at our Indian Point preserve that got out of control, and, then, we have also had a camp that has been built on State property on the Pascagoula River (indicating photographs).

I know that Coastal Preserves hasn't always presented a lot to the Commission and please don't expect to see us every single month. I would like to have maybe once a quarter some different people in our office kind of tell you some of the things that we are doing and, as I said, look for emails from me about those rules and regulations and things that you may see as potential problems, or things you want to see us change and what our starting point is because I think it is really important for the general public, as well as the DMR and the Commission, that we have some commonsense rules and regulations so that everyone is safe and can enjoy our properties to the best of their abilities.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: I tell you what, you have been busy.

JENNIFER WITTMANN: I have an amazing new staff. We are a staff of six, with one intern. The things that these people have been able to accomplish in the last six

Lucille Morgan, CSR 1251 COURT REPORTER (228) 396-8788 months is pretty amazing.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: I saw where you were recognized, and I can't remember the name of the magazine, but congratulations.

JENNIFER WITTMANN: Thank you very much.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Do we have any other questions?

(No response.)

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Thank you.

JENNIFER WITTMANN: Thank you.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: I would like to recognize
Mr. T. J. Moran from Congressman Palazzo's office. Thank
you for being here, sir.

T. J. MORAN: Thank you.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: That concludes Coastal Resources Management.

Next is Ms. Kasey Williams.

KASEY WILLIAMS: Good morning. I will be brief.

These are the financial results as of July 31st,

2017. We are in a new fiscal year.

The report is not up, but I'll just go ahead and tell you that at the end of July, our State Revenue and our Total Agency Revenue was three million dollars.

Our State Net Income was two point seven million, and our Total Agency Net Income was two point six

Lucille Morgan, CSR 1251 COURT REPORTER (228) 396-9788

million.

As you can see, we are back in the black. We are good.

After one month of this fiscal year, we still have ninety-eight point two percent of our Operating Funds Budget remaining, and ninety-nine point seven percent of our Tidelands Budget remaining.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Good job.

KASEY WILLIAMS: Any questions?

(No response.)

KASEY WILLIAMS: Thank you.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Good to see us back in the black. Thank you.

Ms. Melissa Scallan.

MELISSA SCALLAN: Good afternoon everybody.

The agency was mentioned seventy-four times in local, state and national media, since the last CMR meeting.

Some of the items that got the most attention were the jubilee, as well as the beginning of the next phase of our oyster cultch program that is going to happen later this month.

I can tell you that went from Coast to Coast. We were mentioned in Seattle and in Maryland. That is something a lot of people are interested in.

aye.

Natchez Food and Wine Festival, as well as the Great
American Seafood Cookoff. I'm sad to report we did not
win this year, but our chef made a good showing.

Megan Fleming and Sara Pace from the Finfish
Bureau represented the agency at the CCA Biloxi Bay
Chapter Kids Fishing Rodeo, on August the 5th at Point
Cadet.

we also participated in quite a few events, the

we also had quite a few employees who helped with the Southern Legislative Conference held on the Coast late last month.

Marine Patrol officers also participated in outreach events, including the CCA Kids Fishing Rodeo in Ocean Springs, Safety Day at Biloxi Coastal Health and several other fishing rodeos.

Marine Patrol also held three boat-and-water safety classes in July and certified nineteen students.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Thank you, Melissa.

Do we have any other business to come before this Commission?

(No response.)

COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: You've got it, Mr. Chairman. Motion to adjourn.

Lucille Morgan, CSR 1251 COURT REPORTER (228) 396-8788

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Do we have a second?

COMMISSIONER HARMON: So seconded, Mr. Chairman.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All those in favor say

(All in favor.)

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Opposed?

(None opposed.)

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Motion carries.

(Whereupon, at 12:30 o'clock, p.m., the August 15, 2017, meeting of the Commission on Marine Resources

was concluded.)

CERILFICALE

I, Lucille Morgan, Certified Shorthand Reporter, do hereby certify that the above and foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the August 15, 2017, meeting of the Commission on Marine Resources, as taken by me at the time and place heretofore stated in the aforementioned matter in shorthand, with electronic verification, and later reduced to typewritten form to the best of my skill and ability; and, further, that I am not a relative, employee, or agent, of any of the parties thereto, nor financially interested in the cause.

APCOURT OF PORTES

1D # 26561

LUCILLE MORGAN

Commission Expires
July 20, 2021

Lucille Morgan, CSR 1251 COURT REPORTER (228) 396-8788