1	STATE OF MISSISSIPPI
2	
3	
4	
5	MISSISSIPPI ADVISORY COMMISSION ON MARINE RESOURCES
6	COMMISSION MEETING
7	Tuesday, February 20, 2024, 9:00 a.m.,
8	Bolton Building Auditorium
9	1141 Bayview Avenue, Biloxi, Mississippi 39530
10	
11	
12	COMMISSION MEMBERS:
13	Ronnie Daniels
14	Jonathan McLendon Jason Osborne
15	Cammack "Cam" Roberds
16	
17	ALSO PRESENT:
18	Joe Spraggins (Via telephone) Patrick Levine
19	Sandy Chesnut, Esquire Steven Eckert, Esquire
20	Sceven Eckerc, Esquire
21	
22	
23	
24	REPORTED BY:
25	Melissa Burdine-Rodolfich mburdine@sbmreporting.com

1	RONNIE DANIELS:
2	All right, ladies and gentlemen. Good
3	morning. Welcome to the February 20th Mississippi
4	Advisory Commission Meeting.
5	We have got the director, Joe Spraggins,
6	on via telephone with us this morning. He's out
7	taking care of some important business. We have
8	got Patrick Levine sitting in his spot this
9	morning.
10	I'm going to ask Patrick to lead us in
11	the Pledge of Allegiance.
12	(Pledge of Allegiance.)
13	RONNIE DANIELS:
14	Thank you.
15	Do you mind leading us in prayer this
16	morning?
17	PATRICK LEVINE:
18	It would be an honor. Let us pray.
19	(Moment of prayer.)
20	RONNIE DANIELS:
21	Thank you, Patrick.
22	All right. Do we have any discussion on
23	the January 23rd minutes?
24	(No response.)
25	RONNIE DANIELS:

```
1
                 Do we have a motion to approve?
 2
      JONATHAN McLENDON:
 3
                 I'll make that motion.
 4
      CAM ROBERDS:
 5
                 Second.
      RONNIE DANIELS:
 6
 7
                 All in favor?
                 (Unanimous vote.)
 8
 9
      RONNIE DANIELS:
                 Any discussion on today's agenda or
10
11
      changes?
                 (No response.)
12
13
      RONNIE DANIELS:
                 Motion to approve?
14
15
      CAM ROBERDS:
                 I'll make a motion to approve the
16
      agenda.
17
18
      JASON OSBORNE:
19
                 I second.
20
      RONNIE DANIELS:
21
                 All in favor?
22
                 (Unanimous vote.)
23
      RONNIE DANIELS:
24
                 Well, I guess I'm going to turn it over
25
      to you.
```

PATRICK LEVINE:

Sounds like a plan.

Well, good morning. And as Commissioner Daniels said, Director Spraggins, he's on the phone. He is at the GOMA meeting this week, and so he is joining us on the phone and making sure everything is good to go. I know he has lots of busy things going on for the agency, for the State of Mississippi.

This week, he will be at GOMA talking about things that pertain to the state, and next week, he is going to be in Washington, DC for a Gulf States delegation trip. So he is not letting the grass grow under his feet for sure. And so I know he'll be ready to be back here with us as soon as he can.

We do have a couple of employment updates that we can talk about. First of all, new hires are Chelsea Prince, a contractor in the Office of Coastal Restoration and Resiliency.

There have been a few promotions. Jack
Husley in the Office of Marine Patrol was promoted
to master sergeant, and Dylan Kessen and Jacob
Strickland both promoted to sergeants, effective
February 1st. Selena Burhorn was promoted to an

admin support specialist February 5th, and she will be working out at the Grand Bay NERR. And I know Selena, she's been a dispatcher with marine patrol for quite a while and she wanted to — wanted to spread her wings and do something a little bit different in the agency, so she is going to be over at the Grand Bay NERR. So congratulations to all of them.

As far as agency updates, there are quite a few things going on. Things are busy. The legislative session is up and going in Jackson, and we have four bills that have been dropped and you will be hearing more about those and we'll provide updates as necessary.

The first one that's going to be discussed is the creation of a compliance officer to make sure that we provide a checks and balance when it comes to administrative procedures. So right now, whenever something comes in, if it's wetlands, it goes to — the complaint goes to the director. The director reviews it. The director is the one who brings it to the commission, and then afterwards, the Director is the one who has to look at fines and not fines and what decisions we're going to make. So he's involved in that

entire process.

To be able to provide a checks and balance, we're hoping to be able to put a compliance officer in there so we'll have somebody that's designated as a compliance officer. When something comes in, it will go to that individual first. Then it will go to the advisory committee and then it will go to the directer. Okay? So that's the first one.

The second one is an update to 49-15-46, which would allow for the printing of oyster tags. Right now, whenever there is an oyster harvester, whenever they want to get tags, they have to come to the agency. The hope with where things are going to go with oysters in the future is, through approved mechanisms and programs, that oyster fishermen will be able to print their own tags and not have to come to the agency to get those oystering tags, so that's something that's being looked at.

The third one, 49-15-84, is an update for recreational crab fishermen. Right now, in legislation it says "household," that the individuals are allowed to have those six traps per household, but what it's going to do is it's

going to change it to the license holder, so it will go to the license holder. So everybody who has a license will be able to engage in crab fishing.

The fourth one is 49-15-64.1 making a change there. Right now, in there it says that there is no recreational shrimping allowed within a half mile. And so it may seem a lot like semantics and a play on words, but what we want to do -- we've encouraged to just change out the "half a mile recreational shrimping," strike that out, and change it to "shrimp trawling."

Here's the reason why: For somebody who reads that black and white, it says "recreational shrimping." That does not allow somebody to throw a brill net or a cast net within a half mile.

Somebody wants a seine, if you want to go catch shrimp with your hands, if you want to dip net, you know, right now, the way it reads black and white, it's illegal to do any of that inside of a half mile.

Now, we know that -- we don't think that the intention was there for it to be that, so we want to strike through the "shrimping" and then change it to "shrimp trawling." That way, it

clears that up. And, you know, as folks have been allowed to go out there and throw their brill nets, in the future we may not be here, but we want people to be able to keep throwing those brill nets in the future.

So those are the four bills that have been dropped, and as we get updates, we will make sure we provide updates as necessary.

The other information that is interesting is, on Friday, the on-bottom oyster lease applicants were notified that the RFP is being canceled and more information will follow soon. We need to make sure we make -- do some things different, change some things.

As going through and reviewing the application process, as it was, we realized that there needed to be some changes in regulations and statutorily to make things better, not only for the State of Mississippi, but also for the applicant. So, you know, effective Friday, that RFP was canceled, and we're going to be starting the process over and we will make sure everybody is updated as we receive more information.

Online, there's a few things that fishermen need to look at. The Commercial Vessel

Safety Reimbursement Program, as part of the 2019 Bonnet Carré Disaster Fishery Funds fishermen are eligible to be able to apply for reimbursement for up \$2,000 on safety equipment that will enhance safety measures for themselves or for their crew.

And then also there is discussion in there if you want to try to make things more resilient for future disasters in fisheries management or your vessel, you can apply for those fundings. If you have questions, you can reach out to the fisheries office.

The crab tap cleanup, we've been talking about that for a couple of months and what it's looking like and, you know, I think it would be great to hear an update. Jason, can you come up and give us an update on the crab trap cleanup?

JASON SAUCIER:

Good morning, Commissioners.

Yeah. I just wanted to give a quick update. So it is this week, Thursday, Friday, Saturday from 9:00 to 4:00. The sites will be open. We've got sites located in Pascagoula at Point Park, Ocean Springs Municipal Harbor, and Bayou Caddy, Pleasure Street Boat Launch.

We did send out solicitations for

volunteers a few different ways. This year, we did specifically direct that solicitation to all of our high schools, per y'all's request, and we have got a list of all the folks that we sent it to, but basically every high school in the three Coastal counties got it. So hopefully, we get a good turnout. We've got some volunteer incentives this year. We've been trying to do some advertisements of the program a little bit better this year, so we're optimistic. I think the weather is going to be good for us too, so -- and I can take any questions y'all have.

RONNIE DANIELS:

I've just got one that I -- so there is three sites, but moving towards the west, it goes from Ocean Springs all the way to Bayou Caddy. Is there a reason there's not one in between there?

JASON SAUCIER:

Those were just always -- historically, those were the sites we selected, so we tried to stay consistent. If there is a situation where folks have pots and they can't get them to us, they can always, you know, reach out to us and we can help with that logistics-wise. If there's -- you know, if there is a large number of them in

1	Long Beach or Pass Christian or somewhere like
2	that, we can send a staff with a trailer if
3	needed.
4	RONNIE DANIELS:
5	Okay.
6	JASON SAUCIER:
7	So certainly, they can reach out to me
8	with if they need to. And we have had that
9	come up in Jackson County this year, you know.
10	We've offered that for some folks over in
11	Graveline.
12	RONNIE DANIELS:
13	I was just curious.
14	PATRICK LEVINE:
15	Thank you, Jason.
16	JASON SAUCIER:
17	Thank y'all.
18	PATRICK LEVINE:
19	All right. Another thing we've been
20	talking about for some time is the offshore
21	landing permit, and that did go into effect on
22	February 1st. You can find it on our website.
23	And so anglers are now required to obtain a
24	recreational offshore landing permit to possess
25	any reef fish species including species of

snapper, amberjack, grouper, hind, triggerfish and cobia in Mississippi waters.

So what does this do? To clarify -- and Rick, if you have got to get up, get up, but I think, to simplify it, is right now, fisheries management at the federal level, they look at it and they say, okay, y'all have sold X amount of saltwater fishing licenses. So you have that many people that are targeting all of your species, including offshore species, and we know that's not true because there are people who buy saltwater fishing licenses to fish off of the piers here on the Coast and they never make it offshore.

So to make for better -- to make for best practices moving forward in the future, we want to scale down the size of that universe and how many people are targeting those species, and the only way to do that is to find out better how many people are really targeting those species that are being discussed.

And so you can go online to our website. It does not cost anything. Okay? It doesn't cost anything, but it is going to be a better tool for us to be able to have discussions, not only at the federal level, but at the local level to make

decisions on each of those species that are being discussed. And so make sure you get that permit if you're going out there. It's online. It don't cost anything, and so it's going to -- it's going to be a way that we can help manage species moving forward in the future.

Also, online if you go onto our website, if you're interested, right now, the Gulf of Mexico Fishery Management Council is seeking applications for its ad hoc commercial red snapper, grouper, tilefish, individual fishing quota. It's the IFQ program.

So if you're interested in that, there is a link on our website to get more information about it, and it's for those individuals that are involved in commercial red snapper, grouper, tilefish, IFQ. So if you have knowledge on that and would like to be a part of that program, the application is online.

We're getting close.

The derelict vessel program, you know, we have been talking about it for some time, and we have a total of 135 derelict vessel cases that have been reported. Of those 135, 87 have been removed. We have 48 that are pending removal, and

then we have six that we have court orders for removal, and so hopefully, very soon in the near future -- we received some money through NOAA for large debris removal that came from GOMA, and GOMA is helping us with that, and so we're hoping that very soon we can take that funding and at least get these six out of the water and change that -- make that 87 go up.

And so that's all the comments that I have.

Director Spraggins, do you have anything that you want to add?

JOE SPRAGGINS:

Yeah, Patrick. Thank you very much.

And that's a very good overview of what's going on.

I just want people to understand with the offshore permit, there is a very big chance that if we do this correctly, that we can cut out our sample size down to NOAA to where it's all the way from 80,000 that they're looking at to maybe 15- to 20,000 that they're looking at, which will give us a better idea of how many people, as you stated, are doing this and how many are fishing offshore. And it will turn around and change our

allocation of how much we get.

As you know, we were cut back last year because of the MRIP, and MRIP is something that has been broken for the State of Mississippi for years and it doesn't work for a small state, and this will help us to be able to do that.

So I encourage every one of them to please go out -- if you're fishing offshore and you're doing reef fishing, please do this and please go forward and look at it. If you're not going to fish reef fish, please do not go and punch on this and get that because it will give us false information.

But I appreciate it and -- but that's the biggest thing I have and thank y'all.

PATRICK LEVINE:

Well, thank you. And that's all I have.

Steven, do you have any action updates from the previous meeting?

STEVEN ECKERT:

We do. So good morning.

Discussed at the January 2024 meeting was the Commission recommended and the Director approved the motion to recommend approval of the youth conventional tackle state saltwater finfish

1	record for Margaret Schindler's 3.2-ounce silver
2	perch.
3	And that's all the action updates that
4	we have.
5	RONNIE DANIELS:
6	Thank you.
7	PATRICK LEVINE:
8	Thank you, Chairman. That's all I have.
9	RONNIE DANIELS:
10	Appreciate it. That's a lot of good
11	information.
12	Next up, we have commissioners' report.
13	Do any of our commissioners have anything to
14	report?
15	CAM ROBERDS:
16	I've just got one quick question on the
17	offshore landing permit. Is that the questions
18	I'm getting are I know we're trying to it's
19	not really going to help us for the 2024 season,
20	but we're hoping for the 2025 season, correct? Is
21	that right?
22	PATRICK LEVINE:
23	So I'm going to do this. Trevor, if you
24	could, come up and let us know if you think it
25	rill bolo us for 2025

TREVOR MONCRIEF:

All right. So short-term, right, direct allocations as they stand now, it's not going to truly affect it until we go down another calibration route between all the different surveys. But really, what we're talking about is the overall comparison across the states and the allocation by a state in general. That's where this conversation is going to come into play.

The way it's basically done now is that the distribution of the allocation amongst the states is Mississippi has three and a half percent and it's largely attributed to the amount of effort and also the amount of fish that are caught in each one of the states. Now, we're sitting at three and a half percent. The other states are all higher.

Ever since all these different programs came into play, right, it gets a little bit complex. You've got MRIP, CHTS, Coastal Household Telephone Survey, which the last assessment was done in, which is what basically assigns the overall — is what helps go into assessment and then assigns the overall ACL amongst everybody. That's them splitting between commercial and

recreational, and then the recreational side is split between the private rec and charter fishery. So that's, right now, the governing process that the ACL is developed in is that program.

When the state programs came online, all the different ones, right, because we're talking about us with Tails and Scales, Alabama with Snapper Check, Florida with SRFS and Louisiana with LA Creel, those are -- when those other calibrations started coming in and we started seeing those penalties come across.

Our argument has always been that we don't have a very good understanding of the relationship between the state programs and the federal programs. Therefore, these allocations that are punitive just don't seem -- or the changes in allocations that are punitive don't seem timely. We should do the work on the front end, rather than being punitive and then starting to check on the back end, so that leads to the prospects for the future. Right?

And this is kind of the entire reason why we made the decision to go with MS Creel and to mimic what LA has been doing. It's to have regional consistency across the board. So if us,

Louisiana and Alabama are all running the same program and Florida's program, which is SRFS, operates similarly, which it does, you then have a point where the region itself can be evaluated a little bit more equally because you're all on the same playing field. You don't have different currencies running across the board like what we've been talking about for the last little while. You don't have different programs performing at different rates, where our comparison to MRIP is completely different than Florida. Their program had MRIP.

So really, what we're talking about is regional consistency across the board, which will allow for a common currency throughout the Gulf of Mexico to then go into an assessment that will then provide us overall an ACL to be split out. Then the argument can be made on a state-by-state allocation based on a consistent measure of red snapper catch across the board.

So a lot of moving parts. It is not -it's a complex process, but that's the -CAM ROBERDS:

I mean, the explanation is unbelievable, but going to like this -- so we're looking at

maybe 2025 to be able to hopefully have some kind of change?

TREVOR MONCRIEF:

Yeah. So the current red snapper assessment was conducted. It got a fair amount of critical review, and so they are trying to make changes based on that. And we're also at a point where we're trying to basically move forward with the entire way the federal management and recreational fisheries have been measured.

I mean, this program has been going on since the '80s and we're trying to conduct a fundamental change. And most of you that have been involved in the federal process and even the state process knows that that takes time, but the arguments are there to try to allow flexibility and get us to where we need to be.

CAM ROBERDS:

It sounds like the goal will get all the states consistent and then when you get them all consistent and have them reporting -- yeah.

TREVOR MONCRIEF:

Right. Because then you have a better idea of the true measure, right, because a pound in Tails and Scales isn't necessarily a pound in

1 Alabama. Isn't necessarily a pound in SRFS, so 2 that's kind of the issue that was brought to 3 light. And the reason why we're going the 4 direction we're going now is to try to do what's best for us and then in that same breath, being 5 6 able to have regional consistency, so that's best 7 of both worlds. 8 JONATHAN McLENDON: 9 Now, Trevor, they're well aware of our 10 differences and the numbers between what 11 Mississippi says and what the feds say. So 12 obviously, 2024 with this new permit, we're going 13 to have like an "I told you so" number? 14 TREVOR MONCRIEF: 15 I mean, not to -- not to be -- not be to 16 overly confident, but I think we have an "I told 17 you so" number with what we've got now. 18 JONATHAN McLENDON: 19 Right. Can that percentage or some kind of portion of that percentage be allocated to 20 21 previous years based on the 2024 findings or does 22 that even matter? 23 TREVOR MONCRIEF: 24

It could, so, I mean, the route you have to go down is recalibration, right, and that in

25

itself takes a while because it's got to go through the SSC, the council process and everything else. So 2025 is a good number to throw out there for any consideration. Whether that actually occurs or not, it's going to be up to, you know, that discussion at the council level and how to move forward.

And I think it's important to note that, you know, our main priority is red snapper.

That's our fishery, but this -- I would say this lack of change or this hesitancy to recognize that there is a better way to do things on a recreational side have led to -- it's essentially led to a large amount of issues that involve not just red snapper at this point. Every species that has been re-assessed under MRIP, FES and undergone various allocation changes between the commercial and recreational sector is now going to have to be re-evaluated, rediscussed. Everything is going to have to go back through amendments and everything else to change.

So you're kind of at a point where we -we conducted federal management in a way for the
last six years that really just dug us in another
hole that you're going to have to dig out of. And

our argument right now is to stop making those changes and to stop running those assessments the way we're doing it now because the only thing we're doing is just causing more and more work to have to be done later on. Really, our fundamental — the basis is to stop making punitive changes and try to exist where we are now and let's put all of our effort toward figuring out the relationship between the regional consistency of LA Creel and MS Creel versus MRIP and the other state programs and everything else and figure out a path forward.

I know it's a long, drawn-out answer that probably doesn't answer your question directly, but this is — this is a process that, I mean, it's going to be years to fix everything that's been done for the last little while, and it's not going to be a fun process. It's not.

It's going to be a controversial one, but I think by the end of it, the way we have set ourselves up and the way we're structuring things with the other states moving in the same direction, it will allow for us all to be in a better place, especially fisheries management in the future, recreational, commercial across the

board.

And I think most of the folks in this recognize that at this point as well, and that's why there is support. They're helping fund the program for us to do it, and I think there is a recognition that this pathway is the best one. And hopefully, that doesn't lead to any more punitive measures for any of these fisheries and it will hopefully allow us to have a better evaluation to be able to get to a better spot. CAM ROBERDS:

I will just say the work you guys do on that side does not go unnoticed, so we appreciate it, man, and working to keep these ACLs where we need them here soon. So thank you guys very much.

TREVOR MONCRIEF:

All right. Thank y'all.

RONNIE DANIELS:

We appreciate it, Trevor. Thank you.

Anybody else?

I do have one point that I would like to bring up. Something that was brought to my attention by a charter boat captain this past month. Patrick, you and I have talked quite a bit over the past few years about the permit that is

required for a charter boat to fish within a certain distance of Gulf Island National Seashores, primarily being Ship Island and Horn Island. That was very difficult to get for a while. I think they have kind of worked some of the kinks out in that process.

But the new curveball that we've gotten thrown at us is now they're asking that charter boats, which are currently recognized and defined federally as recreational boats, carrying recreational fishermen, that they provide an annual report and pay three percent of their gross revenue from any of those trips to Gulf Island Seashore.

I really don't understand this. I can't wrap my head around it, and I would like to see if maybe we can get the department to look into the legalities of this or where it's coming from.

PATRICK LEVINE:

We can definitely look into it. And we know that our fishermen and our charter industry, they don't need any unnecessary burden put on them, so it's something we need to look into and make sure we understand the definition, so we can get together and look at it. We will get some

1 more information, talk to legal and maybe have to 2 have some discussions with our delegation in DC 3 and see if there is an issue because, you know, 4 where else is there a three percent surcharge 5 being put on everything? And so we need to get all the facts first. We need to get all the facts 6 7 first and then look at it from there. 8 RONNIE DANIELS: 9 Yeah. If we could start digging into 10 that, that would be great. 11 PATRICK LEVINE: 12 Okay. Well, we'll start looking at it. 13 RONNIE DANIELS: 14 Before we move on, if anybody is 15 planning on making any public comments, the forms are in the back. If y'all have got anything you 16 17 want to discuss, please fill one of those out. They'll take it up in the back and get it up to us 18 19 up here and we'll get you in towards the end. Next up is going to be chief -- Captain 20 21 Strickland, Marine Patrol. 22 MICHAEL STRICKLAND: 23 It's always fun following behind Trevor. 24 Colonel Wilkerson apologizes. 25 couldn't be here this morning. He had some prior

1 engagements. I trust everybody had a good 2 weekend. 3 Y'all have gotten -- should have received a copy of the report. I will be glad to 4 5 take any questions you may have. But one of 6 the things that -- nothing really sticks out to 7 me, except in the very back section for our total 8 number of stops and our total number of citations. 9 Obviously, the month of January, very cold. 10 Weather plays into -- into factor. Not as many 11 stops. Not as many citations out there as normal. 12 But everything else looks on par with the Office 13 of Marine Patrol. 14 I'll be glad to take any questions you 15 have. 16 RONNIE DANIELS: 17 Anybody? 18 (No response.) 19 RONNIE DANIELS: 20 Y'all keep up the good work. I know 21 it's fixing to get really busy for y'all. 22 MICHAEL STRICKLAND: 23 Get real busy soon. All right. Thank 24 y'all very much. 25 PATRICK LEVINE:

1 Thank you. 2 RONNIE DANIELS: 3 All right. Next up, we've got Coastal 4 Resources, Alyssa Timbs. 5 ALYSSA TIMBS: 6 Good morning. 7 RONNIE DANIELS: 8 Morning. 9 ALYSSA TIMBS: 10 Good morning. As you said, my name is 11 Alyssa Timbs and today, I will be discussing the 12 request for a permit by the Jackson County Port 13 Authority. 14 The project location is within wetlands 15 adjacent to the Escatawpa River, near Highway 63, 16 in Moss Point, Jackson County. The proposed 17 project is within a General Use District. 18 agent is Lisa Morrison with Neel-Schaffer, and the 19 purpose and need of this project is to provide 20 additional railroad capacity and connectivity 21 between existing infrastructure, support the 22 growing needs of the Port of Pascagoula and Bayou 23 Casotte Harbor and remove operational conflicts 24 between railroad, reduce congestions with the

abandonment of rail crossings and accommodate the

25

proposed restoration of a passenger rail service.

So the proposed project will fill 3.27 acres tidal and tidally-influenced marsh. A one-acre site has already been created for mitigation in an area adjacent to the project, and the applicant has proposed an additional 2.27 acres to create -- to mitigate at a one-to-one ratio.

So up on the screen -- it's hard to see. It's kind of dark -- that red star is where the project will be located in the southeast corner of Highway 63 and the Escatawpa River in Moss Point.

So the yellow line up there, it shows the proposed path of the rail line through the marsh adjacent to the Highway 63 bridge.

So this project has been brought to the Commission two previous times, so I'm going to briefly go through its history.

So the original proposal was to build a rail line on fill along the blue line that's a little bit hard to see where it says "original path." So this was going to require the fill of 4.89 acres of coastal and nontidal wetlands. But then the applicant later acquired some land more southward in order to take advantage of some

upland areas, and so in 2020, they submitted a modification to build an elevated rail along this yellow path and that would only required 0.9 acres of wetland fill.

However, due to increased labor and materials, the elevated rail is no longer feasible, so Jackson County Port Authority is proposing to construct the rail line on fill using that same yellow path, but it's going to be on fill, as I said, and impacting 3.27 acres of tidal and tidally-influenced marsh.

So the two areas within the red boxes are the sections where the fill will be placed.

And here is an image of what it looks like right now. You can see that the area is already impacted by the presence of power lines and the maintenance activities that are associated with those.

So in this figure, it shows the planned mitigation, so one acre of marsh creation adjacent to the project area has already been implemented as part of mitigation for the currently approved project. The Jackson County Port Authority proposes to create an additional 2.27 acres in close approximately to that original site, as you

can see up here.

So the proposed project is allowable within the General Use District.

And the applicant has requested four variances to the Mississippi Coastal Program as follows: Permanent filling of coastal wetlands is not authorized. Sensitive coastal wetlands must not be filled. Bridging is preferred, and projects must generally follow existing alignments in coastal wetlands.

And the justification for these is that no feasible, alternative sites or construction techniques are available. There is significant public benefit, and a public hearing has been held.

So this project will aid with the efficient transport of goods and will increase public safety with the closing of railroad crossings.

So in this figure, those red dots that are really hard to see illustrate the 21 road crossings through Moss Point and Pascagoula that will be abandoned with the completion of this proposed project.

So a public notice was published in Sun

Herald on January 7th, 14th, and 21st. There were no comments received. The notification appeared on the DMR website from January 8th through the 29th. And a public hearing was held on February 1st, and no public comments were received.

We didn't receive comments from MDEQ or MDAH. And the Secretary of State's office stated that the project area is held under a rent-exempt Tidelands Lease.

So the staff has evaluated the project and made findings in accordance with the Mississippi Coastal Program. The project will serve a higher public interest by allowing more efficient movement of goods by rail from Bayou Casotte and the Port of Pascagoula and increasing the public safety through the abandonment of approximately 21 rail crossings in areas of Pascagoula and Moss Point.

Therefore, we request a motion that the Commission recommends to the Executive Director to approve the requested variance and approve the permit as requested.

Anyone got questions?

RONNIE DANIELS:

1 So whenever you say abandoning 21 rail crossings, the piece of track that you see going 2 out to the west there, they're not going to use 3 4 that railway anymore? 5 ALYSSA TIMBS: That is my understanding. When they 6 7 kind of bridge this gap between this rail line over here and that rail line that's on the left, 8 9 that they will no longer have to go through the 10 cities of Moss Point and Pascagoula. 11 RONNIE DANIELS: 12 Okay. Do y'all have any questions? 13 14 CAM ROBERDS: 15 If -- they were originally going to be elevated, I guess, like a bridge style with 16 17 pilings and like actually evaluated, so now it's 18 going to be built up with fill? 19 ALYSSA TIMBS: 20 They discovered that actually them 21 doing the soil amendments and filling was going to 22 be almost 50 percent cheaper than doing it 23 elevated. 24 CAM ROBERDS: 25 Does installing the fill, is it

```
1
      permeable? So if you're in a tidal -- like a
 2
      wetland area now that has tidal influence and the
      water's flowing back and forth and they build up
 3
 4
      without the bridge concept, is that going to just
 5
      block the water or is the fill permeable where the
 6
      water can run through?
 7
      ALYSSA TIMBS:
                 So I'm not sure if the fill is
 8
 9
      permeable, but they will have culverts installed
10
      where there is already like natural streams and
11
      stuff, so that there can still be tidal flushing
12
      in and out of that area.
13
      CAM ROBERDS:
14
                 I got ya. That's all I had.
15
      RONNIE DANIELS:
16
                All right.
17
                If we don't have any other questions, do
      we have a motion to approve the staff's
18
19
      recommendation?
20
      JASON OSBORNE:
21
                 I'll make that motion.
22
      RONNIE DANIELS:
                I'll second it.
23
                All in favor?
24
25
                 (Unanimous vote.)
```

1	ALYSSA TIMBS:
2	All right. Thank you.
3	RONNIE DANIELS:
4	Thank you.
5	And next up, we have Ms. Katie Nelson.
6	KATIE NELSON:
7	Hi, there. Good morning. Is it on? I
8	can't tell. Probably not.
9	RONNIE DANIELS:
10	There you go.
11	KATIE NELSON:
12	There we go.
13	Okay. So good morning. I will be
14	presenting a beneficial a proposed beneficial
15	use site within Railroad Corner, which is near the
16	mouth of the West Pascagoula in Jackson County.
17	It is in the General Use District. The
18	Mississippi Department of Marine Resources is
19	actually the applicant. Covington Civil and
20	Environmental just kidding.
21	RONNIE DANIELS:
22	That's the last one.
23	There we go.
24	KATIE NELSON:
25	Okazi Thank vou Brady

So I guess let me go ahead and start over because I'm sure what y'all were reading was not correct.

So I will be presenting a proposed

Beneficial Use site within Railroad Corner, which
is near the mouth of the West Pascagoula in

Jackson County.

The Mississippi Department of Marine
Resources is actually the applicant for this
project. The project area is currently under the
General Use District. Covington Civil and
Environmental is agent, and the purpose and need
for this project is to create approximately 175
acres of tidal marsh habitat and provide an
additional location for the placement of dredged
materials.

The overall project impact is to fill coastal wetlands for the construction of a Beneficial Use site. To accomplish this, the applicant is proposing three containment structures. One, 1,365-foot rock berm in the southern extent of the project area, a 3,500-foot earthen berm on the western side of the project area to protect existing SAV, 1,325-foot vegetated berm on the eastern side of the project to

reinforce existing marsh habitat, and additionally, a 926-square-foot area of marsh will be covered by the eastern tie-in of the proposed rock berm.

And this is the project location. It is noted by a star.

And here is the project diagram, so the proposed rock containment berm is highlighted in purple. It's a little bit hard to see, but it is at the southern extent of the project area and, like I said, in purple.

The proposed earthen berm is highlighted in pink, and it is waterward of the existing SAV, which is shown in green.

The proposed vegetated berm is highlighted in yellow and is at the eastern side of the project area, and this will reinforce existing marsh habitats in that area.

The proposed marsh impact area is shown in blue and it is at the eastern tie-in of the proposed rock berm.

Also noted on the diagram is temporary impacts, which include access canals that will be dredged out to allow equipment to reach the proposed project areas for the containment berms,

and these areas will be allowed to fill in naturally once construction is completed, and that's in orange.

The proposed project is allowable within the General Use District, but the applicant is requesting a change to the use plan in the footprint of the proposed project to a Special Use, S-6, restoration and enhancement areas.

The applicant is justifying this request under Title 22, Part 23, Chapter 7, Section 105.06.01, which states that: No significant environmental impacts would occur. There are no feasible alternatives. The general public and governmental entities were notified of the project. No significant conflicts with surrounding uses or public access to coastal wetlands would occur, and the activity does not adversely affect the public interest in wetlands protection.

The applicant is requesting a variance to the coastal program under Title 22, Part 23 Chapter 8, Section 114.01, which states: The permanent filling of coastal wetlands below the mean high tide line because of potential adverse and cumulative impacts is not authorized.

Their justification for the variance request is the same -- under the same chapter of the coastal program, but in Section 118.04 which states: Impacts to coastal wetlands would be no worse than if the quidelines were followed.

And further explanation of that: The filling of shallow water bottoms is conducted to support ecological enhancement, which will provide a net positive environmental impact. This project will create approximately 175 acres of productive tidal marsh habitat through the use of -- through the beneficial use of dredged materials.

Notification of this project appeared in the Sun Herald for three consecutive Sundays, which was January 7th, 14th and 21st of this year. And notification of the proposed project also appeared on our DMR website on January 4th through January 26th. No public comments were received from either of those notices.

MDEQ has issued a 401 Water Quality
Certification. MDAH has no objections on the
project, and the Mississippi Secretary of State,
they basically say: We authorize this use of the
public trust land at this location for the
purposes identified in the application.

1 The staff of marine resources has conducted a thorough evaluation of the project and 2. 3 has made findings on the decision factors 4 according with our coastal program. The project 5 would serve a higher public interest by providing 6 an additional Beneficial Use Site for dredged 7 materials for current and future local dredging 8 projects and by creating a highly productive marsh 9 habitat that would protect shorelines from wave 10 energy while also performing environmental 11 functions such as improving water quality, 12 promoting settlement of suspended particulates and 13 providing habitat to various species of birds, 14 fish crustaceans and other invertebrates. 15 Therefore, staff requests a motion that 16 the Commission recommend to the Executive Director 17 to approve the requested -- to approve the 18 requested variance, approve the requested use plan 19 change and approve the permit as requested. 20 Do you guys have any questions? Do you 21 need me to go back? 22 CAM ROBERDS: 23 That's a lot of -- a good bit of 24 information.

25

KATIE NELSON:

1	Yes.
2	CAM ROBERDS:
3	The way I'm looking is you basically
4	have a mud bottom that's, you know, water, tidal,
5	but you guys are missing the SAVs and you're
6	creating 175 acres of marsh
7	KATIE NELSON:
8	Uh-huh.
9	CAM ROBERDS:
10	to filter, you know, our water, so I
11	think that's good.
12	KATIE NELSON:
13	Yes. There is some existing SAV, as I
14	stated, which is in green, and where they are
15	anticipating to protect that with one of berms.
16	RONNIE DANIELS:
17	Any other questions?
18	(No response.)
19	RONNIE DANIELS:
20	Do we have a motion to approve the
21	staff's recommendation?
22	CAM ROBERDS:
23	I'll make a motion to approve the staff
24	recommendation.
25	.TA SON OSBORNE •

1	And I'll second.
2	RONNIE DANIELS:
3	All in favor?
4	(Unanimous vote.)
5	RONNIE DANIELS:
6	All right. Thank you. Good job.
7	All right. Up next is going to be
8	finance and administration, Ms. Leslie Brewer.
9	LESLIE BREWER:
10	Good morning, everybody. I'm presenting
11	the financials for January 31st, 2024.
12	At the end of January, our state revenue
13	was 4.8 million. Our agency revenue was 26.1.
14	State net income is 605,000 605,000,
15	yeah. Agency net income is 1.7 million.
16	After seven months, we have 90.1 percent
17	of our budget remaining for operations, and
18	Tidelands has 62.9.
19	Anybody have any questions?
20	RONNIE DANIELS:
21	Thank you.
22	All right. Ms. Charmaine.
23	CHARMAINE SCHMERMUND:
24	Good morning. The Mississippi
25	Department of Marine Resources had seven media

mentions since the January MACMR meeting. News items included last month's fish record, the recreational offshore landing permit and the Commercial Vessel Safety Program.

The Office of Marine Patrol took part in Career Day at Gautier Elementary School on February 16th.

Artificial Reef Bureau director, Travis Williams, co-authored the paper, "Artificial Reef Footprint in the United States Ocean," and it has been published in the January 2024 Nature Sustainability Journal where he and the agency were both recognized.

The Seafood Technology Bureau's
Kristina Broussard, Raul Anzola and Jilliane Craft
took part in the Ag and Outdoor Expo Spring Show
in Jackson this past weekend.

Finfish Bureau scientist, Kyle
Wiggington, presented the poster "Efficacy of Fyke
Nets for Monitoring Southern Flounder Along the
Mississippi Gulf Coast" at the Mississippi -- at
the annual Mississippi chapter meeting of the
American Fisheries Society. The meeting was held
in Tupelo, February 14th through the 16th. CSO
Rick Burris and finfish scientist, Matt Burns,

```
1
      also participated. That's it.
 2
      RONNIE DANIELS:
 3
                All right. Thank you, Charmaine.
                All right. Next up, state records.
 4
 5
      Trevor.
 6
      TREVOR MONCRIEF:
 7
                We've got a good one today, folks. All
 8
      right.
 9
                This is a conventional tackle record.
10
      It's a red grouper. The old record was 20 pounds,
11
      1.2 ounces. The new record is 22 pounds,
12
      12 ounces.
13
                The angler is Mr. Ron McMillan.
14
      Mr. McMillan beat his old record. He held the
15
      20-pound record, caught the new one, brought it
16
      in, just wanted to upstage himself.
17
                There's a picture of the fish. There is
      Mr. McMillan with the fish.
18
19
                All we need is a motion to adopt the new
20
      state record.
21
      RONNIE DANIELS:
22
                Great looking fish, and I'll be happy to
23
      make the motion.
24
      CAM ROBERDS:
25
                I'll second it.
```

1	RONNIE DANIELS:
2	All in favor?
3	(Unanimous vote.)
4	RONNIE DANIELS:
5	I think it looks like he has got a
6	his lure is in the gunnel right there. Brady, can
7	I blow this up so I can see what he was fishing
8	with?
9	RONNIE DANIELS:
10	The coordinates would be bonus points
11	too, right?
12	CAM ROBERDS:
13	Exactly.
14	RONNIE DANIELS:
15	Thank, you Trevor.
16	All right. Public comments, we have one
17	public comment today. Leo Manuel. Did I say that
18	right?
19	LEO MANUEL:
20	Yes, sir.
21	RONNIE DANIELS:
22	All right. Come on up, Mr. Manuel.
23	LEO MANUEL:
24	Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
25	Is that okay? Can you hear me now?

RONNIE DANIELS:

We got you now.

LEO MANUEL:

That's difficult to follow, but I will try.

As you said, my name is Leo Manuel. I'm actually an attorney with Balch and Bingham, and I wanted to spend a minute to talk to you about the bottom oystering reefing program.

Chairman, you alluded to it earlier in your update, I think the minutes, which I think it accomplishes one of the reasons for me coming here today. I have got a client and potentially others that are concerned about the letters that were sent out on February 16th, Friday, pretty much abruptly terminating that leasing program.

You know, I understand -- and I would have, quite frankly, requested to be on the agenda to discuss this, but under your rules, I would have required 10 days' notice, which I couldn't do, so I'm really just here to kind of get on the record, tell you what some of the concerns are from the applicant's standpoint and we can go from there.

And so as you alluded to, there was a

letter that was sent to all applicants, as I appreciate, on February 16th that terminated the leasing program. I was notified of it over the weekend. Admittedly, I don't know all the facts. You're going to know much more about them than I am. I'm looking into them.

But as far as I can understand, this program was created by legislation last year. That was passed. The DMR noticed and published regulations back in May to formalize the program. RFPs were issued back in July. That requested proposals be submitted in August, which, as I appreciate, several were, including my client's.

And then there was an evaluation process that was undertaken. I'm not sure of the details of that process. The regulations aren't terribly detailed about that as well, and, of course, you're not required to elaborate on it too much publicly.

But be that as it may, at least my client was awarded leases on December 4th of 2023 and was asked to come in January to sign his lease after the 30-day notice provision that's in the statute ran. It's my understanding -- and I reviewed your published notice -- that that did

occur and the 30 days did run.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

January came, and the leases were not tendered to him. He has been asking for weeks, and then the next communication that was received was the letter on the Friday terminating the program.

And so it's a little troubling, quite frankly, from his perspective and I'm sure other applicants' perspectives about why the program is being halted in literally the 11th and a half hour. There is not much explanation. The letter alludes to the fact that there was public comments received. I'm not aware -- I could not find and my client was not aware of any opportunity to provide any public comments, other than through the notice of the regulations that were published back in May and/or the notice of the leases to be tendered back in December. Of course, those comments were not provided in the communication. I don't know if any were received, what they were. If you're willing to tender them to us, what they were, that would be the great.

I'm really sort of here in, honestly, sort of protest, because, quite frankly, my client wasn't sure he wanted me to be here. But having

learned about this as a lawyer over the weekend,
I'm in sort of defense preservation mode, quite
frankly, and I'm just trying to get on the record
somehow, some way. We don't know what to do or
what we would or can do at this point.

I want to just communicate to y'all it seems to me, personally -- I'm speaking on behalf of me, not my client now -- that the communication with respect to this program over the last few weeks has been poor, to say the least.

And, you know, if there is anything that can be done, I would much prefer to sit down with somebody and see what can be done and have a better understanding of what's going on. The letter alludes to the fact that you're seeking to — to seek changes in legislation. I heard your legislative update. It was consistent with my review last night. I didn't see a bill that really impacted this program at all. It's been dropped. The drop deadline was yesterday, I believe, in the legislature, so I don't perceive any way in which a change in the regulations or law for this program could even occur this year.

And so it's just confusing, and so I will leave it at that. I know I only have three

minutes. I wasn't here prepared to kind of
litigate anything. I don't -- I'm not trying to
allude that we intend to do that. I really am
just here to make sure, one, that this Commission
was aware the action was taken. It appears that
you were. It's not clear to me whether you had a
vote in that or not. I could not find in previous
meeting agendas or minutes that were available
online that that was the case.

And so I really just wanted to get out

And so I really just wanted to get out onto the record sort of the applicant's point of view with respect to this program and see if there is a dialogue that can occur after this meeting or, you know, some time in the near future to figure out what's going on, what we can do.

That's really it.

17 RONNIE DANIELS:

Thank you.

19 LEO MANUEL:

Yeah, absolutely.

21 RONNIE DANIELS:

22 Patrick, you got anything?

23 PATRICK LEVINE:

Yeah. I was going to say, thank you for comments.

Director Spraggins, were you able to listen and do you have any comments?

JOE SPRAGGINS:

Yeah, I was. And first off, I appreciate your comment and thank you for being able to come here and talk to us today.

I can tell you that I don't think
that -- first off, no one was awarded any piece of
property or anything. I think there was a letter
sent out to them to maybe tell them that these are
the -- what areas that we looked at that they
could possibly be able to lease, so I hope that
was not the misunderstanding, that -- when you
said something awarded because I hope we did not.
As far as I know, we did not. We did not award
anything to anyone.

The reason -- and if you look at the senate bill -- and Senator Thompson, I think he dropped it. I think he dropped that bill at the last minute -- was to be able to modify this. We met with the Senate and the House in the last couple of weeks to be able to look at it, and they did drop a bill to be able to modify what the state statute says.

There is a couple of little issues in

it, and, you know, we will work on those as the time goes. But the biggest thing was that we had -- we had a couple, little minor issues with -- there is some things in our own legislation as far as our regulations. And we needed to make it better for the person that's going to be able to lease the property and also better for the resource use of the State of Mississippi. That was the reasoning for that.

We put a lot of work into trying to decide which way to go with this. And if we had really been -- if we had went with the rules and followed the ones that we should, that were in effect at the time, we would have had less than 16 percent of the people that we would have been able to lease the property to because of what happened.

And some of them, it was some things about -- we had to have square corners, which would eliminate a lot of people on what they did. The other thing was that the State had to -- that you either got all or none of the property that you asked for, which would have caused a lot of issues there with what we would have done. Also, it couldn't be any longer -- more than twice the

length of the width of the property, which was another issue. So the first-come/first-served stuff that was coming in, first-come/first-served didn't mean that you were most qualified, by any means, and so that would have been an issue, too, that we would have had to work with. And it would have meant that someone — if it was a first-come/first-served and someone got it in before the other one asking for the same property, we had no choice but to give it to them and they may not even have anywhere close to the qualifications of the other people.

We need to make it right. We want to make it right for the State of Mississippi. We want to make it right for the people that are working in the state and the ones that are leasing it, so I hope you understand that.

I will be more than glad to meet with you at any time. I'm out of town this week and next week, as Patrick said, but I will try to sit down with you. We're trying to work some legislation out. It should come out soon as far as the bill. And I'm not exactly sure which bill it is, but I can get that to you, now, as soon as we get it. Also, we'll be coming out for public

comment, too, about our changes in the regulations as far as the Department of Marine Resources.

I hope this helps you a little bit. If not, I'll be -- I'm here. If you just ask me, I'll be glad to comment on it any way I can.

LEO MANUEL:

Yeah. Director, thank you. And I'm sorry to have done this when you're on the phone. I understand that this is awkward and that's really not my intent. I just — time is of the essence from my perspective, so, you know, the circumstances are what they are. I do appreciate your explanation.

Of course, you know, having just started looking into this over the weekend and not being an applicant myself, you know, I'm happy to look into everything and discuss everything you mentioned. I really appreciate your invitation to sit down and discuss. I think I would like to accept that invitation when time would permit.

And I'll -- you know, I will work with your staff or whomever you direct me to do so to coordinate that.

But, you know, I just wanted to -- I just want to thank again the Commission for giving

1 me the time to speak here today and just express 2 some of the concerns we had and see what we can do 3 about, you know, making the program -- I 4 understand the -- you know, everybody has a desire 5 to make the program work. You know, my client, 6 for one, believes he is a strong candidate and can 7 do so, and so that's what we're trying to pursue. 8 JOE SPRAGGINS: 9 Well, we'll be glad to help you any way 10 The only time I have this week is late we can. 11 Friday afternoon. If you're available, I'll be 12 glad to meet with you, but other than that, it 13 would have to be after the first of March. 14 LEO MANUEL: Understood. Actually, yeah, I think I 15 16 am available, having not looked at my calendar, 17 and I will just leave my card with your chairman 18 or somebody. 19 JOE SPRAGGINS: 20 Okay. 21 LEO MANUEL: 22 Thank you. And thank you, Chairman. 23 Thank you, Commission. 24 RONNIE DANIELS: Thank you for coming out. 25

```
All right. No other public comments.
 1
 2
                 Do we have a meeting -- a motion to
 3
      adjourn?
      JONATHAN McLENDON:
 4
 5
                 I'll make the motion.
 6
      JASON OSBORNE:
 7
                 I'll second.
 8
      RONNIE DANIELS:
 9
                 All in favor?
10
                 (Unanimous vote.)
11
      RONNIE DANIELS:
12
                 Everyone have a great week.
13
                   (Adjourned at 10:05 a.m.)
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1 | C

2.

CERTIFICATE OF COURT REPORTER

I, MELISSA BURDINE-RODOLFICH, Court Reporter and Notary Public, in and for the County of Harrison, State of Mississippi, hereby certify that the foregoing pages, and including this page, contain a true and correct copy of my stenotype notes and/or electronic tape recording of the testimony of the witness, as taken by me at the time and place heretofore stated, to the best of my skill and ability.

I further certify that I placed the witness under oath to truthfully answer all questions in this matter under the authority vested in me by the State of Mississippi.

I further certify that I am not in the employ of, or related to, any counsel or party in this matter, and have no interest, monetary or otherwise, in the final outcome of the proceedings.

Witness my signature and seal, this the _____ day of _____, 2024.

/s/ Melissa Burdine-Rodolfich

Melissa Burdine-Rodolfich My Commission Expires 4/28/24